

**QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES
FOR THE
I-80/SR 65 INTERCHANGE
TRUCK CHARGING FEASIBILITY STUDY AND PA&ED
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
2/1/2023**

- Q1 Is the interview going to be virtual or in-person?
R1 PCTPA will accommodate both virtual and in-person interview formats.
- Q2 Transmittal Letter: What is the Page Limit for the Transmittal Letter?
R2 No page limit is specified. However, it is intended to be a transmittal letter, not a presentation of the consultant’s qualifications, etc.
- Q3 Sections 2 through 8 of the proposal shall apply for the Feasibility Study: What is the Page Limit for all the Sections (2 – 8) in the Feasibility Study?
R3 No page limit is specified for this section of the proposal. Elaborate and/or lengthy submittals are not desired.
- Q4 Sections 2 through 8 of the proposal shall apply for the Feasibility Study: What is included in the Page Count for the Feasibility Study, and what is excluded from the Page Count?
R4 No page limit is specified. Elaborate and/or lengthy submittals are not desired.
- Q5 Sections 3 and 4 of the Feasibility Study: These two sections have very similar requirements. The portion of the text below is the same for both sections:
Include an organization chart showing the relationships among consultant staff, PCTPA, Caltrans, and any other parties that may have an important role in the delivery of this project.

The project manager and key team members must be identified on an organization chart. Provide resumes and references of related project work for any key team members in an appendix.

Could you please say what kind of information you expect to appear in Section 3, and in Section 4?
R5 The requirements in Sections 3 and 4 are unintentionally redundant. Under Section 3 “Approach and Management Plan”, provide the team’s proposed approach for providing the Feasibility Study services. See Services Requested (Section II) for background. Provide information regarding the roles of the various firms and how they will work together. Include organization charts showing the relationship amongst prime consultant, subconsultants and PCTPA.

Under Section 4, "Statement of Qualifications" the consultant should include the qualifications of the prime consultant, subconsultants, and their key staff. Organization charts in Section 4 should identify relationships between the key staff if that information is not already identified in Section 3. Provide resumes and references of related project work for any key team members in an appendix.

- Q6 Does Electrical Engineer for the Feasibility Study need to be CA-licensed?
R6 The electrical engineer may be licensed from any state.
- Q7 What should the "Phase 1 Environmental hazards review of the site(s)" include or address under Task 3 and Task 4?
R7 The Phase 1 Environmental hazards review for Detailed-level analysis under Tasks 3 and 4 is intended to be similar to a Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment to the extent practical. Site visits and/or interviews with current owners may not be practical depending on the nature of the current ownership.
- Q8 Task 3 asks the consultant to identify potential sites for light- and medium-duty EV charging. Could PCTPA please clarify:
Q8a The geographic area under consideration (e.g., Countywide, along specific travel routes)?
R8a Within approximately 1 miles of Interstate 80 between the Rocklin Rd Interchange and the Eureka Rd Interchange
- Q8b Any site characteristics consultants should include or exclude as part of the screening process (e.g., location, size, access, ownership, etc.)?
R8b There are no particular characteristics the consultant should exclude. However, PCTPA is not seeking to use eminent domain to redevelop existing commercial uses. Redevelopment of underutilized lands or modifications of similar uses (i.e. existing fueling stations) could be considered. It is assumed that the charging facilities will be operated by private entities; this could include private/public partnerships. The consultant should use sound judgement in identifying feasible High-Level Screening locations considering the trade-offs of various characteristics.
- Q8c The approximate number of sites PCTPA expects the consultant will consider in the high-level screening analysis (in addition to the Taylor Road Park and Ride lot near Eureka)?
R8c PCTPA would consider quantities between 5 and 10 reasonable.
- Q9 What is the expected duration of the contract?
R9 The contract term would be negotiated with the successful contractor. PCTPA anticipates Tasks 1 through 5 taking approximately 12 months to complete but seeks input from proposers. The actual contract term would likely be three years to allow for Task 6. Should PA&ED services be needed, a contract extension would be likely.