

AGENDA

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY PLACER COUNTY AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGENCY PLACER COUNTY LOCAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Wednesday, April 28, 2021 9:00 a.m.

Placer County Board of Supervisors Chambers 175 Fulweiler Avenue, Auburn CA 95603

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCEDURES

In order to protect public health and the safety of our Placer County citizens, public access and public comment for this April 28, 2021 meeting will be offered through a remote call-in line or by joining the web-based meeting. Public Comment will be opened for each agenda item, and citizens may comment virtually through a Zoom meeting webinar utilizing the "raise hand" function. If you are participating by phone, please dial *9 to "raise hand" and queue for Public Comment. Please raise your hand at the time the Chair announces the item. Please Note: There will be no phone reservation line.

Webinar access: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83252820013

You can also dial in using your phone:

US: +1 669 900 9128

Webinar ID: 832 5282 0013

The Placer County Transportation Planning Agency is accessible to the disabled. If requested, this agenda, and documents in the agenda packet can be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the Federal Rules and Regulations adopted in implementation thereof. Persons seeking an alternative format should contact PCTPA for further information. In addition, a person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to participate in a public meeting should contact PCTPA by phone at 530-823-4030, email (ssabol@pctpa.net) or in person as soon as possible and preferably at least 72 hours prior to the meeting.

- A. Flag Salute
- B. Roll Call
- C. Approval of Action Minutes: March 24, 2021

Action Pg. 1

- D. Agenda Review
- E. Public Comment

Board of Directors Meeting Agenda
PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY
AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION
WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AGENCY
PLACER COUNTY LOCAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
April 28, 2021
Page 2

| F. | | nsent Calendar: Placer County Transportation Planning Agency | Action |
|-----|------------------|---|------------------|
| | | ese items are expected to be routine and noncontroversial. They will be acted | Pg. 4 |
| | | on by the Board at one time without discussion. Any Board member, staff | |
| | | mber, or interested citizen may request an item be removed from the consent | |
| | | endar for discussion. | D = |
| | 1. | FY 2020/21 Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency Amended Claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF): \$818,237 | Pg. 7 |
| | 2. | Authorizing Execution of Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements with the State of California for Federal-Aid Transportation Programs and Projects | Pg. 11 |
| | 3. | PCTPA FY 2021 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5311 Program of Projects: \$523,465 | Pg. 13 |
| | 4. | PCTPA FY 2021 Coronavirus Relief and Response Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) Funding for FTA Section 5311 Program of Projects: \$1,318,911 | Pg. 20 |
| | 5. | FY 2020/21 Placer County Amended Claims for Local Transportation Funds (LTF): \$4,983,792 | Pg. 26 |
| | 6. | FY 2020/21 Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) Fund Allocation Estimate: \$372,951 | Pg. 30 |
| | 7. | FY 2020/21 Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Amended Claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF): \$1,260,508 | Pg. 33 |
| G. | | nsent Calendar: Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services ency | Action Pg. 35 |
| | The upo | ese items are expected to be routine and noncontroversial. They will be acted on by the Board at one time without discussion. Any Board member, staff mber, or interested citizen may request an item be removed from the consent endar for discussion. | C |
| | 1. 2. | Authorizing Execution of Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements with the State of California for Federal-Aid Transportation Programs and Projects Authorize filing FY 2020/21 Western Placer CTSA Claim for Local | Pg. 36 |
| Н. | Co | Transportation Funds (LTF) - \$818,237 nsent Calendar: Placer County Airport Land Use Commission | Action |
| 11. | The upo me | ese items are expected to be routine and noncontroversial. They will be acted on by the Board at one time without discussion. Any Board member, staff mber, or interested citizen may request an item be removed from the consent endar for discussion. | Pg. 38 |
| | 1. | Find that the Placer County Housing-Related Code Amendments are consistent with the 2014 ALUCP and authorize the Executive Director to sign and submit the attached consistency determination letter to Placer County. | |

Board of Directors Meeting Agenda
PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY
AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION
WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AGENCY
PLACER COUNTY LOCAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
April 28, 2021
Page 3

| I. | 9:00 A.M. PUBLIC HEARING: Non-Emergency Medical Transportation | Action |
|----|--|-------------------|
| | Service Changes | Pg. 41 |
| | Kathleen Hanley | |
| | Adopt Resolution No. 21-13 to eliminate the Health Express program | |
| | • Adopt Resolution No. 21-14 to eliminate the My Rides program. | |
| | • Adopt Resolution No. 21-15 to establish the Placer Rides program. | |
| | Adopt the Placer Rides Service Policies as presented in the staff report | |
| | • Adopt FY 2020/2021 Budget Amendment #2 | |
| J. | CRRSAA Highway Funding Allocation and RTIP Amendment | Action |
| | Kathleen Hanley | Pg. 50 |
| | • Allocate \$295,480 in 2021 Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP) funding to the Interstate 80 Auxiliary Lanes Project | S |
| | • Allocate \$3,264,711 in Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) funding to the Interstate 80 Auxiliary Lanes Project. | |
| | Adopt Resolution 21-16, nominating the Capital Region Freight – I-80 Project to the CTC for STIP programming. | |
| K. | FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program (OWP) and Budget - Amendment #2 | Action |
| | Mike Luken Adopt Resolution No. 21-17 authorizing the Executive Director to submit FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program (OWP) and Budget Amendment #2 to Caltrans as provided. | Pg. 53 |
| L. | Traffic Congestion Report Presentation Aaron Hoyt | Info |
| M. | Executive Director's Report | |
| N. | Board Direction to Staff | |
| 0. | Informational Items | Info |
| | 1. PCTPA TAC Minutes – April 13, 2021 | Pg. 56 |
| | 2. Status Reports | υ |
| | a. PCTPA | Pg. 59 |
| | b. AIM Consulting – Report for February and March 2021 | Pg. 61 |
| | c. FSB – Report for March 2021 | Pg. 65 |
| | d. Key Advocates – Report for March 2021 | Pg. 68 |
| | 3. PCTPA Receipts and Expenditures – March 2021 | Separate Cover |

Board of Directors Meeting Agenda
PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY
AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION
WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AGENCY
PLACER COUNTY LOCAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
April 28, 2021
Page 4

Following is a list of the 2021 Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) meetings.

Board meetings are typically held the **fourth Wednesday of the month** at 9:00 a.m. except for November and December meetings which are typically combined meetings. PCTPA meetings are typically held at the Placer County Board of Supervisors' Chambers, 175 Fulweiler Avenue, Auburn, California, however this meeting is being closed to the public.

Next Meeting – May 26, 2021

| PCTPA Board Meetings – 2021 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Wednesday, January 27 | Wednesday, July 28 | | | | | |
| Wednesday February 24 | Wednesday, August 25 | | | | | |
| Wednesday, March 24 | Wednesday, September 22 | | | | | |
| Wednesday, April 28 | Wednesday, October 27 | | | | | |
| Wednesday, May 26 | Wednesday, December 1 | | | | | |
| Wednesday, June 23 | | | | | | |



ACTION MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING OF THE

Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA)
Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA)
Placer County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC)
Placer County Local Transportation Authority (PCLTA)

March 24, 2021 9:00 a.m.

Placer County Transportation Planning Agency 299 Nevada Street, Auburn, California

MEETING CONDUCTED REMOTELY UNDER EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20

ROLL CALL STAFF

Sandy Amara

Brian Baker

Ken Broadway

Trinity Burruss

Jim Holmes

Bruce Houdesheldt

Paul Joiner, Chair

Kathleen Hanley

Aaron Hoyt

Shirley LeBlanc

Mike Luken

David Melko

Solvi Sabol

Aaron Hoyt

Suzanne Jones

Chair Joiner explained the meeting procedures to the Board and public as it relates to participating by means of a teleconference under Governor Newsom's March 12, 2020 Executive Order N-25-20.

APPROVAL OF ACTION MINUTES - February 24, 2021

Upon motion by Holmes and second by Amara, the action minutes of February 24, 2021 were approved by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Amara, Baker, Broadway, Holmes, Houdesheldt, Joiner, Jones

NOES/ABSTAIN: None

AGENDA REVIEW

Agenda was accepted as presented.

CAPITOL CORRIDOR THIRD TRACK PROJECT PRESENTATION AND ALTERNATE MEMBER APPOINTMENT

Staff report presented by David Melko, Senior Transportation Planner
David Melko introduced Rob Padgette, Managing Director, and Leo Sanchez Deputy of the
Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA). Mr. Padgette and Mr. Sanchez provided the
Board with a presentation on Phase 1 of the Sacramento-Roseville Third Track Project and
explained the Capitol Corridor train and bus schedule changes which are slated to take place on
March 29. The report and slide presentation are available at http://pctpa.net/agendas2021.

1

Upon motion by Houdesheldt and second by Holmes, the Board appointed Sandy Amara as the Alternate Member to serve on the Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority Board of Directors by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Amara, Baker, Broadway, Burruss, Holmes, Houdesheldt, Joiner, Jones

NOES/ABSTAIN: None

AMENDED FISCAL YEAR 2020/21 FINDINGS OF APPORTIONMENT FOR THE LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND / TRANSPORTATION FUNDING UPDATE

Staff report presented by Aaron Hoyt, Senior Planner and Mike Luken, Executive Director Mike Luken introduced Susie Woodstock from HdL. Ms. Woodstock provided the Board with a presentation on sales tax revenues and job sector performance through the fourth quarter 2020 and the forecast projections going forward. Aaron Hoyt provided a presentation on Local Transportation Funds (LTF) and the FY 2020/21 Amended LTF Apportionment being proposed. These reports and slide presentations are available at http://pctpa.net/agendas2021.

Upon motion by Holmes and second by Burruss, the Board approved the Amended Fiscal Year FY 2020/21 Findings of Apportionment for the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Amara, Baker, Broadway, Burruss, Holmes, Houdesheldt, Joiner, Jones

NOES/ABSTAIN: None

TRAFFIC CONGESTION REPORT PRESENTATION

Staff report presented by Aaron Hoyt, Senior Planner

Aaron Hoyt provided a report on Placer County's current travel trends on Interstate 80 and SR 65. This report is available by viewing the video located at http://pctpa.net/agendas2021.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mike Luken reported on the following:

- 1) PCTPA employees are now allowed to work up to five days a week in the office given the red tier that Placer County is now under.
- 2) The intent is to have the April Board Meeting in-person, at the Board of Supervisors Chambers. Board Members, staff, and consultants will be allowed to attend remotely.
- 3) The April Board Meeting will include:
 - a) A public hearing on Health Express and new Placer Rides Program
 - b) Amendment #2 for FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program and Budget
 - c) It's expected that we will have a SPRTA Board Meeting
- 4) The Northern California Mega Region will meet on Friday, March 26. We will report the results at the April Board Meeting
- As part of the settlement for the Sierra Club for the SR 65 project, we performed a preliminary feasibility study to look into rail to Lincoln. The draft results will be given at a public hearing in the spring.
- 6) We are working with the TAC to look at additional funding for HIP funds and stimulus funding.
- 7) We currently have our recruitment open for the Deputy Executive Director position. This position closes April 9.

- 8) Sutter County has applied for funding for PA&ED for Baseline Riego Road through SACOG's regional local grant program. Award recipients are expected to be announced next week.
- 9) A video from a KCRA Channel 3 interview was shown.
- 10) A video for "Keep Placer Moving" and upcoming Online Community Meetings was shown. This video is being distributed widely in Placer County.

ADJOURN

ML:ss

| he meeting adjourned at approximately 10:30 a.m. | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| A video of this meeting is available online at http://pctpa.net/agendas2021/ . | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Mike Luken, Executive Director | Paul Joiner, Chair | | | | | |
| Solvi Sabol, Clerk of the Board | | | | | | |



MEMORANDUM

TO: PCTPA Board of Directors DATE: April 28, 2021

FROM: Michael Luken, Executive Director

SUBJECT: CONSENT CALENDAR

Below are the Consent Calendar items for the April 28, 2021 agenda for your review and action.

1. FY 2020/21 Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency Amended Claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF): \$818,237

Western Placer CTSA is submitting an amended claim for \$818,237—an increase of \$76,176 over the original claim—in LTF funds for FY 2020/21 for transit purposes. The Western Placer CTSA claim is in compliance with the approved adjusted LTF apportionment, and all transit needs that are reasonable to meet are being provided. Staff recommends conditional approval, subject to the Western Placer CTSA authorization to submit said LTF claim.

2. Authorizing Execution of Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements with the State of California for Federal-Aid Transportation Programs and Projects
Resolution No. 14-26 currently provides a blanket authorization for the Executive Director to enter into Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements,
Baseline Agreements, and Cooperative Agreements with Caltrans for federal-aid transportation programs and projects. These agreements are essentially form contracts, containing standardized clauses. Resolution No. 14-26 omits a necessary agreement type and is need of updating. Staff recommends that the Board adopt a Resolution No. 21-07 and rescind Resolution No.14-26. Caltrans requires a Board resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into these agreements. Continuing to delegate the responsibility to the Executive Director to sign these agreements has proven efficient.

3. PCTPA FY 2021 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5311 Program of Projects: \$523,465

FTA Section 5311 funds provide operating or capital assistance for transit in rural areas. Placer County Transit and the City of Auburn are the only transit operators who provide rural service in PCTPA's jurisdiction. The proposed FY 2021 program of projects totals \$523,465. Funds will be used for operating assistance for rural transit services within the City of Auburn and unincorporated Placer County (including TART service in the North Lake Tahoe basin). Both jurisdictions agree to allocate available Section 5311 funds based on a formula of revenue vehicle hours, revenue vehicle miles and ridership: Placer County = \$463,087 and City of Auburn = \$60,378 The TAC concurred with the Section 5311 Program of Projects for 2021. Staff recommends approval of the attached resolution.

Board of Directors Consent Calendar April 2021 Page 2

4. PCTPA FY 2021 Coronavirus Relief and Response Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) Funding for FTA Section 5311 Program of Projects: \$1,318,911

The CRRSAA provided supplemental funding through the FTA Section 5311 program to support the transit industry through the COVID-19 public health emergency. FTA Section 5311 funds provide operating or capital assistance for transit in rural areas. Placer County Transit and the City of Auburn are the only transit operators who provide rural service in PCTPA's jurisdiction. The proposed CRRSAA funding for 5311 totals \$1,383,911. Funds will be used for operating assistance for rural transit services within the City of Auburn and unincorporated Placer County (including TART service in the North Lake Tahoe basin). Both jurisdictions agree to allocate the available CRRSAA funds based on the established FTA 5311 formula of revenue vehicle hours, revenue vehicle miles and ridership: Placer County = \$1,224,285 and City of Auburn = \$159,626 The TAC concurred with the CRRSAA for FTA 5311 Program of Projects for 2021. Staff recommends approval of the attached resolution.

5. <u>FY 2020/21 Placer County Amended Claims for Local Transportation Funds (LTF):</u> \$4,983,792

Placer County submitted an amended claim for \$4,983,792, an increase of \$464,409, in LTF funds for FY 2020/21 - \$3,538,612 for transit operations, \$1,420,180 for streets and roads maintenance, and \$25,000 for transportation planning process. The County's claims are in compliance with the approved LTF apportionment. The County has complied with the annual Fiscal and Compliance Audit for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 and all transit needs that are reasonable to meet are being provided. Staff recommends the issuance of instructions to the County Auditor to pay the claimant in full.

6. <u>FY 2020/21 Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) Fund Allocation Estimate:</u> \$372,951

Senate Bill 862 of 2014 created the LCTOP to provide operating and capital assistance for transit agencies to reduce greenhouse gas emission and improve mobility by implementing new or expanded transit services. According to the State Controller's Office Eligible Allocation for FY 2020/21, \$81.8 million is available statewide and \$372,951 is available countywide.

The attached fund allocation identifies the formula allocation of funds and projects proposed for funding. The TOWG and TAC concurred to fund a second year of a multi-year program for the regionally benefiting South Placer Transit Project. The funding serves as match funds for the awarded Senate Bill 1 Solutions for Congested Corridors application to purchase five buses.

All applications were due to Caltrans by April 9, 2021 for a joint review with the California Air Resources Board. The approved applications will then be submitted to the State Controller's Office (SCO) by June 30, 2021. PCTPA staff has coordinated with Caltrans to submit the Final resolutions and applications following the Board approval.

Board of Directors Consent Calendar April 2021 Page 3

Staff recommends approval of the attached resolution 21-06 transferring the FY 19/20 Board allocated LCTOP funding for the South Placer Transit Project, which PCTPA was the project sponsor, to the City of Roseville who will become the project sponsor for implementation and approving FY 20/21 LCTOP funding allocation to the South Placer Transit Project with the City of Roseville as the project sponsor, and

The TOWG and TAC concurred with the funding allocation and agreed to continue interagency coordination on the implementation of the South Placer Transit Project at their April 12, 2021 and April 13, 2021 meetings, respectively.

7. FY 2020/21 Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Amended Claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF): \$1,260,508

Staff recommends approval of the attached amended PCTPA LTF claim for FY 2020/21 in the amount of \$1,260,508, an increase of \$73,129 over the original claim, per the FY 2020/21 Amended Final Apportionment of Local Transportation Funds approved at the March 24, 2021 Board meeting. PCTPA previously claimed the \$475,000 Administration allocation in June 2020 and the \$712,379 Planning allocation in October 2020.

ML:ss

AMENDED - CLAIM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

299 NEVADA STREET, AUBURN, CA 95603

TO:

| FROM: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| CLAIMANT: | WPCTSA | | | | |
| ADDRESS: | 299 Nevada Street | t | | | |
| | Auburn, CA 95603 | | | | |
| CONTACT DEDCOM | Kallala an Handara | | | | |
| CONTACT PERSON: | Kathleen Hanley | 0 | Email | :khanley@pctpa.net | |
| | Phone: <u>530-823-402</u> | <u>9</u> | Ellidii | .knamey@pctpa.net | |
| The WPCTSA hereby request commencing with Section 99 6600, that this claim for Local following amounts for the following with the Placer Collows | 9200 and the Califor al Transportation Fu Illowing purposes to | nia Cod nds be a | e of Regul approved | ations commencing w for Fiscal Year <u>2020/2</u> | vith Section 11, in the |
| P.U.C. 99260a, Article 4, Tra | ansit Operations: | | | \$ Click or tap here to e | nter\$ |
| P.U.C. 99260a, Article 4, Tra | • | | cu. | \$Click or tap here to er | iter\$ |
| P.U.C. 99275, Article 4.5, Co | ommunity Transit Se | ervices | - | \$818,237 | |
| P.U.C. 99400a, Article 8a, Lo | ocal Streets and Roa | ads | _ | \$Click or tap here to er | iter\$ |
| P.U.C. 99402, Article 8a, Tra | ansportation Planni | ng Proce | ess | \$Click or tap here to er | iter \$ |
| P.U.C. 99400c, Article 8c, Co | ontracted Transit Se | ervices: | _ | \$Click or tap here to er | iter\$ |
| P.U.C. 99400e, Article 8e, C | apital for Contracte | d Servic | es: | \$Click or tap here to er | iter\$ |
| C.C.R. 6648, Capital Reserve | e: | | _ | \$Click or tap here to er | nter\$ |
| When approved, this claim will be payment by the County Auditor to provisions that such monies will be budget. Claimant must submit a coinstructions to the County Auditor | the applicant is subjec e used only in accordan omplete Fiscal and Com | t to such i ce with th pliance A | monies bein ne terms of | g available for distributior the approved annual finar | n, and to the ncial plan and |
| APPROVED: | | APPLI | CANT: | | |
| PLACER COUNTY | | | | | |
| TRANSPORTATION PLANNII | NG AGENCY | | | | |
| BOARD OF DIRECTORS BY: | | BY: | Sa | Talini) | |
| | (signature) | _ | | 7-60 | (signature) |
| TITLE: Executive Director | | TITLE: | Executiv | e Director | |
| DATE: April 28, 2021 | | DATE: | April 6, | 2021 | |
| | | | | | |

TDA ANNUAL PROJECT AND FINANCIAL PLAN

This form will show the planned expenditures of all TDA funds claimed for the fiscal year in addition to any TDA funds carried over from previous years. Briefly describe all operational, capital and/or streets and roads projects which will be funded by TDA moneys. Please show BOTH prior year TDA funds (if any) and current year TDA funds to be used, provide the total cost of each project, and indicate all other sources of funding associated with each project. For capital projects, the projects listed and their associated costs and funding sources should be consistent with the budget developed in the TDA Claim Worksheet completed for the submittal of this claim. The total project cost and total funding source(s) listed below should balance for each project. See attached sample plan for additional guidance.

Claimant: WPCTSA

Fiscal Year: FY 2020/21

| Brief Project Description | Project Cost | Source of Funding & Amount |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Health Express non-emergency | \$650,866 | FY 2021 LTF: \$650,866 |
| medical transportation program | | |
| operations | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| My Rides non-emergency medical | \$380,860 | FY 2021 LTF: \$167,371 |
| transportation program operations | | Prior LTF: \$213,489 |
| 10 00 00 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at a

IN THE MATTER OF: ALLOCATION OF ARTICLE 4.5 LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS TO THE WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGENCY

regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

RESOLUTION NO. 21-11

| Ayes: | |
|--|---|
| Noes: | |
| Absent: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage. | |
| | |
| | Chair Placer County Transportation Planning Agency |
| | |
| Executive Director | |

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency has been designated by the Secretary as the transportation planning agency for Placer County, excluding the Lake Tahoe Basin, in accordance with the Transportation Development Act, as amended; and

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of the Agency to review the annual transportation claims and to make allocations from the Local Transportation Fund.

WHEREAS, the Agency has made all of the following findings:

- (1) That the proposed community transit service is responding to a transportation need currently not being met in the community of the claimant.
- (2) That the service shall be integrated with existing transit services, if appropriate.
- (3) That the claimant has prepared an estimate of revenues, operating costs, and patronage.

- (4) That the claimant is in compliance with Section 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, or 99268.9, whichever is applicable to it, or with regional, countywide, or county sub-area performance criteria, local match requirements, or fare recovery ratios adopted by resolution of the Agency for any or all types of community transit services.
- (5) That the claimant is in compliance with Sections 99155 and 99155.5.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Agency has reviewed the claims and has made the following allocations from the 2020/21 fiscal year funds.

To Western Placer CTSA for projects conforming to Article 4.5 of the Act: \$818,237

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that allocation instructions are hereby approved for the County Auditor to pay the claimants.

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF MASTER AGREEMENTS, PROGRAM SUPPLEMENTS, FUND TRANSFER AGREEMENTS, BASELINE AGREEMENTS, OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS FOR FEDERAL AID TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS

regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

RESOLUTION NO. 21-07

| Ayes: | |
|--|--|
| Noes: | |
| Absent: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage. | |
| | |
| | |
| | Chair Placer County Transportation Planning Agency |
| | |
| Executive Director | |

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at a

WHEREAS, Congress of the United States enacted the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) of 1991 and subsequent transportation authorization bills to fund transportation programs under Title 23 U.S.C. (Highways) and Title 49 U.S.C. (Transportation) or as established under other federal law; and

WHEREAS, the Legislature of the State of California enacted legislation by which certain federal-aid transportation funds may be made available for use by public entities qualified to act as recipients of federal-aid transportation funds in accordance with federal law; and

WHEREAS, PCTPA was created as a local area planning agency to provide regional transportation planning for the area of Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin, pursuant to California

Government Code, Title 7.91, Section 67910; and

WHEREAS, PCTPA is designated as the regional transportation planning agency for Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin, pursuant to California Government Code Section 29532.1(c); and

WHEREAS, PCTPA is eligible to receive certain federal-aid transportation funds through the California Department of Transportation local assistance program and through the Sacramento Area Council of Governments; and

WHEREAS, PCTPA is required to enter into Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements as a condition to receive federal-aid transportation funds for specific programs and projects; and

WHEREAS, the California Department of Transportation and the Sacramento Area Council of Governments require a Board resolution authorizing PCTPA to enter into Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Executive Director is hereby authorized for and on behalf of Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) to:

- 1. Execute all Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements; and
- 2. File any and all other documents and applications as may be required for purposes of receiving federal-aid transportation funds and implementing federal-aid transportation programs and/or projects through the California Department of Transportation local assistance program and the Sacramento Area Council of Governments.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Executive Director is hereby authorized to take any and all other actions necessary and proper to effectuate the processing of the submissions herein authorized.



Program of Projects (POP)

FY2021

Due: April 30, 2021 at 2pm PST

| Agency Name: | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | 5311 | | CMAQ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Regional Contact | Info: | | | | | | | |
| Regional Contact Name: | | | Phone | Number: | | | | |
| Contact Title: | | | Date: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| General Informati | on: | | | | | | | |
| County or Region: | : | | | Caltrans | District: | | | |
| Original | | Revision | | Revision | Dada. | | | |
| Submission Date: | | Number: | | Submission | on pate: | | | |
| Section A: Availab | ole Funding | | | | | | | |
| Apportionment fo | r this Cycle (Federa | ıl Share): | | | | | | |
| Section B: Prograr | nming | | | | | | | |
| Operating Assista | nce Total: | | | | | | | |
| Capital Total: | | | | | | | | |
| Total Programmed | d (Operating + Cap | ital): | | | | | | |
| Flexible Funds (if applying for CMAQ, STP or Federalized STIP): | | | | | | | | |
| Flex Funds Total: | Flex Funds Total: | | | | | | | |
| District Engineer, o | fer will be applied f and Headquarters' Freceive a conform | Division of l | ocal Assis | tance. Div | ision of Ro | | | |



Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

All federal funds to be used for transit projects must be included in a federally approved STIP. A Transportation Planning Agency (TPA) must ensure that Section 5311 projects are included in the Department of Transportation's (Department) Statewide Transportation Federal Improvement Program (FSTIP), which is jointly approved by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and FTA.

A copy of the federally approved STIP Page must be attached for all projects to be programmed through the Section 5311 program. The project description and associated dollar amounts must be consistent with the federally approved STIP information.

- **Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs)** are responsible for programming projects within their jurisdiction.
- Rural Transit & Intercity Bus staff will submit Non-MPO / Rural Transportation organizations projects directly to the Department's Division of Financial Programming for inclusion into the FSTIP.

For further guidance see the Department's <u>Division of Financial Programming</u> website.



PART 1: Operating Assistance - Regional Apportionment

Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) are responsible for sub-allocating projects within their jurisdiction:

| Subrecipient | Project Description | Federal Share | Local Share (Excluding Toll Credit) | Toll Credit Amount (if any) | Net Project Cost | Program of Projects Document Year | Programmed Date or Amendment Number |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Operating Assistance Funds Total: | | | | | | | |



PART 2: Capital (Vehicles, Construction, Preventive Maintenance and Planning) - Regional Apportionment

Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) are responsible for sub-allocating projects within their jurisdiction:

| Subrecipient | Project Description | Federal Share | Local Share (Excluding Toll Credit) | Toll Credit Amount (if any) | Net Project Cost | Program of Projects Document Year | Programmed Date or Amendment Number |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Capital Assistance Funds Total: | | | | | | | |



PART 3: FLEX FUNDS (i.e. CMAQ, STP, or Federalized STIP*) if applicable

*Federalized STIP projects must complete the CTC allocation process.

| Subrecipient | Project Description | Federal Share | Local Share (Excluding Toll Credit) | Toll Credit Amount (if any) | Net Project Cost | Program of Projects Document Year | Programmed Date or Amendment Number |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| FLEX Funds Total: | | | | | | | |



Instructions:

PART 1 – Operating Assistance

- Do not list previously approved projects (i.e. projects listed in a prior grant).
- Funding split: 44.67% Local Share and 55.33% Federal Share.
- Third Party Contract Requirement all third-party contracts must contain federal clauses required under <u>FTA Circular 4220.1F</u> and approved by the State prior to bid release.
- Net project cost does not include ineligible cost (i.e. farebox, other revenues, etc.).

PART 2 – Capital (Vehicles, Construction, Preventive Maintenance and Planning)

- PRE-AWARD AUTHORITY IS STRICTLY FORBIDDEN FOR ALL CAPITAL
 PURCHASES Receiving an executed Standard Agreement (DOT-213A) is
 NOT procurement authorization.
- All vehicles procured with Section 5311 program funds must be ADA accessible regardless of service type (fixed route or demand-response service). Capital projects must contain a full description of project: A PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEY (PES) is required for Capital projects other than vehicle procurement. (i.e. facility or shelter include specifics, planning studies, preventative maintenance). The PES does not satisfy the requirements for environmental review and approval. When the agency prepares the documentation for a categorical exclusion, the Environmental Justice Analysis must be included.
- Funding split: 11.47% Local Share and 88.53% Federal Share.
- Procurement Contract Requirement all documents used for procuring capital projects must contain federal clauses required under <u>FTA Circular</u> <u>4220.1F</u> and approved by DRMT prior to bid release.

PART 3 - FLEXIBLE FUNDS (i.e. CMAQ, STP, or Federalized STIP*) if applicable:

- Request for transfer will be applied for directly through the District Local Assistance District Engineer, and Headquarters' Division of Local Assistance. Division of Rail & Mass Transportation (DRMT) will receive a confirmation once the transfer is completed.
- Funding split: 11.47% Local Share and 88.53% Federal Share. CMAQ may be funded up to 100% at the discretion of the Regional Planning Agency/MPO.

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: RESOLUTION APPROVING PCTPA FY 2020/21 FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION SECTION 5311 FORMULA PROGRAM OF PROJECTS **RESOLUTION NO. 21-09**

| a regular meeting held April 28, 2020 by the fo | ollowing vote on roll call: |
|--|--|
| AYES: | |
| NOES: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage | |
| | |
| | Chair |
| | Placer County Transportation Planning Agency |
| | |
| The state of the s | |
| Executive Director | |

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 29532.1(c) identifies PCTPA as the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency for Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, this designation requires PCTPA to complete a Program of Projects allocating \$523,465 in FY 2020/21 in FTA Section 5311 funds for transit operating and/or capital assistance in rural areas of Placer County; and

WHEREAS, Placer County Department of Public Works and the City of Auburn are the only transit operators who provide rural service in PCTPA's jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, Placer County Department of Public Works and the City of Auburn agree to allocate FTA Section 5311 funds based on a formula of revenue vehicle hours, revenue vehicle miles and ridership.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY HEREBY:

- 1. Approves the PCTPA FY 2020/21 FTA Section 5311 Program of Projects in the amount of \$523,465 for operating assistance: Placer County = \$463,087 and City of Auburn = \$60,378.
- 2. Authorizes the Executive Director to submit the PCTPA FY 2020/21 FTA Section 5311 Program of Projects to the California Department of Transportation.



Program of Projects (POP)

Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriation Act of 2021

Due: April 30, 2021 at 2pm PST

Agency Name: Placer County Transportation Planning Agency

| Regional Contac | t Info: | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Regional Contact Name: | Kathleen Hanley | Phone Number: | 530-823-4029 |
| Contact Title: | Associate Planner | Date: | 04/01/2021 |

| General Information: | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| County or Region: | Placer | | Caltrans District: | 3 | | | | |
| Original Submission Date: | 04/28/2021 | Revision Number: | Revision Submission Date: | | | | | |

| Section A: Available Funding | |
|---|--------------|
| Apportionment for this Cycle (Federal Share): | \$ 1,383,911 |

| Section B: Programming | |
|---|--------------|
| Operating Assistance Total: | \$ 1,383,911 |
| Capital Total: | \$0 |
| Total Programmed (Operating + Capital): | \$ 1,383,911 |



| PART 1: Operating Assistance - Regional Apportionment | Assistance - Regio | onal Apport | ionment | | | | はない |
|---|--|--------------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) | ning Organizations | | e responsible fc | or sub-allocating | are responsible for sub-allocating projects within their jurisdiction: | jurisdiction: | |
| Subrecipient | Project Description | Federal | Local Share (Excluding Toll Credit) | Toll Credit Amount (if any) | Net Project Cost | Program of Projects Document Year | Programmed Date or Amendment Number |
| City of Auburn | Non-Urbanized Transit Operations | \$ 159,626 | \$ 459,969 | 0 \$ | \$ 619,595 | 2020 | SACOG PLA25704 Pending MIIP Amendment |
| Placer County DPW | Tohce fruckee Area Regional TransiffART) Highway 89 & 267 Route Operating Assistance FY 21/22 - FY 23/24 | \$ 753,285 | \$ 2,993,745 | 0 \$ | \$ 3,747,030 | 2021 | SACOG PCT10509 Pending MIP Amendment |
| Placer County DPW | Placer County Transit (PCT) Colfax-Alta Route, Highway 49 Route & Highway 49 Dial A Ride FY 21/22 - FY 23/24 | \$ 471,000 | \$ 1,300,800 | 0 \$ | \$ 1,771,800 | 2021 | SACOG PCT10509 Pending MIIP Amendment |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Operating Assist | Operating Assistance Funds Total: | \$ 1,383,911 | \$ 4,754,514 | 0\$ | \$ 6,138,425 | | |
| | | | | | | | |



| nment | urisdiction: | Program of Programmed Projects Date or Amendment Year Number | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
| - Regional Apportio | are responsible for sub-allocating projects within their jurisdiction: | Net Project Cost | | | | | 0\$ |
| e and Planning) | r sub-allocating | Toll Credit Amount (if any) | | | | | 0\$ |
| PART 2: Capital (Vehicles, Construction, Preventive Maintenance and Planning) - Regional Apportionment | | Local Share (Excluding Toll Credit) | | | | | 0\$ |
| | | Federal | | | | | 0 \$ |
| hicles, Construct | ing Organization | Project Description | | | | | ce Funds Total: |
| PART 2: Capital (Ve | Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs | Subrecipient | | | | | Capital Assistance Funds Total: |



Instructions:

PART 0 - CARES and CRRSAA

- The supplemental funding will be provided at 100-percent federal share, with no local match required. Funding will support expenses eligible under the relevant program, although the Act directs recipients to prioritize payroll and operational needs.
- The CRRSAA funds do not expire.
- Pre-award authority for all Operating projects starting on January 20, 2020
- Funds will not need to be programmed UNLESS your agency applies for a Capital project beyond the COVID-19 Response
- Final approval to be determined by the Division of Rail and Mass Transit

PART 1 – Operating Assistance

- Do not list previously approved projects (i.e. projects listed in a prior grant).
- Third Party Contract Requirement all third-party contracts must contain federal clauses required under <u>FTA Circular 4220.1F</u> and approved by the State prior to bid release.
- Net project cost does not include ineligible cost (i.e. farebox, other revenues, etc.).

PART 2 – Capital (Vehicles, Construction, Preventive Maintenance and Planning)

- PRE-AWARD AUTHORITY IS STRICTLY FORBIDDEN FOR ALL CAPITAL
 PURCHASES Receiving an executed Standard Agreement (DOT-213A) is
 NOT procurement authorization.
- All vehicles procured with Section 5311 program funds must be ADA accessible regardless of service type (fixed route or demand-response service). Capital projects must contain a full description of project: A PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEY (PES) is required for Capital projects other than vehicle procurement. (i.e. facility or shelter include specifics, planning studies, preventative maintenance). The PES does not satisfy the requirements for environmental review and approval. When the agency prepares the documentation for a categorical exclusion, the Environmental Justice Analysis must be included.
- Procurement Contract Requirement all documents used for procuring capital projects must contain federal clauses required under <u>FTA Circular</u> <u>4220.1F</u> and approved by DRMT prior to bid release.

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: RESOLUTION APPROVING PCTPA FY 2020/21 FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION SECTION 5311 CRRSAA PROGRAM OF PROJECTS **RESOLUTION NO. 21-08**

| a regular meeting held April 28, 2020 by the fo | ollowing vote on roll call: |
|---|---|
| AYES: | |
| NOES: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage | |
| | |
| | Chair Placer County Transportation Planning Agency |
| Executive Director | |

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 29532.1(c) identifies PCTPA as the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency for Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, this designation requires PCTPA to complete a Program of Projects allocating FTA Section 5311 funds for transit operating and/or capital assistance in rural areas of Placer County; and

WHEREAS, the Coronavirus Relief and Response Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) provided additional funding through the FTA Section 5311 program to support the transit industry through the COVID-19 public health emergency; and

WHEREAS, Placer County Department of Public Works and the City of Auburn are the only transit operators who provide rural service in PCTPA's jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, Placer County Department of Public Works and the City of Auburn agree to allocate the supplemental CRRSAA FTA Section 5311 apportionment of \$1,383,911 based on the same formula of revenue vehicle hours, revenue vehicle miles, and ridership used to determine FTA 5311 Formula funds.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY HEREBY:

- 1. Approves the PCTPA CRRSAA FTA Section 5311 Program of Projects in the amount of \$1,383,911 for operating assistance: Placer County = \$1,224,285 and City of Auburn = \$159,626.
- 2. Authorizes the Executive Director to submit the PCTPA CRRSAA FTA Section 5311 Program of Projects to the California Department of Transportation.

Attachment A

CLAIM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS - AMENDED

| TO: | | NTY TRANSPORTATION PLA STREET, AUBURN, CA 9560 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| FROM: | | | |
| 1110111. | CLAIMANT: | County Of Placer | |
| | ADDRESS: | 3091 County Center Dr. S | Ste. 220 |
| | | Auburn, CA 95603 | |
| CONTAC | T PERSON: | Will Garner | |
| | | Phone: <u>530-745-7582</u> | Email:wgarner@placer.ca.gov |
| commend 6600, that following | ing with Section 99 t this claim for Loca | 9200 and the California Coo al Transportation Funds be ollowing purposes to be dra | with the State of California Public Utilities Code de of Regulations commencing with Section approved for Fiscal Year 2020/21, in the awn from the Local Transportation Fund |
| P.U.C. 99 | 9260a, Article 4, Tra | ansit Operations: | \$ 3,224,203 -3,538,612 |
| | 9260a, Article 4, Tra | • | \$Click or tap here to enter \$ |
| | | ommunity Transit Services | \$Click or tap here to enter \$ |
| | | ocal Streets and Roads | \$ 1,270,180 -1,420,180 |
| | | ansportation Planning Proc | \$25,000 (no change) |
| P.U.C. 99 | 9400c, Article 8c, C | ontracted Transit Services: | \$Click or tap here to enter \$ |
| P.U.C. 99 | 400e, Article 8e, C | apital for Contracted Servi | ces: \$Click or tap here to enter \$ |
| C.C.R. 66 | 48, Capital Reserve | e: | \$Click or tap here to enter \$ |
| payment by provisions t budget. Clai | the County Auditor to hat such monies will b mant must submit a c | the applicant is subject to such e used only in accordance with t | ty Auditor for payment. Approval of the claim and monies being available for distribution, and to the the terms of the approved annual financial plan and Audit for the prior fiscal year prior to issuance of |
| APPROVI | ED: | APPL | ICANT: County of Placer |
| PLACER (| COUNTY | | |
| | ORTATION PLANNII | NG AGENCY | |
| BOARD C | OF DIRECTORS | | ^ 1 |
| BY: | | BY: | (signature) |
| TITLE: | | TITLE: | \ \ |
| DATE: | | DATE | ** |

1

ANNUAL TDA CLAIM FORM PROJECT AND FINANCIAL PLAN

Briefly describe all proposed projects and indicate proposed expenditures by your agency for the ensuring fiscal year for purposes related to public transportation, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and streets and roads. Provide each project a title and number. (Use additional forms as necessary)

Claimant PLACER COUNTY

Fiscal Year 2020/21

| | n | | a an u | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Brief Project Description | Project Cost | | Source of Funding | |
| Placer County Transit | Salaries & Benefits: | \$3,171,758 | LTF – PCTPA | \$2,551,812 |
| Operations 2020/2021 | Services & Supplies | \$4,844,784 | STA – PCTPA | \$312,386 |
| • | Other | \$204,475 | FTA 5307 | \$990,200 |
| | Contingency | \$150,000 | FTA 5307 CARES | \$1,434,237 |
| | | | FTA 5311 CARES | \$120,000 |
| | | | FTA 5311 | \$24,000 |
| | | | Fares | \$678,700 |
| | | | Other Agency | \$1,737,800 |
| | | | Interest | \$17,500 |
| | | | Other | \$180,000 |
| | | | Operating Transfers In: | \$324,382 |
| | Total: | \$8,371,017 | Total: | \$8,371,017 |
| Placer County Transit | Zonar Upgrades | \$30,000 | STA – PCTPA | \$30,000 |
| Capital 2020/2021 | Transit Bus/Fueling Repair | rs \$153,631 | SGR – PCTPA | \$153,631 |
| | Total: | \$183,631 | Total: | \$183,631 |
| Tahoe Truckee Area Regional | Salaries & Benefits: | \$3,626,175 | LTF – TRPA | \$534,163 |
| Transit Operations 2020/2021 | Services & Supplies | \$2,807,241 | LTF – PCTPA | \$986,800 |
| Transit operations 2020/2021 | Other | \$216,825 | STA – TRPA | \$233,190 |
| | | | FTA 5307 | \$1,037,300 |
| | | | FTA 5311 | \$422,000 |
| | | | FTA 5311 CARES | \$465,088 |
| | | | Fares | \$327,000 |
| | | | T.O.T Funds | \$1,863,000 |
| | | | Other Agency | \$781,700 |
| | Total: | \$6,650,241 | Total: | \$6,650,241 |
| Tahoe Truckee Area Regional | 40' TART Bus | \$546,000 | SGR – PCTPA | \$179,839 |
| Transit Capital 2020/2021 | 40' TART Bus | \$546,000 | SGR – TRPA | \$66,331 |
| Transit Sapital 2020/2021 | Zonar Upgrades | \$20,000 | STA – PCTPA | \$204,800 |
| | 10 | | Prior STA | \$107,468 |
| | | | Prior SGR | \$335,815 |
| | | | FTA 5339 | \$142,003 |
| | | | FTA 5307 CARES | \$75,744 |
| | Total: | \$1,112,000 | Total: | \$1,112,000 |
| TOTAL Transit: | | \$16,316,889 | | \$16,316,889 |
| Road Maintenance 2020/2021 | Road Fund | \$1,270,180 | Road Fund | \$1,420,180 |
| Transportation Planning 2020/2021 | Transportation Planning | \$25,000 | Transportation Planning | \$25,000 |
| Transportation Flamming 2020/2021 | | , -, | | , -, |
| | Total: | \$1,295,180 | Total: | \$1,295,180 |
| Transit / Road Maint. / Planning: | Total: | \$17,612,069 | Total: | \$17,612,069 |
| TOTAL LTF | | | PCTPA | \$4,519,383 |
| | | | TRPA | \$534,163 |
| TOTAL STA | | | PCTPA | \$547,186 |
| | | | TRPA | \$233,190 |
| TOTAL SGR | | | PCTPA | \$333,470 |
| 101/11/1010 | | | TRPA | \$66,331 |
| | | | - ANA /A | ψυυ,υυ1 |

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: <u>AMENDED</u> ALLOCATION OF LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS TO THE COUNTY OF PLACER

RESOLUTION NO. 21-05

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at a regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

| Ayes: | |
|--|---|
| Noes: | |
| Absent: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage. | |
| | Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Chair |
| Executive Director | |

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency has been designated by the Secretary as the transportation planning agency for Placer County, excluding the Lake Tahoe Basin, in accordance with the Transportation Development Act, as amended; and

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of the Agency to review the annual transportation claims and to make allocations from the Local Transportation Fund.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Agency has reviewed the <u>amended</u> claims and has made the following allocations from the 2020/21 fiscal year funds.

| 1. | To the County of Placer for projects conforming to Article 4 (99260a) of the Act for Transit Operations | \$3,224,203 \$3,538,612 |
|----|--|----------------------------|
| 2. | To the County of Placer for projects conforming to Article 8(a) (99400) of the Act for Streets and Roads: | \$1,270,180 \$1,420,180 |

3. To the County of Placer for projects conforming to
Article 8(a) (99402) of the Act for the Transportation Planning Process: \$ 25,000

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that allocation instructions are hereby approved for the County Auditor to pay the claimants. Claimant must submit a complete Fiscal and Compliance Audit for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020, prior to issuance of said instructions to the County Auditor to pay the claimant.

Before the Board of Supervisors County of Placer, State of California

In the matter of: A Resolution to execute and submit an amended claim for FY 2020-21 Local Transportation Funds Placer County Transportation Agency totaling \$4,983,792.

Resolution No: 2021-061

The following Resolution was duly passed by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Placer at a regular meeting held on April 13, 2021 by the following vote:

Ayes:

GORE, JONES, GUSTAFSON, WEYGANDT

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT IS A CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE

Noes:

NONE

Absent:

HOLMES

MEGAN WOOD Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, of Placer, State of California

Deputy Clerk

Signed and approved by me after its passage.

Attest:

WHEREAS, the County of Placer is eligible to apply for and receive funds from the Local Transportation Fund, and

WHEREAS, for the Fiscal Year 2020-21, the County of Placer proposes to submit the following amended claim:

Local Transportation Fund Amended Claim to the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) for an additional \$219,409 for Placer County Transit (PCT), \$95,000 for Tahoe Truckee Area Regional Transit (TART), \$150,000 for Placer County Road Maintenance for a total amended claim in the amount of \$4,983,792 for Fiscal Year 2020-21.

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors, County of Placer, State of California, that the Chair of the Board of Supervisors is authorized and directed to execute the attached FY 2020-21 Local Transportation Fund Claims to the Placer County Transportation Agency.

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY FY 2020/2021 LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM (LCTOP) ALLOCATION ESTIMATE (EXCLUDING TAHOE BASIN)

April 2021

| PUC 99313 Allocation | \$325,476 |
|---|-----------|
| PUC 99314 Allocation | \$47,475 |
| Total LCTOP Allocation ⁽¹⁾ | \$372,951 |
| Allocation to WPCTSA | \$0 |
| Total PUC 99313 Allocation Available to Jurisdictions | \$325,476 |

FY 2020/2021 Jurisdiction LCTOP Fund Allocation

| Jurisdiction | January 2020 Population ⁽²⁾ | PUC 99313 Population Percent | PUC 99313 Population Allocation | PUC 99314 Fare Revenue Allocation | Total Jurisdiction Allocation |
|---------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Placer County | 103,794 | 26.46% | \$86,123 | \$38,870 | \$124,993 |
| Auburn | 14,594 | 3.72% | \$12,109 | \$157 | \$12,266 |
| Colfax | 2,152 | 0.55% | \$1,786 | \$0 | \$1,786 |
| Lincoln | 49,317 | 12.57% | \$40,921 | \$0 | \$40,921 |
| Loomis | 6,888 | 1.76% | \$5,715 | \$0 | \$5,715 |
| Rocklin | 70,350 | 17.93% | \$58,373 | \$0 | \$58,373 |
| Roseville | 145,163 | 37.01% | \$120,449 | \$8,448 | \$128,897 |
| TOTAL | 392,258 | 100.00% | \$325,476 | \$47,475 | \$372,951 |

Notes: (1) 2020/2021 Low Carbon Transit Operations Program Eligible Fund Allocation, California State Controller Division of Accounting and Reporting, February 26, 2021.

FY 2020/2021 LCTOP Project Summary

| Jurisdiction | Project Title | 99313 Population Allocation | 99314 Fare Revenue Allocation | FY 2020/21 Allocation Amount |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Roseville | South Placer Transit Project | \$325,476 | \$47,475 | \$372,951 |
| | FY 2020/2021 Allocation | \$325,476 | \$47,475 | \$372,951 |

Notes: FY 2020/2021 is the second allocation in a multi-year funding plan to deliver the South Placer Transit Project. Roseville will serve as the grant recipient to implement the regional project and adhere to the reporting requiremens of the grant as agreed.

⁽²⁾ Table E-1: City/County Population Estimates January 1, 2019 to January 1, 2020, DOF, May 1, 2020. PUC = Public Utilities Code

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: ALLOCATION OF LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM FUNDING FOR THE SOUTH PLACER TRANSIT PROJECT (\$372,951)

regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

RESOLUTION NO. 21-06

| Ayes: | |
|--|--|
| Noes: | |
| Absent: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage. | |
| | |
| | Chair |
| | Placer County Transportation Planning Agency |
| | |
| Executive Director | |

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at a

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency has been designated by the Secretary as the transportation planning agency for Placer County, excluding the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency is an eligible project sponsor and may receive state funding from the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) for transit projects; and

WHEREAS, the statutes related to state-funded transit projects require a local or regional implementing agency to abide by various regulations; and

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 862 (2014) named the Department of Transportation (Department) as the administrative agency for the LCTOP; and

WHEREAS, the Department has developed guidelines for the purpose of administering and distributing LCTOP funds to eligible project sponsors (local agencies); and

WHEREAS, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency wishes to delegate authorization to

execute these documents and any amendments thereto to Michael W. Luken, Executive Director.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency that it hereby authorizes the transfer of project sponsor from PCTPA to the City of Roseville on the South Placer Transit Project (#19-20-D03-021) and associated FY 2019/2020 funding of \$588,848.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency that it hereby authorizes the submittal of the following project and allocation request to the Department for FY 2020/21 LCTOP funds:

Project Name: South Placer Transit Project

Project Sponsor: <u>City of Roseville</u> Amount of LCTOP Funds: <u>\$372,951</u>

Contributing Sponsors: PCTPA: \$325,476 (99313)

City of Auburn: \$157 (99314) County of Placer: \$38,870 (99314) City of Roseville: \$8,448 (99314)

Benefit to Priority Populations: The project improves the accessibility to those living in disadvantaged communities, low income communities and low-income households by offering frequent bus service to key destinations within Placer County, as well as to light rail in Sacramento County.

Description: The South Placer County Transit Project will provide bus service between the city of Lincoln and the Watt/I-80 light rail station. The new bus service will begin in Lincoln before continuing along the Highway 65 corridor with stops at the Galleria Mall, Sutter Hospital and Kaiser Hospital. The bus will then travel on Interstate 80 to the Watt/I-80 light rail station.

CLAIM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS - AMENDED

| TO: | PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY 299 NEVADA STREET, AUBURN, CA 95603 | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| FROM: | CLAIMANT: Placer County Transportation Planning Agency ADDRESS: 299 Nevada Street, Auburn CA 95603 | | | | | | |
| CONTACT PERSON: | Michael Luken, Phone: (530) 82 | | | il: m | nluken@p | oct | pa.net |
| The Placer County Tran | sportation Planning | g Agency (PCTPA) | hereby | y red | quests, in a | acc | ordance with the |
| State of California Publi | c Utilities Code con | nmencing with Se | ection 9 | 9920 | 00 and the | Ca | lifornia Code of |
| Regulations commencing | ng with Section 660 | 0, that this claim | for Loc | al T | ransportat | tior | Funds be approved |
| for Fiscal Year 2020/21, | as amended, in the | e following amou | ints for | the | following | pui | poses to be drawn |
| from the Local Transpo | rtation Fund depos | ited with the Plac | cer Cou | inty | Treasurer: | : | |
| | PCTPA Administ | ration | | \$ | 475,000 | | |
| | PCTPA Planning | | | \$ | 712,379 | | 785 <u>,508</u> |
| | TOTAL | | | | 712,373 1,187,379 | | |
| | TOTAL | | | - ڊ | 1,107,373 | 1, | 200,508 |
| When approved, this cl | aim will be transmi | itted to the Place | er Coun | ty A | auditor for | pa | yment. Approval of |
| the claim and payment | by the County Aud | litor to the applic | ant is s | subje | ect to such | n m | onies being available |
| for distribution, and to | the provisions that | such monies wil | l be use | ed o | only in acco | ord | ance with the terms of |
| the approved annual fi | nancial plan and bu | ıdget. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| APPROVED: | | A | APPLICA | ANT: | : | | |
| PLACER COUNTY TRANS PLANNING AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS | SPORTATION | | | | UNTY TRAI AGENCY | NSF | PORTATION |
| | | | | | | | |
| BY: | | F | 3Y: | | | | |
| (signature) | | | | (sign | nature) | | |
| BY: <u>PCTPA Chair</u> | | E | BY: | Mic | chael Luke | n, E | executive Director |
| BY: <u>April 28, 2021</u> | | E | BY: | <u>Apr</u> | ril 28, 2021 | <u>L</u> | |
| | | | | | | | |

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: <u>AMENDED</u> ALLOCATION OF LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS TO THE PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at a regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

| ar a regular meeting hera ripin 20, 2021 by th | o rono wing vote on ron ean. |
|--|--|
| AYES: | |
| NOES: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage. | |
| | |
| | Chair |
| | Placer County Transportation Planning Agency |
| Executive Director | |
| WHEREAS, pursuant to California Govern | ment Code, Title 7.91, Section 67910, PCTPA wa |
| | |

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code, Title 7.91, Section 67910, PCTPA was created as a local area planning agency to provide regional transportation planning for the area of Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of PCTPA to review the annual transportation claims and to make allocations from the Local Transportation Fund.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that PCTPA has reviewed the <u>amended</u> claim and has made the following allocations from the 2020/21 fiscal year funds.

| 1. | To the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency for administrative purposes: | \$ 475,000 |
|----|---|-------------------|
| 2. | To the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency for transportation planning purposes, as amended: | <u>\$ 785,508</u> |
| | Total LTF Funds Allocated | \$ 1,260,508 |
| | Previous Payments | (\$ 1,187,379) |
| | Balance of LTF Planning Funds Claimed | \$ 73,129 |

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that allocation instructions are hereby approved for the County Auditor to pay the claimants.



MEMORANDUM

TO: WPCTSA Board of Directors DATE: April 28, 2021

FROM: Michael Luken, Executive Director

SUBJECT: CONSENT CALENDAR

Below are the Consent Calendar items for the April 28, 2021 agenda for your review and action.

- 1. Authorizing Execution of Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements with the State of California for Federal-Aid Transportation Programs and Projects
 Resolution No. 14-40 currently provides a blanket authorization for the Executive Director to enter into Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, and Cooperative Agreements with Caltrans for federal-aid transportation programs and projects. These agreements are essentially form contracts, containing standardized clauses. Resolution No. 14-40 omits a necessary agreement type and is need of updating. Staff recommends that the Board adopt a Resolution No. 21-12 and rescind Resolution No.14-40. Caltrans requires a Board resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into these agreements. Continuing to delegate the responsibility to the Executive Director to sign these agreements has proven efficient.
- 2. Authorize filing FY 2020/21 Western Placer CTSA Claim for Local Transportation

 Funds (LTF) \$818,237

Authorize Western Placer CTSA staff to submit to PCTPA a claim for \$818,237 in LTF funds for FY 2020/21 for transit purposes.

ML:ss

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF MASTER AGREEMENTS, PROGRAM SUPPLEMENTS, FUND TRANSFER AGREEMENTS, BASELINE AGREEMENTS, OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS FOR FEDERAL AID TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS

regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

RESOLUTION NO. 21-12

| Ayes: | |
|--|--|
| Noes: | |
| Absent: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage. | |
| | |
| | Chair Placer County Transportation Planning Agency |
| | |
| Executive Director | |

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency at a

WHEREAS, Congress of the United States enacted the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) of 1991 and subsequent transportation authorization bills to fund transportation programs under Title 23 U.S.C. (Highways) and Title 49 U.S.C. (Transportation) or as established under other federal law; and

WHEREAS, the Legislature of the State of California enacted legislation by which certain federal-aid transportation funds may be made available for use by public entities qualified to act as recipients of federal-aid transportation funds in accordance with federal law; and

WHEREAS, PCTPA designated the Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (WPCTSA) on December 4, 2008, as the consolidated transportation service agency for western Placer

County; and

WHEREAS, WPCTSA is eligible to receive certain federal-aid transportation funds through the California Department of Transportation local assistance program and through the Sacramento Area Council of Governments; and

WHEREAS, WPCTSA is required to enter into Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements as a condition to receive federal-aid transportation funds for specific programs and projects; and

WHEREAS, the California Department of Transportation and the Sacramento Area Council of Governments require a Board resolution authorizing WPCTSA to enter into Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Executive Director is hereby authorized for and on behalf of the Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (WPCTSA) to:

- 1. Execute all Master Agreements, Program Supplements, Fund Transfer Agreements, Baseline Agreements, or Cooperative Agreements; and
- 2. File any and all other documents and applications as may be required for purposes of receiving federal-aid transportation funds and implementing federal-aid transportation programs and/or projects through the California Department of Transportation local assistance program and the Sacramento Area Council of Governments.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Executive Director is hereby authorized to take any and all other actions necessary and proper to effectuate the processing of the submissions herein authorized.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Airport Land Use Commission DATE: April 28, 2021

FROM: Michael Luken, Executive Director

SUBJECT: <u>CONSENT CALENDAR</u>

Below are the Consent Calendar items for the April 28, 2021 agenda for your review and action.

1. <u>Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) Consistency Determination: Placer County</u> Housing-Related Code Amendments

On March 24, 2021, Placer County submitted a request to the Placer County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) to review the County's proposed Housing-Related Code Amendments for a determination of consistency with the Placer County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP). Before Placer County can take final action to approve the Housing-Related Code Amendments, the ALUC must determine whether the proposal is consistent with the ALUCP.

The Housing-Related Code Amendments consist of various targeted amendments to the Placer County General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, Zoning Combining Districts, and Community Design Manual for Multi-Family and Mixed-Use Development. Proposed changes consist of four components, which are summarized below and can also be reviewed at Placer County's website at: https://www.placer.ca.gov/5925/Housing-Related-Code-Amendments:

1. Text amendments to Tables 1-2 and 1-3 of the Land Use Element in the General Plan.

Text amendments to Tables 1-2 and 1-3 are proposed for areas that have Higher Density Residential and General Commercial land use designations. These amendments clarify the maximum nonresidential floor area ratio (FAR) for Mixed-Use and Multi-Family uses in these two land use designations. Additional, housing will be allowed in commercial zones.

2. Text amendments to the Zoning Ordinance.

Text amendments are proposed to the Zoning Ordinance to allow for more variation of development within existing zoning districts. The Zoning Ordinance amendments will allow Multi-Family development by right in several commercial zones and the new Mixed-Use zone, subject to conformance with the new Mixed-Use and Multi-Family Design Standards and Guidelines Manual. The amendments also include new standards and guidelines; an increase in the allowable density of mobile home parks; the additional allowance of workforce housing; updates to site development standards, including standards for parking, building heights, and lot coverage standards; updates to the review for by-right development; updates to the Density Bonus Ordinance; and allowance for cluster housing types.

3. Adoption of a new Design Manual for Multi-family and Mixed-Use development. The County has prepared a new Design Manual: Development Standards and Design Guidelines for Multi-Family and Mixed-Use Development to provide guidelines for achieving high-quality design for relevant housing types in the unincorporated County.

The Design Manual implements various General Plan policies that address the County's lack of available housing and supports efforts to have higher-density, mixed-use, transit-oriented, and infill development at locations identified in the General Plan, specific plans, and zoning. The Design Manual is also intended to streamline the approval process for Multi-Family and Mixed-Use projects, making it easier to obtain approvals for projects that include an affordable housing component as well as market rate housing.


4. Rezone of Combining Zone Districts for existing Multi-Family and commercially zoned properties.

Amendments are proposed for the County's zoning map that will affect 1,371 parcels in the unincorporated area. While the proposed amendments are countywide for purposes of ALUC review changes are only shown for the Auburn Municipal Airport Influence Area (Attachment 1). The zoning map amendments include creating a new Mixed-Use zone district and revising the Combining Districts: "building site" (-B), "conditional use permit required" (-UP), and "density limitation" (-DL) on all commercial and Multi-Family zones where adequate infrastructure and public services are available and replace them with a "design scenic corridor" (-DC) combining zone district requiring Design Review of future projects using the new Design Manual for Mixed-Use and Multi-Family Housing.

ALUC review of the Housing-Related Code Amendments is required because it involves amending the County's General Plan and Zoning Ordinance and affect lands subject to oversight by the ALUC (i.e., within two miles of a public use airport). The Housing-Related Code Amendments will implement policies in the County's Housing Element. The amendments are considered programmatic and do not involve any changes to base-zoning districts or density. Further, no specific development projects are being proposed as part of the Housing-Related Code Amendments. The Housing-Related Code Amendments will allow for higher-density residential development within residential, commercially zoned, and mixed-use developments in the unincorporated area increasing potentially the number of people that could be exposed to aircraft noise and safety hazards. Any future residential development resulting from implementation of the Housing-Related Code Amendments will be required to comply with ALUCP policies and criteria and require a separate consistency determination by the ALUC. Generally, ALUCP policies require that the design of new land uses include mitigation to reduce noise in outdoor activity areas and interior spaces to a less than significant level; and will limit land uses, densities, and intensities in airport land use compatibility zones to those uses and safety criteria listed in the ALUCP. County Planning staff concurred with the ALUC staff analysis.

Staff recommends that the ALUC find that the Placer County Housing-Related Code Amendments consistent with the 2014 ALUCP and authorize the Executive Director to sign and submit the attached consistency determination letter to Placer County. The TAC concurred with the staff recommendation on April 13, 2021.

ML:ss





MEMORANDUM

TO: WPCTSA Board of Directors DATE: April 28, 2021

FROM: Kathleen Hanley, Associate Planner

SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING: NON-EMERGENCY MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION

SERVICE CHANGES

ACTION REQUESTED

1. Conduct a public hearing to obtain testimony regarding staff-recommended changes to WPCTSA's non-emergency medical transportation programs.

- 2. Adopt Resolution No. 21-13 to eliminate the Health Express program.
- 3. Adopt Resolution No. 21-14 to eliminate the My Rides program.
- 4. Adopt Resolution No. 21-15 to establish the Placer Rides program.
- 5. Adopt the Placer Rides Service Policies as presented in the staff report
- 6. Adopt FY 2020/2021 Budget Amendment #2 as presented in the staff report

BACKGROUND

WPCTSA funds two non-emergency medical transportation services, known as Health Express and My Rides. Health Express provides Dial-A-Ride equivalent trips for medical appointment trips between Placer County's jurisdictions. My Rides matches passengers with volunteer drivers and reimburses drivers for mileage for medical appointment trips anywhere in Placer County. Both programs are funded by WPCTSA's Local Transportation Fund (LTF) apportionment. Ridership on both services and funding has been significantly limited by shelter-in-place restrictions and decreased sales tax revenue as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result, the cost per trip has increased and the number of passengers the service can accommodate has decreased.

At their February 2021 meeting, the WPCTSA Board of Directors directed staff to develop an alternative voucher-based non-emergency medical transportation program to more cost-effectively provide these trips based on the TRIP model developed by the Riverside-based nonprofit, Independent Living Partnership (ILP).

DISCUSSION

Due to COVID-19 social distancing restrictions and long trips lengths, Health Express has not been able to reach the shared-ride efficiency it was intended to have. Over the last year, Health Express has served 2,569 one-way trips covering 47,542 miles. With that long mileage, Health express is only serving 0.6 trips per hour. With this limited availability, only about 7 unique individuals utilize the Health Express service each month. Health Express costs about \$550,000 a year to operate—about \$212 per one-way trip and \$5,101 per unique individual annually.

Given the inefficiency of Health Express service, WPCTSA staff, in partnership with Placer agency staff, our service provider Seniors First and other social service partners, have developed a new transportation assistance program, called Placer Rides, to replace the Health Express and My Rides programs and to balance WPCTSA's long-term budget. Placer Rides builds upon My Rides much more efficient volunteer driver model but tweaks its format to help the program scale. As it currently functions, My Rides could

WPCTSA Board of Directors Public Hearing: NEMT Service Changes April 28, 2021 Page 2

not absorb Health Express' demand because it requires Seniors First staff to recruit and maintain volunteer lists and match drivers and riders for each trip.

Placer Rides empowers riders to locate volunteer drivers from within their own community networks and reimburses them for eligible trips on a per-mile basis up to 200 miles per month. Because this new program eliminates the expenses associated with professional driver requirements and the overhead required to individually match volunteers, the new Placer Rides program will be open to more individuals for more trip purposes while still decreasing expenses. To address the need for last-resort trips and fully accessible vehicle trips, Placer Rides will also cover the cost of Uber/Lyft and ADA taxi trips on a case-by-case basis. The full Placer Rides service policies are detailed in Attachment 1.

WPCTSA staff mailed notice of this public hearing to all registered Health Express and My Rides passengers. A notice of this public hearing was also published in the Auburn Journal on April 17, 2021. WPCTSA and Seniors First staff have received calls from many residents and have worked to clarify the recommended change and explain how to provide testimony at this public hearing. If the requested action is approved, WPCTSA staff will work with agency staff, and Seniors First to migrate existing Health Express and My Rides passengers to Placer Rides and to make the community aware of the new service. This work will include further mailers to existing passengers and presentations to relevant groups including the Placer County Older Adult Advisory Committee, Roseville Transportation Commission, Placer Collaborative Network, and the Placer Aging and Disability Resource Connection.

Staff recommends that the Board eliminate the Health Express and My Rides programs and establish the new Placer Rides program. June 30, 2021, is the proposed last day of service for Health Express and My Rides and July 1, 2021 is the proposed first day of service for Placer Rides. Staff further recommends that the Board adopt Placer Rides Service Policies (Attachment 1) and Amendment #2 to the FY 2020-2021 WPCTSA Budget (Attachment 2) to support these service changes. The TOWG and Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) concurred with the staff recommendation at their April 12th and April 13th meetings, respectively.

KH:ML:ss



Placer Rides Service Policies

Transportation Assistance Program

Service Description

Placer Rides is a voucher-based transportation assistance program jointly administered by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (WPCTSA) and Seniors First. Eligible riders are reimbursed on a per-mile basis for eligible trips provided by volunteer drivers in private vehicles.

Rider Eligibility

To be eligible for Placer Rides, individuals must be:

- 1. Residents of Placer County who can demonstrate they are:
 - Seniors (60 +), or
 - Individuals with Disabilities, or
 - Low Income Individuals
- 2. And do not have another means to take essential trips. Potential riders will describe their inability to drive or be driven, or take another form of public transportation.

Trip Eligibility

Placer Rides is meant to provide last-resort trips. If an eligible rider has another means of taking a trip, including utilizing public transportation, that trip is not eligible for reimbursement through Placer Rides. If an eligible rider has no other means, trip purposes that are eligible for reimbursement include:

- All non-emergency medical trips including clinical, dental, vision, preventative screenings, mental health, addiction treatment, dialysis, chemotherapy, radiation, and physical therapy
- Essential errands including food and basic goods shopping, pharmacy trips, correctional and rehabilitation appointments, and government assistance appointments
- Trips to bus stop, train station, or airport to make connections for a listed eligible purpose
- Trips determined to be eligible on a case-by-case basis by WPCTSA and Seniors First staff

Placer Rides does not have established service days or hours. As long as the trips are for an eligible purpose, trips can be taken at any time agreed upon by the rider and their volunteer. Similarly, trips can include multiple stops as long as each stop is an eligible purpose and the stops are mutually agreed upon by the rider and their volunteer.

Volunteer Drivers

Placer Rides is a rider-centric program. Riders are expected to recruit their own volunteers and coordinate their rides without the assistance of Placer Rides staff. Neighbors, friends, acquaintances, and caregivers all make great volunteer drivers. In general, it is Placer Rides' policy that if a family is financially able to provide a trip, it is not a trip of last resort. However, in cases where a rider can demonstrate financial need, family members are eligible to be volunteers and receive reimbursement. Placer Rides staff do not recruit, screen, train, match, or manage volunteer drivers. However, Placer Rides staff are available to coach riders and make suggestions on how to secure their own volunteers. In addition, Placer Rides staff do maintain a list of potential volunteers who are willing to provide trips, but it is the riders responsibility to make contact.

Placer Rides Service Policies, cont.

Physical Assistance, Mobility Devices, Service Animals, and Personal Attendants

Placer Rides riders may bring mobility devices (walkers, scooters, wheelchairs, etc), service animals, and/or personal attendants as long as their volunteer has agreed to accommodate them. Additionally, riders who need physical assistance getting in and out of the vehicle are eligible for Placer Rides so long as they secure a volunteer who is willing to provide that physical assistance.

Monthly Trip Limits

Placer Rides riders are eligible to receive reimbursement for up to 200 miles per month. There is no maximum for individual trips. If riders request reimbursement for more than 200 miles, they will only be reimbursed for the first 200 miles.

Last Resort Placer Rides

If an eligible rider has an urgent need for an eligible trip and is unable to secure a volunteer to provide that trip, Placer Rides staff may, at their discretion, arrange for the trip to be provided by a private transportation service (Uber/Lyft, taxi, ADA transportation service). Placer Rides will cover the cost of a maximum of two such trips per rider per month.

Service Area

Placer Rides trips should begin and end in Placer County and only Placer County residents are eligible for Placer Rides. However, trips to and from medical facilities in Sacramento County including UC Davis Medical Center, Shriners Hospital, Mercy San Juan, Sutter Sacramento, Kaiser Sacramento, and the Veterans Affairs Hospitals are eligible for reimbursement through Placer Rides. At Placer Rides staff discretion, additional trips to destinations outside Placer County may be deemed eligible for reimbursement.

Application and Reimbursement Processes

To determine eligibility, prospective riders must complete the Placer Rides Application Form and submit it to Seniors First at the mailing address or email address included on the form. Individuals will be contacted by Placer Rides staff with the results of the eligibility determination. If approved, riders will be mailed a welcome packet including a program brochure, a copy of service policies, and reimbursement request forms. If there are any changes to the information submitted on the application form (address, phone number, disability status, income) riders are required to contact Placer Rides staff to update the information.

Reimbursement requests for a month must be received no later than the 10th day of the following month. Riders may only be reimbursed for up to 200 miles of eligible trips per month. In signing the reimbursement forms, riders are verifying the accuracy of reported trips. Miles are reimbursed according to the Internal Revenue Service standard mileage rate (\$0.56 per mile currently). Once processed, riders will receive a check in their name for the requested reimbursement. It is the riders responsibility to pass on the reimbursement to their volunteer driver(s).

Policy Violation

Riders and their volunteers are expected to follow all Placer Rides policies. If riders are found to be in violation of policy, including requesting reimbursement for ineligible trip purposes, requesting reimbursement for trips that did not occur, or requesting reimbursement without passing along reimbursement to the volunteer driver, the riders will be notified of the reported violation and suspended for 30 days. If a second violation is reported, the rider will be terminated from the Placer Rides program.

Western Placer CTSA Proposed Operating Budget Summary FY 2021 Amendment #2

April 2021

| Operating Expenditures | | FY 2021 Amendment #2 Proposed | | FY 2021 Adopted October 2020 | | Difference Proposed vs. Adopted | |
|--|----|-------------------------------------|----|------------------------------------|----|---------------------------------------|--|
| PCTPA Staff Administration MOU - Per PCTPA OWP WE #23 (1) | \$ | 128,769 | \$ | 126,458 | \$ | 2,311 | |
| Legal Services | \$ | 7,500 | \$ | 7,500 | \$ | - | |
| Placer Collaborative Network (PCN) Membership | \$ | 250 | \$ | 250 | \$ | - | |
| Accounting Services | \$ | 500 | \$ | 500 | \$ | - | |
| Fiscal Auditors (TDA) | \$ | 5,500 | \$ | 5,500 | \$ | - | |
| Outreach | \$ | 7,500 | \$ | 7,500 | \$ | - | |
| Direct Expenses (2) | \$ | 7,500 | \$ | 7,500 | \$ | - | |
| Subtotal PCTPA Administration | \$ | 157,519 | \$ | 155,208 | \$ | 2,311 | |
| Programs | | | | | | | |
| MV Transit - Health Express (3) | \$ | 650,866 | \$ | 650,866 | \$ | - | |
| Seniors First - Health Express Program Management (4) | \$ | 75,000 | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | (25,000) | |
| Seniors First - My Rides (4) | \$ | 280,860 | \$ | 280,860 | \$ | - | |
| Placer Rides - Independent Living Partnership (5) | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000 | |
| Placer Rides - Seniors First (6) | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 25,000 | |
| Transit Ambassador Program (7) | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | - | |
| Transit Planning (8) | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | 20,000 | \$ | - | |
| Bus Pass Subsidy Program (9) | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | - | |
| South Placer Transit Information Center (Call Center) (10) | \$ | 240,000 | \$ | 240,000 | \$ | - | |
| Placer 211 (11) | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | - | |
| Subtotal Existing & New Programs | \$ | 1,386,726 | \$ | 1,376,726 | \$ | 10,000 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal Operating Expenditures | \$ | 1,544,245 | \$ | 1,531,934 | \$ | 12,311 | |
| | | · | | | | | |
| Operating Reserve (12) | \$ | 231,637 | \$ | 229,790 | \$ | 1,847 | |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$ | 1,775,882 | \$ | 1,761,724 | \$ | 14,158 | |

| Operating Revenue | Am | endment #2 | Am | endment #1 | Di | fference |
|---|----|------------|----|------------|----|----------|
| FY 2021 LTF Article 4.5 (13) | \$ | 818,237 | \$ | 742,061 | \$ | 76,176 |
| FY 2021 State Transit Assistance PUC 99313 (14) | \$ | 65,799 | \$ | 65,799 | \$ | - |
| Interest Income (15) | \$ | 6,000 | \$ | 6,000 | \$ | - |
| Carryover (16) | \$ | 856,583 | \$ | 856,583 | \$ | - |
| Seniors First match toward Health Express - Hospital Funds (17) | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | - |
| Seniors First match toward My Rides - A4AA Funds (17) | \$ | 38,860 | \$ | 38,860 | \$ | - |
| Seniors First match toward My Rides - Other Sources (17) | \$ | 57,000 | \$ | 57,000 | \$ | - |
| Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total Operating Revenue | \$ | 1,902,479 | \$ | 1,826,303 | \$ | 76,176 |

| Operating Revenue to Expenditure Comparison | Amendment #2 | Amendment #1 | Difference |
|---|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Carryover | \$126,597 | \$64,579 | \$ 62,018 |

Notes

- 1. Per Amendment #2 to FY 2020-2021 PCTPA OWP Work Elements 23 and 24
- $2.\ Direct\ expenses\ include\ auto,\ advertising,\ meeting,\ conference\ \&\ training,\ postage,\ printing,\ and\ travel.$
- 3. Per Agreement with MV Transportation approved September 2019.
- 4. Per Seniors First MyRides and Health Express Agreement approved June 2018.
- 5. Per Services Agreement with Independent Living Partnership approved February 2021.
- 6. Re-allocation of Health Express Management expenses, pending new agreement with Seniors First in June
- 7. Per Transit Ambassador Agreement with the City of Roseville approved November 2017
- 8. Reflects planning consultant services to respond to COVID-19 pandemic's effect on transit
- 9. Bus pass reimbursement for participating social service organizations based on approved agreements.
- 10. Per Call Center Agreement with Roseville Transit approved November 2017.
- 11. Per Placer 211 Agreement with Placer County Health and Human Services approved April 2020
- 12. The operating reserve is set to 15% of operating expenses
- 13. Midyear LTF Adjustment adopted by PCTPA Board in March 2021
- 14. Final STA Estimate adopted by PCTPA Board in September 2020
- 15. Interest income estimate.
- 16. Per fiscal year end financial records, August 2020.
- 17. Revenue attributable to non-profit match for programs.

WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ELIMINATION OF THE HEALTH EXPRESS PROGRAM

RESOLUTION NO. 21-13

The following resolution was duly passed by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency at a regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

| AYES: | |
|---|---|
| NOES: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage | |
| | |
| | Chair Joiner Western Placer Consolidated Trans. Services Agency |
| Executive Director | |

WHEREAS, the Health Express program is a non-emergency medical transportation service operated by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency ("WPCTSA") in south Placer County;

WHEREAS, the Health Express program is being recommended for elimination due to current and historical service performance that falls below performance standards for similar non-emergency medical transportation programs and Dial-A-Ride services;

WHEREAS, a public hearing to receive public comment on the elimination of the Health Express program was noticed by mail, online, and in newspapers in accordance with WPCTSA's adopted service change policies;

WHEREAS, the WPCTSA Board of Directors conducted a public hearing and received comment on the elimination of the Health Express program;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency that the Health Express program is to be eliminated with June 30, 2021, as its last day of service.

WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ELIMINATION OF THE MY RIDES PROGRAM

RESOLUTION NO. 21-14

The following resolution was duly passed by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency at a regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

| Executive Director | |
|---|---|
| | |
| | Chair Joiner Western Placer Consolidated Trans. Services Agency |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage | |
| ABSENT: | |
| NOES: | |
| AYES: | |

WHEREAS, the My Rides program is a non-emergency medical transportation assistance program operated by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency ("WPCTSA") throughout Placer County;

WHEREAS, the My Rides program is being recommended for elimination due to current service policies that limit its ability to expand to meet the transportation needs of Placer County's growing senior, low-income, and disabled populations;

WHEREAS, a public hearing to receive public comment on the elimination of the My Rides program was noticed by mail, in newspapers, and online in accordance with WPCTSA's adopted service change policies;

WHEREAS, the WPCTSA Board of Directors conducted a public hearing and received comment on the elimination of the My Rides program;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency that the My Rides program is to be eliminated with June 30, 2021, as its last day of service.

WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE CREATION OF THE PLACER RIDES PROGRAM

RESOLUTION NO. 21-15

The following resolution was duly passed by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency at a regular meeting held April 28, 2021 by the following vote on roll call:

| AYES: | |
|---|--|
| NOES: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage | |
| | |
| | Chair Joiner |
| | Western Placer Consolidated Trans. Services Agency |
| | |
| Executive Director | |

WHEREAS, the Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency ("WPCTSA") duly passed Resolutions 21-XX and 21-XX eliminating the My Rides and Health Express programs at their April 28, 2021 regular meeting;

WHEREAS, there remains significant and growing need for assistance for Placer County's seniors, individuals with disabilities, and low-income individuals to access medical appointments, grocery trips, and other essential activities;

WHEREAS, volunteer driver programs are an established, cost-effective means of meeting the transportation needs of such individuals with proven success in Placer County;

WHEREAS, WPCTSA staff, in partnership with Placer County's local agency staff and social service partners, have developed a reimbursement-based transportation assistance program called Placer Rides to meet the transportation needs of Placer County's seniors, individuals with disabilities, and low-income individuals;

WHEREAS, a public hearing to receive public comment on the creation of the Placer Rides program was noticed by mail, online, and in newspapers in accordance with WPCTSA's adopted service change policies;

WHEREAS, the WPCTSA Board of Directors conducted a public hearing and received comment on the creation of the Placer Rides program;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency that the Placer Rides program is to be established with July 1, 2021, as its first day of service.



MEMORANDUM

TO: PCTPA Board of Directors DATE: April 28, 2021

FROM: Kathleen Hanley, Associate Planner

SUBJECT: CRRSAA HIGHWAY FUNDING ALLOCATION AND RTIP

AMENDMENT

ACTION REQUESTED

1. Allocate \$295,480 in 2021 Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP) funding to the Interstate 80 Auxiliary Lanes Project.

- 2. Allocate \$3,264,711 in Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) funding to the Interstate 80 Auxiliary Lanes Project.
- 3. Adopt Resolution 21-16, nominating the Capital Region Freight I-80 Project to the CTC for STIP programming.

BACKGROUND

The 2021 Federal Appropriations Act included additional funding under the Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP), with \$295,480 distributed to PCTPA based on Caltrans' distribution formula. The federal Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) included funding for highway projects to stimulate the economy. California received \$911 million of this highway stimulus funding. At their March 2021 meeting, the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved a funding split with 60 percent for the state and 40 percent for the regions. Using this split, PCTPA was apportioned \$3,264,771 total, including \$1,632,184 through the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and \$1,632,587 through the Regional Surface Transportation Block Group Program (STBGP).

To relieve congestion and improve safety on Interstate 80, PCTPA, in partnership with Caltrans, Rocklin, Roseville, Placer County, and the Federal Highway Administration have developed the Interstate 80 Auxiliary Lanes Project. The project, which is currently in final design, will add a fifth lane to westbound I-80 between Douglas Boulevard and Riverside Avenue, and add an auxiliary lane to eastbound I-80 between Highway 65 and Rocklin Road.

DISCUSSION

As final design nears completion, and similar project bid comparisons are updated, the total project cost for the Interstate 80 Auxiliary Lanes project has increased from \$31.9 million to \$38.4 million. Most the project's funding comes from successful 2020 Senate Bill 1 grants. If local funding to close the gap is not secured, the \$26.3 million in Senate Bill 1 funding awarded to this project could be rescinded. Staff recommends the Board allocate the two recent discretionary funding apportionments—HIP and CRRSAA—to the Interstate 80 Auxiliary Lanes project to fill the funding gap. The attached resolution is required to program the STIP half of the CRRSAA funding. The PCTPA Technical Advisory Committee concurred with staff recommendation at their April 13th meeting.

KH:ML:ss

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: THE 2021 CORONAVIRUS RESPONSE AND RELIEF SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT (CRRSAA) STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (STIP) ALLOCATION **RESOLUTION NO. 21-16**

| Agency at a regular meeting held April 28, 202 | 1 by the following vote on roll call: |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| AYES: | |
| NOES: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage | |
| | |
| | |
| Paul Join | ner, Chair |
| Placer C | ounty Transportation Planning Agency |
| | |
| Executive Director | |

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code, Title 7.91, Section 67910, the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) was created as a local area planning agency to provide regional transportation planning for the area of Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 29532.1c identifies PCTPA as the designated regional transportation planning agency for Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, State law requires the adoption of a Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) by each regional transportation planning agency every two years, to be adopted by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) into the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP);

WHEREAS, the federal Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) distributed funding for highway projects to stimulate the economy during the COVID-19 pandemic;

WHEREAS, the CTC apportioned \$1,632,184 in CRRSAA funding to PCTPA through the STIP;

WHEREAS, when PCTPA last adopted its RTIP in October 2019, Planning, Programming, and Monitoring was the only project nominated to the STIP;

WHEREAS, the CTC adopted 2021 Midyear STIP guidelines allowing for the nomination of projects to the STIP that were not in the region's RTIP for the purposes of utilizing CRRSAA funds;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency nominates the Capital Region Freight – I-80 Project to the California Transportation Commission for programming in the State Transportation Improvement Program.

CRRSAA STIP PROGRAMMING

Capital Region Freight - I-80 (PPNO 5101A)

The PCTPA requests the CTC program CRRSAA STIP for construction of the I-80 Capital Region Freight project:

FY 2021/22 - \$1,632,184



MEMORANDUM

TO: PCTPA Board of Directors DATE: April 28, 2021

FROM: Mike Luken, Executive Director

SUBJECT: FY 2020/21 OVERALL WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET (OWP)

AMENDMENT#2

ACTION REQUESTED

Adopt Resolution No. 21-17 authorizing the Executive Director to submit FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program (OWP) and Budget Amendment #2 to Caltrans as provided.

BACKGROUND

Each Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) must submit a OWP to Caltrans each year, with the final version submitted by June 1. This was done last year and approved by Caltrans. In accordance with normal operations, PCTPA prepares amendments to its OWP in the Fall and Spring of the fiscal year. Amendment#1 was approved by the Board in November 2020.

This Amendment #2 provides an updated description of the activities to be undertaken by the agency in the fiscal year, along with updated detailed budget information. Amendment #2 and Budget has been developed in compliance with these requirements and reflects the latest information on finances and work activities, as well as comments received from the Board and jurisdictions.

DISCUSSION

Amendment #2 of FY 2020/21 OWP and Budget contains several changes from the OWP Amendment #1 adopted by the Board in November 2020. The most notable changes in the OWP and Budget are changes to reflect the Mid-Year adjustment in LTF Revenue approved by the Board last month, adjustments in the carry-over balance from FY2019-2020, and temporary reductions in staffing/billable hours with the vacancy of the Deputy Executive Director position from January through June. The large number of redline changes in Amendment #2 are due to the impact of the vacancy, revenue adjustment and carry-over balance to the entire OWP.

Work Program

As noted in Amendment #2, the FY 2020/21 work program reflects a continued focused on preconstruction project implementation activities for projects, including the design and right of way phases for the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes (WE 43), and Highway 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure (WE 44). Slight adjustments to the Transportation Funding Strategy (WE#61) and Action Plan (WE#46) were also made in Amendment#2.

As always, the Work Program maintains our strong focus on core Agency activities, such as Transportation Development Act (TDA) administration, State and Federal transportation programming compliance, Freeway Service Patrol implementation, and management of various

PCTPA Board of Directors FY 2020/21 OVERALL WORK PROGRAM (OWP)/BUDGET- AMENDMENT #2 April 28, 2021 Page 2

Joint Powers Authorities (JPAs) including the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) and the Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA).

Staffing

Staffing levels remain the same with 7.0 full time equivalent staff but with a temporary adjustment for the vacancy of the Deputy Executive Director position. That position returns to full-time in the 2021-2022 OWP.

Budget


As we are slowly coming out of the COVID-19 crisis that began in March 2020, we are adjusting revenue cautiously upward but not assuming a full revenue picture that was forecast by some of the member jurisdictions and our sales tax consultant HDL. This cautious approach is due in part to some changes in the collection of revenue from sales tax pools that may be coming soon. Staff and our consultant team will monitor those developments closely.

PCTPA is able to keep its contingency funding at \$830,000 or roughly 15 percent of the budget which was an increase of \$100,000 from FY2019-2020. Overhead costs, like CalPERS payments, may increase in future years, which could require using the contingency funds. Staff is still investigating the feasibility of using a line of credit to further protect reserves in potential uncertain economic times.

The proposed budget is \$4,885.506, which is an overall 3.8% percent decrease from the Amendment #1 approved by the Board in November. Staff will continue to monitor expenditures and revenues through the remainder of the fiscal year and update the Board if conditions change before the end of the fiscal year on June 30. The PCTPA Technical Advisory Committee concurred with staff recommendation at their April 13th meeting.



Final



OVERALL WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET

AMENDMENT #2 FISCAL YEAR 2020/21

| WORK ELEMENT | TITLE | PAGE NO. |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| 05 | Agency Administration: Indirect Labor | 1 |
| 10 | Agency Administration: Overall Work Program | 3 |
| 11 | Transportation Development Act Administration | 4 |
| 12 | Intergovernmental Coordination | 6 |
| 13 | Intergovernmental Advocacy | 8 |
| 14 | Communications and Outreach | 10 |
| 15 | Building Administration | 13 |
| 20 | SACOG/MPO Planning Integration | 14 |
| 23 | Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Administration | 18 |
| 24 | Transit Planning | 20 |
| 27 | Airport Land Use Commission/Aviation Planning | 21 |
| 33 | Bikeway Planning | 23 |
| 35 | Rail Program | 25 |
| 40 | Placer Parkway | 27 |
| 41 | I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements | 28 |
| 42 | Highway 65 Widening | 30 |
| 43 | I-80 Auxiliary Lanes | 32 |
| 44 | SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure | 34 |
| 45 | Corridor Mobility Plan | 36 |
| 46 | Mobility Action Plan | 37 |
| 47 | Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening Project | 39 |
| 50 | Project Programming and Reporting | 41 |
| 61 | Regional Transportation Funding Program | 45 |
| 80 | Freeway Service Patrol (FSP) | 47 |
| 100 | South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) Administration | 49 |

THIS PAGE LEFT INTERITORIALLY BLANK

OVERALL WORK PROGRAM FOR 2020/21

OVERVIEW

The FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program (OWP) documents the management, budgetary, and monitoring activities performed annually by Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA). It is developed annually for Caltrans review and for approval by the PCTPA Board of Directors. This version of the OWP is the result of input from jurisdiction management, public works and planning officials, air district management, tribal governments, elected officials, and the general public. This document also provides an application format for Caltrans-administered funding programs, such as FHWA grants.

Twenty-five work elements are proposed that include specific objectives, budgets, and products. Several of these work elements are funded by a mixture of State, federal and local programs. The remaining are funded solely by TDA funds. This work program has a number of important characteristics:

- 1. The work program is action oriented. Its primary objective is to implement a programming and funding strategy that will address the mobility needs of Placer County residents, businesses, and visitors. Of key overall importance is the implementation of the Regional Transportation Plan, which serves as a guiding force for transportation improvements over the next 20 years, and its integration with SACOG's Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) and other activities that support regional planning as covered under Work Element 20. Also included here are strategies and studies to address major transportation issues or hot spots including: (1) Placer Parkway; (2) I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements; (3) Highway 65 Widening; (4) Bikeway Planning; (5) Airport Planning; (6) Rail Program; (7) Regional Transportation Funding Strategy, (8) I-80 Auxiliary Lanes, (9) SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure, (10) Corridor Mobility Plan, (11) Mobility Action Plan, (12) Transit Planning, and (13) Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening.
- 2. The work program reflects a pro-active approach to identifying future transportation project needs (e.g., TDA Administration, Capitol Corridor Rail, implementation of the Regional Transportation Plan, Regional Transportation Funding Strategy, Corridor Mobility Plan, Mobility Action Plan, Bikeway Planning).
- 3. The work program provides a greater emphasis on implementation of previously identified needs, including administration of the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority, project management and delivery, and leading the preconstruction of the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes, SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure, and Highway 65 Widening.
- 4. The work program includes a comprehensive effort to assist member jurisdictions in maintaining the high level of compliance with "use it or lose it" timely use of funds requirements and significant increases in reporting and monitoring required in the use of SB 1 funding.

- 5. The work program reflects a multimodal approach. Effort has been divided between planning for transit, highways, rail, aviation, pedestrian facilities, and bikeways.
- 6. The work program reflects the strong commitment to partnerships with other regional agencies in approaching interregional transportation needs.
- 7. The work program reflects the more pronounced need to participate in regional, state, and federal discussions regarding planning and funding transportation projects.
- 8. The work program will assure that PCTPA meets all state and federal planning requirements.
- 9. The work program funding allocation system meets TDA requirements.

The 2020/21 OWP is a product of cooperative efforts by PCTPA's member jurisdictions, including the Cities of Auburn, Colfax, Lincoln, Rocklin, and Roseville, the Town of Loomis, and Placer County, as well as other interested agencies. Equally important, the OWP is consistent with state and federal funding priorities.

INTRODUCTION

The mission of Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) is derived from its numerous state and local designations. The agency has been designated in state law as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for Placer County. PCTPA is also the county's Congestion Management Agency (CMA), a statutorily designated member of the Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA), the designated Local Transportation Authority for transportation sales tax purposes, and the airport land use planning body and hearing board for Lincoln, Auburn, and Blue Canyon Airports. As part of their Joint Powers Agreement, PCTPA is the designated administrator for the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority and the Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency. Under an agreement with the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), PCTPA also represents Placer jurisdictions in federal planning and programming issues. Since PCTPA has a Local Agency-State Agreement for federal aid projects, it is also eligible to administer federal projects.

Regional Transportation Planning Agency: PCTPA was created by Title 7.91 of the government code commencing with Section 67910 as the transportation planning agency for Placer County excluding Lake Tahoe. PCTPA has also been designated as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for Placer County excluding Lake Tahoe in Section 29532.1(c) of the Government Code. Previous to this designation, PCTPA operated under the name of the Placer County Transportation Commission (PCTC) and operated as a local county transportation commission as specified under Section 29532(c) of the Government Code.

PCTPA has executed a memorandum of understanding and Master Fund Transfer Agreement with the State Department of Transportation on January 26, 1996 and updated in 2012 and 2014 identifying the

responsibilities of PCTPA as the RTPA and providing the administrative structure to implement these responsibilities.

As an RTPA with an urbanized population of over 50,000, PCTPA is responsible for preparing a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) pursuant to Section 65080 of the Government Code.

Local Transportation Fund Administration: As the transportation planning agency, PCTPA allocates the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) to Placer County public transportation agencies pursuant to Section 29532 of the Government Code. The administration of these funds includes the establishment of a Social Services Transportation Advisory Council, the implementation of a citizen participation process appropriate for Placer County, annual recommendations for productivity improvements for transit operators, the performance of an annual fiscal audit of all LTF claimants, the implementation of a triennial performance audit of all LTF claimants, and the preparation of an annual unmet transit needs determination.

PCTPA receives an allocation of LTF funds for the administration of the LTF fund pursuant to Section 99233.1 of the Public Utilities Code and for transportation planning pursuant to Section 99233.2 of the Public Utilities Code and Section 6646 of the Government Code.

It is the responsibility of PCTPA to establish rules and regulations to provide for administration and allocation of the LTF and State Transit Assistance (STA) Funds in accordance with applicable sections of the Government Code, Public Utilities Code and Administrative Code included within the Transportation Development Act. It is also the responsibility of PCTPA to adhere to the applicable rules and regulations promulgated by the former Secretary of the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency (now the California State Transportation Agency) of the State of California as addressed in the Transportation Development Act, Title 3, Division 3, Chapter 2, Article II, Section 29535.

Under SB 45, signed by Governor Wilson in October 1997, Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs) such as PCTPA are responsible for selection of projects, known as the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP), to be funded with the county's share of STIP funds. This power also comes with the responsibility of ensuring that the projects are on schedule and within budgetary constraints.

Federal Transportation Planning and Programming: PCTPA has executed memoranda of understanding (MOUs) with Caltrans and the Sacramento Council of Governments (SACOG) on April 11, 2001, with updates in 2005 and 2016, to govern federal transportation planning and programming in Placer County. This agreement integrates the PCTPA Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and RTIP within the SACOG process.

Pursuant to these agreements, PCTPA receives a "fair share" allocation of both federal urbanized Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) funds and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funds. PCTPA nominates projects for these funds, and SACOG has agreed to select these nominated projects unless they fail to meet federal requirements. SACOG cannot add projects to the PCTPA nominations.

PCTPA submits the state mandated RTP, developed pursuant to Section 65080.5 of the Government Code, to SACOG for inclusion in the federal Metropolitan Transportation Plan. As part of this agreement, SACOG conducts a federal air quality conformity test on the Placer County transportation program and plan.

PCTPA receives an allocation of federal STBGP funds for Placer County. Pursuant to Section 182.6 of the Streets and Highways Code, PCTPA can exchange the non-urbanized funds for State gas tax funds.

PCTPA allocates these exchange funds to jurisdiction projects based upon an MOU signed by all Placer jurisdictions dated November 2, 1994. The STBGP funding exchange formula and allocation was updated to reflect TEA 21, approved by the PCTPA Board on January 27, 1999, and is updated annually as appropriate to reflect the current Federal transportation bill.

Administration of Federal Aid Projects: PCTPA executed a Local Agency - State Agreement for Federal Aid Projects (Agreement 03-6158) with the State of California on March 2, 1994 and reauthorized on October 10, 2016. The execution of this agreement qualifies PCTPA to administer federally funded projects.

Passenger Rail Administration: Pursuant to Section 14076.2(b) of the Government Code, PCTPA is statutorily designated as a member of the Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA). Through an interagency agreement with Caltrans, the CCJPA administers the intercity rail service on the San Jose-Auburn railroad corridor.

Airport Land Use Commission: PCTPA was designated the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) for Placer County by the Board of Supervisors (December 17, 1996) and the Placer County City Selection Committee (October 24, 1996) pursuant to Section 21670.1(a)(b) of the Public Utilities Code. PCTPA acts as the hearing body for land use planning for Placer County airports. PCTPA is also responsible for the development of airport land use plans for Placer County airports as specified in Section 21674.7 of the Public Utilities Code.

Placer County, Auburn, and Lincoln each collect a fee on development projects by local ordinance in the area governed by the airport land use plan. This fee is passed on to PCTPA to help defray the cost of project review.

South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) Administration: PCTPA was designated as the administrator of the SPRTA under the terms of the Authority's Joint Powers Agreement dated January 22, 2002. As such, PCTPA provides staffing and management of the Authority, and is reimbursed for these services under a staffing agreement.

Local Transportation Authority (PCLTA): PCTPA was designated as the transportation sales tax authority for Placer County by the Placer County Board of Supervisors on August 22, 2006. In the event that a transportation sales tax is adopted by Placer's voters, PCTPA, acting as the PCLTA, would administer the sales tax expenditure plan.

Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (WP CTSA) Administration:

PCTPA was designated as the administrator of the WPCTSA under the terms of the Agency's Joint Powers Agreement dated October 13, 2008. As such, PCTPA provides staffing and management of the Agency, and is reimbursed for these services under a staffing agreement.

PCTPA ORGANIZATION

The nine-member PCTPA Board consists of three members appointed by the Placer County Board of Supervisors and one member each from the incorporated cities of Auburn, Colfax, Lincoln, Loomis, Rocklin and Roseville.

PCTPA has provided for seven full-time staff members to implement the FY 2020/21 OWP. The organization of PCTPA is summarized in Figure 1.

The PCTPA reorganized its staffing structure and became a separate and independent agency on May 1, 1992. Previous to this reorganization, PCTPA was staffed by the Placer County Public Works Department.

GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION

PCTPA's jurisdiction includes a portion of northern California between the Sacramento Metropolitan area and the Nevada State line, as shown in Figure 2. In total, Placer County contains 1,506 square miles ranging in elevation from 160 feet to nearly 9,500 feet.

PCTPA represents the County, five incorporated cities, and one incorporated town located within the political boundary of Placer County. Transportation planning services are provided to the following incorporated cities with their corresponding January 1, 2020 populations: Auburn (14,594), Colfax (2,152), Lincoln (49,317), Loomis (6,888), Rocklin (70,350) and Roseville (145,163). Unincorporated Placer County, excluding the Tahoe Basin portion of Placer County, has a population of 103,603. These population estimates are based upon information provided by the California Department of Finance (DOF) in their 2020 DOF E-1 Report as updated in May 2020.

AGENCY COORDINATION

PCTPA coordinates regional transportation planning activities with other public agencies including Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), State Department of Transportation (Caltrans), California Transportation Commission (CTC), adjacent RTPAs (Nevada County Transportation Commission, El Dorado County Transportation Commission), United Auburn Indian Community (UAIC) of the Auburn Rancheria, and other interested groups.

United Auburn Indian Community: UAIC is a federally recognized tribe, as such PCTPA conducted government-to-government coordination and consultation include the following:

- In person meeting, including PCTPA, SACOG, and UAIC, occurred during the early development of both the MTP/SCS and RTP
- In person meetings and email correspondence, including PCTPA, Caltrans, and UAIC, occurred for cultural coordination as part of the I-80/SR 65 Interchange Phase 1
 Improvements in Roseville and Rocklin
- In person meeting and email correspondence, including PCTPA, Caltrans, and UAIC, occurred for cultural coordination as part of the State Route 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure Project in Auburn

• In person meeting to develop partnership between PCTPA and UAIC for the regional transportation funding strategy

COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

In an ongoing effort to encourage participation of all communities in the transportation planning process, and in compliance with Title VI, the PCTPA solicits input through various policy, technical, and public forums. Outreach to the United Auburn Indian Community is specifically included.

PCTPA conducts public hearings regarding the development and adoption of major planning documents such as the Regional Transportation Plan, the Regional Transportation Improvement Program, and the annual unmet needs hearing. Additional public hearings and workshops are held for individual work projects as indicated.

The community information and participation effort has been enhanced by expansion of the agency web page and social media on the Internet, to provide citizens with greater access to agency documents and activities, establishment of a speaker's bureau, and greater emphasis on working with local media outlets. See Work Element 14: Communications and Outreach and individual project work elements for further details.

FY 2020/21

PCTPA Organizational Chart





Figure 2 **Placer County Location**



FEDERAL PLANNING FACTORS

Federal Planning Factors are issued by Congress to emphasize specific planning issues from a national perspective, and must be identified in local planning documents. The following summary outlines how and where these planning factors are addressed in the Agency's Overall Work Program:

Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.

- SACOG/MPO Planning Integration (WE 20)
- Airport Land Use Commission/Aviation Planning (WE 27)
- Placer Parkway (WE 40)
- I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements (WE 41)
- Highway 65 Widening (WE 42)
- I-80 Auxiliary Lanes (WE 43)
- Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening (WE 47)
- Project Programming and Reporting (WE 50)
- Regional Transportation Funding Program (WE 61)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)
- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)
- Freeway Service Patrol (WE 80)

The economic vitality of Placer County depends on the ability of businesses, employees, and recreational travelers to get to and from their destinations quickly and easily through a variety of transportation modes. We plan and maintain our transportation systems with a goal of minimizing delays and maximizing choice and efficiency, thereby supporting the economic vitality of the area.

Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users

- Transportation Development Act Administration (WE 11)
- SACOG/MPO Planning Integration (WE 20)
- Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Administration (WE 23)
- Airport Land Use Commission/Aviation Planning (WE 27)
- Bikeway Planning (WE 33)
- Capitol Corridor Rail Program (WE 35)
- SR 49 Sidewalks Gap Closure (WE 44)
- Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening (WE 47)
- Project Programming and Reporting (WE 50)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)
- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)
- Freeway Service Patrol (WE 80)

Safety is an important consideration in project identification, selection, and implementation.

Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users

- Transportation Development Act Administration (WE 11)
- Intergovernmental Coordination (WE 12)
- SACOG/MPO Planning Integration (WE 20)
- Airport Land Use Commission/Aviation Planning (WE 27)
- Bikeway Planning (WE 33)
- SR 49 Sidewalks Gap Closure (WE 44)
- Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening (WE 47)
- Project Programming and Reporting (WE 50)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)
- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)
- Freeway Service Patrol (WE 80)

Security of our transit and road systems are a key consideration in project identification, selection, and implementation.

Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and for freight

- TDA Implementation (WE 11)
- SACOG/MPO Planning Integration (WE 20)
- Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Administration (WE 23)
- Bikeway Planning (WE 33)
- Capitol Corridor Rail Program (WE 35)
- Placer Parkway (WE 40)
- I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements (WE 41)
- Highway 65 Widening (WE 42)
- I-80 Auxiliary Lanes (WE 43)
- SR 49 Sidewalks Gap Closure (WE 44)
- Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening (WE 47)
- Project Programming and Reporting (WE 50)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)
- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)
- Freeway Service Patrol (WE 80)

Along with integration and connectivity, accessibility and mobility are the cornerstones of our transportation system maintenance and expansion decisions and extends to all modes.

<u>Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns</u>

- TDA Implementation (WE 11)
- Intergovernmental Coordination (WE 12)
- Intergovernmental Advocacy (WE 13)
- SACOG/MPO Planning Integration (WE 20)
- Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Administration (WE 23)
- South Placer Transit Project (WE 24)

- Bikeway Planning (WE 33)
- Capitol Corridor Rail Program (WE 35)
- Placer Parkway (WE 40)
- I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements (WE 41)
- Highway 65 Widening (WE 42)
- I-80 Auxiliary Lanes (WE 43)
- SR 49 Sidewalks Gap Closure (WE 44)
- Regional Transportation Funding Program (WE 61)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)
- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)

Environmental assessments, aggressive expansion of alternative transportation modes, and coordination with governmental entities with land use authority are the ways that PCTPA addresses environmental concerns and connections between transportation and land use.

Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight

- TDA Implementation (WE 11)
- Intergovernmental Coordination (WE 12)
- Intergovernmental Advocacy (WE 13)
- SACOG/MPO Planning Integration (WE 20)
- Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Administration (WE 23)
- South Placer Transit Project (WE 24)
- Airport Land Use Commission/Aviation Planning (WE 27)
- Bikeway Planning (WE 33)
- Capitol Corridor Rail Program (WE 35)
- I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements (WE 41)
- Highway 65 Widening (WE 42)
- I-80 Auxiliary Lanes (WE 43)
- SR 49 Sidewalks Gap Closure (WE 44)
- Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening (WE 47)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)
- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)

Along with accessibility and mobility, integration and connectivity are the cornerstones of our transportation system maintenance and expansion decisions and extends to all modes.

Promote efficient system management and operation

- TDA Implementation (WE 11)
- Intergovernmental Advocacy (WE 13)
- SACOG/MPO Planning Implementation (WE 20)
- Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Administration (WE 23)
- Project Programming and Reporting (WE 50)
- Regional Transportation Funding Program (WE 61)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)

- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)
- Freeway Service Patrol (WE 80)

The ever increasing demand for transportation combined with a severe lack of adequate transportation funding has necessitated PCTPA's longstanding focus on increasing the efficiency of our existing transportation systems.

Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system

- TDA Implementation (WE 11)
- SACOG/MPO Planning Implementation (WE 20)
- Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Administration (WE 23)
- Airport Land Use Commission/Aviation Planning (WE 27)
- Project Programming and Reporting (WE 50)
- Regional Transportation Funding Program (WE 61)
- Freeway Service Patrol (WE 80)

With transportation funding at a premium, high emphasis is placed on preserving what we've got.

<u>Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate storm water impacts of surface transportation</u>

- Intergovernmental Coordination (WE 12)
- SACOG/MPO Planning Integration (WE 20)
- Placer Parkway (WE 40)
- I-80/ SR 65 Interchange Improvements (WE 41)
- Highway 65 Widening (WE 42)
- I-80 Auxiliary Lanes (WE 43)
- Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening (WE 47)
- Regional Transportation Funding Program (WE 61)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)
- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)
- Freeway Service patrol (WE 80)

A truly multi-modal transportation system is able to endure unexpected events while maintaining the mobility of the region. This can only occur through cross-jurisdictional communication and implementation of best practices.

Enhance travel and tourism

- Transportation Development Act Admin (WE 11)
- Intergovernmental Coordination (12)
- Communication and Outreach (14)
- Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Administration (WE 23)
- South Placer Transit Project (WE 24)
- Bikeway Planning (WE 33)
- SR 49 Sidewalks Gap Closure (WE 44)
- Regional Transportation Funding Program (WE 61)
- Corridor Mobility Plan (WE 45)

- Mobility Action Plan (WE 46)
- Freeway Service Patrol (WE 80)

Reliable transportation options are central to maintaining and attracting visitors to Placer County's vibrant agricultural and historical tourism of the foothills and the national/international draw of the Sierra Nevada's and Lake Tahoe regions.

CALTRANS REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES

As the State Department of Transportation, Caltrans has numerous roles and responsibilities for planning, programming, constructing, operating, and maintaining the state's transportation system.

Caltrans acts as a partner with PCTPA, jurisdictions, tribal governments, and other agencies to implement their various responsibilities. One arm of this effort is the Caltrans' regional planning activities, which are described below:

| ACTIVITY | DESCRIPTION | PRODUCTS |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| System Planning | Completion of system planning products used by Caltrans and its transportation partners consistent with the System Planning Work plan. | Corridor Studies Operational Studies Preliminary Investigations |
| Advance Planning | Completion of pre- programming studies (e.g., Project Initiation Documents) so as to be ready to program resources for capital projects. | Project Initiation Documents (PIDs), as indicated in the current Two-Year PID Work Plan. |
| Regional Planning | Participate in and assist with various regional planning projects and studies. | Participation in the following projects and studies: Overall Work Programs (OWP) Development, Review, and Monitoring Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Development, Review, and Monitoring Participation in Annual Coordination Meetings with Caltrans and Partners Coordination with Caltrans via Technical and Policy Advisory Committees, and ad hoc meetings to discuss projects, plans, issues, etc. Participation in Caltrans Headquarters Office of Regional Planning led meetings to discuss new and revised guidelines and updates to the Planning Program. |
| Local Development Review Program | Review of local development proposals potentially impacting the State Highway System. | Assistance to lead agencies to ensure the identification and mitigation of local development impacts to the State Highway System that is consistent with the State's smart mobility goals. |

WORK ELEMENT 05 AGENCY ADMINISTRATION: INDIRECT LABOR

PURPOSE: To provide management and administration to all work elements in the Overall Work Program and to conduct day to day operations of the agency.

BACKGROUND: PCTPA is a public agency responsible for the administration, planning and programming of a variety of transportation funds. These activities require ongoing organization, management, administration and budgeting. This work element is intended to cover all of the day-to-day administrative duties of the agency and governing Board.

To clarify for purposes of allowable charges for Caltrans Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) and to specify indirect cost activities for the purposes of Caltrans Indirect Cost Allocation Plan (ICAP), this work element is split into two parts. Work Element 05 includes the majority of the administrative activities of the Agency, including accounting, agenda preparation, Board meetings, personnel activities, front desk coverage, budgeting, general management, and similar tasks.

Work Element 10 separates out the activities related to the development, update, and reporting of the Overall Work Program and Budget.

PURPOSE: To specify those elements of the overall Agency Administration that are billable as indirect labor under an approved Indirect Cost Allocation Plan (ICAP).

- Develop agendas and materials for Technical Advisory Committee Monthly
- Develop agendas and materials for other PCTPA committees As Needed
- Conduct PCTPA Board regular monthly meetings and special meetings as required Monthly
- Administer PCTPA FY 2020/21 operating budget **Ongoing**
- Provide general front desk support, including greeting visitors, answering phones, opening and directing mail, and responding to inquiries **Ongoing**
- Participate in staff meetings to coordinate administrative and technical activities **Monthly**
- Prepare quarterly financial reports for auditors and PCTPA Board Quarterly
- Prepare timesheets to allocate staff time to appropriate work elements **Ongoing**
- Perform personnel duties, including employee performance reviews, recognitions, and/or disciplinary actions **Annually/as needed**
- Recruit and hire new employees As needed
- Administer PCTPA benefit programs **Ongoing**
- Update Administrative Operating Procedures and Personnel Policies to reflect changes in State and Federal law **As Needed**
- Prepare payroll and other agency checks **Bi-weekly**
- Prepare quarterly and annual tax reports **Quarterly**
- Maintain transportation planning files, correspondence and data **Ongoing**
- Maintain ongoing bookkeeping and accounting **Ongoing**

WORK ELEMENT 05 (continued) AGENCY ADMINISTRATION: INDIRECT LABOR

- Maintain and update computer systems and equipment, including all information technology (IT) related tasks Ongoing
- Update PCTPA Bylaws to reflect changes in State and Federal law As Needed
- Attend governmental and professional conferences and training sessions, such as those offered by the American Planning Association (APA), Women's Transportation Seminar (WTS), American Leadership Forum (ALF), and Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) As justified

- PCTPA meeting agendas and staff reports, paper and online versions Monthly
- List of warrants Monthly
- Quarterly reports of PCTPA operating budget status Quarterly
- Updated Bylaws, Operating Procedures and Personnel Policies As Needed
- Employee performance reviews Annually
- Actuarial analysis of benefit programs As needed
- Employee timesheets **Bi-weekly**
- Reports and updates to Board and/or member agencies on Federal, State, and regional programs and policies **Ongoing**

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Various – | \$314,783 | PCTPA | \$314,783 |
| proportionately spread | <u>\$320,106</u> | | <u>\$320,106</u> |
| across all other work | | | |
| elements/fund types | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 10 AGENCY ADMINISTRATION: OVERALL WORK PROGRAM

PURPOSE: To specify those elements of the overall Agency Administration that are billable as direct costs to Rural Planning Assistance (RPA) funds.

PREVIOUS WORK:

- FY 2018/19 closeout with Caltrans staff August 2019
- FY 2019/20 Overall Work Program and Budget amendments October 2019 and April 2020
- Preliminary Draft FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program and Budget February 2020
- Final FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program and Budget May 2020

WORK PROGRAM:

- Prepare FY 2019/20 Overall Work Program and Budget close out documents July 2020 August 2020
- Prepare amendments to FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program (OWP) and Budget August 2020 October 2020, January April 2021, or as needed
- Prepare FY 2021/22 Overall Work Program and Budget January 2021 May 2021
- Review and monitor new and proposed programs and regulations applying to transportation planning, such as the Regional Planning Handbook, that may need to be addressed in the Overall Work Program Quarterly/as needed

- Conduct FY 2019/20 closeout with Caltrans staff August 2020
- FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program and Budget amendments October 2020, April 2021, or as needed
- Preliminary Draft FY 2021/22 Overall Work Program and Budget February 2021
- Final FY 2021/22 Overall Work Program and Budget May 2021
- Quarterly progress reports on FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program Quarterly

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| LTF | \$26,828 \$21,511 | PCTPA | <u>\$51,828</u> \$46,511 | |
| Rural Planning Assistance Funds | <u>25,000</u> | | \$40,311 | |
| TOTAL | \$51,828 \$46,511 | | \$51,828 \$46,511 | |
| | | | | |
| Percent of budget: 1.02 <u>0.97</u> % | | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 11 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ADMINISTRATION

PURPOSE: To effectively administer all aspects of the Transportation Development Act (TDA) in the jurisdiction of the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency.

BACKGROUND: As the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, the most basic responsibility of PCTPA is to administer TDA funds and related programs. Currently, PCTPA administers TDA funds of nearly \$20 million annually. These funds operate public transit, maintain and construct local roads, and construct bicycle and pedestrian paths. Under the TDA, PCTPA is also responsible for carrying out the annual unmet transit needs process, fiscal audits, performance audits, transit planning, and transit coordination.

- Solicit public comments on unmet transit needs throughout Placer County September 2020 October 2020
- Review and summarize all comments received regarding unmet transit needs **December 2020**
- Evaluate current existing services and their effectiveness in meeting transit needs and demand **December 2020 January June 2021**
- Prepare a report recommending a finding on unmet transit needs January 2021 February 2021
- Provide for the management of the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) **Ongoing**
- Prepare a final estimate of LTF and STA apportionments for FY 2020/21 September 2020
- Prepare a mid-year status update on FY 20/21 LTF and STA actual revenues to estimates January
 2021
- Prepare a preliminary estimate of LTF and STA apportionments for FY 2021/22 February 2021
- Prepare a mid-year status update on FY 20/21 LTF and STA actual revenues to estimates February 2021-March 2021
- Assist claimants with the preparation of project lists, annual claims, and local program administration **Ongoing**
- Provide for the review, approval, and processing of all LTF and other TDA claims and financial transactions **Ongoing**
- Update policies governing review, approval, and processing of all LTF and other TDA claims to ensure timely compliance with TDA law **As needed**
- Maintain a financial status report of TDA and STA claims **Ongoing**
- Provide for an annual financial and compliance audit of PCTPA and each claimant by an independent auditing firm **September 2020 March 2021**
- Update and administer five-year plan for Bicycle and Pedestrian Account funds Ongoing
- Monitor legislation pertinent to the Transportation Development Act Ongoing
- Provide technical assistance to paratransit operators and monitor activities **Ongoing**
- Facilitate and monitor activities of the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council (SSTAC) **Bi-Monthly**
- Facilitate and monitor activities of the Transit Operators Working Group Quarterly
- Coordinate planning efforts for FTA funds to avoid duplication of services and maximize resources **Ongoing**
- Coordinate with Sierra College on potential college student transit pass **Ongoing**

WORK ELEMENT 11 (continued) TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ADMINISTRATION

- Final Findings of Apportionment for FY 2020/21 **September 2020**
- Preliminary Annual Findings of Apportionment for FY 2021/22 February 2021
- A report summarizing the unmet transit needs testimony, including analysis and recommendations for findings of unmet transit needs **February 2021**
- Financial and Compliance Audits of PCTPA and all TDA claimants March 2021
- TDA and STA claims Ongoing
- SSTAC meeting agendas **Ongoing**
- Transit Operators Working Group meeting agendas Ongoing

| REVENUES | | EXPENDITURES | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| LTF | <u>\$147,656</u> | PCTPA | \$105,581 <u>98,469</u> |
| | <u>\$140,544</u> | | |
| | | Legal | 500 |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | 1,000 |
| | | Fiscal Audit Consultant | 40,575 |
| TOTAL | \$147,656 | | \$147,656 |
| | <u>\$140,544</u> | | <u>\$140,544</u> |
| Percent of budget: 2.91 | - | | |
| <u>2.94</u> % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 12 INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION

PURPOSE: To share information and coordinate with outside agencies and jurisdictions on matters pertinent to the development of effective transportation plans and projects.

BACKGROUND:

PCTPA works very closely and continuously with numerous outside agencies as a way of coordinating our planning efforts. In particular, we work with the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for our area, to implement Federal and State transportation programs. While many of our interactions are specified under our Memorandum of Understanding, regional interests and overlapping jurisdictions provide additional need for close coordination. On a larger regional basis, PCTPA works closely with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) and Nevada County Transportation Commission (NCTC) on connections both to and within the Truckee/North Tahoe area. On a statewide basis, we work closely to coordinate and share information with the California Transportation Commission (CTC), as well as other regional agencies through groups such as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) Group, Rural Counties Task Force (RCTF), and California Association of Councils of Government (CALCOG). In addition, PCTPA works in close coordination with the Placer County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) in regard to transportation/air quality issues.

Given PCTPA's somewhat unique mix of rural and urban perspective, expertise in transportation planning and funding, and proximity to Sacramento, PCTPA staff is often asked to advise or participate on advisory committees and ad-hoc efforts on a variety of transportation planning issues. As many of these efforts spring up in response to current situations, it's impossible to anticipate every instance that might occur throughout a given year. These can range from providing input on multijurisdiction corridor plans to strategic planning on improving mobility in a particular geographic area to participating on a task force to develop guidelines to implement the Governor and/or State Legislature's latest transportation initiative.

- Participate in ad hoc and standing Caltrans policy and technical advisory committees, such as the Regional-Caltrans Coordinating Group Bi-monthly/as scheduled
- Participate in ad hoc and standing SACOG policy and technical advisory committees, such as Regional Planning Partnership and Transportation Committee Monthly/as scheduled
- Participate at California Transportation Commission meetings and workshops Monthly/as scheduled
- Participate in Statewide Regional Transportation Planning Agency Group meetings and subcommittees **Monthly/as scheduled**
- Participate in Statewide Rural Counties Task Force meetings Bi-monthly/as scheduled
- Participate in information sharing activities at California Council of Governments (CALCOG) meetings and conferences **Bi-monthly/as scheduled**
- Participate in Tahoe-focused planning efforts As scheduled

WORK ELEMENT 12 (continued) INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION

- Coordinate with the Placer County Air Pollution Control District, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, SACOG, and the California Air Resources Board to develop strategies to reduce air pollution **Ongoing**
- Attend city council and Board of Supervisors meetings As needed
- Coordinate and consult with the United Auburn Indian Community of the Auburn Rancheria, including attending tribal meetings **As needed**
- Coordinate with and inform jurisdictions on potential changes in State or Federal planning policies **As needed**
- Hold technical workshops for Placer County jurisdictions As needed

- Staff reports to Board and jurisdictions on pertinent topics **As needed/in accordance with above schedules**
- Commentary on white papers, draft plans and policies, and similar correspondence and communications to other governmental agencies **As needed/in accordance with above schedules**

| REVENUES | | EXPENDITURES | |
|--|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| LTF | \$122,878 <u>\$87,373</u> | PCTPA | \$132,878 \$97,373 |
| | | | <u>\$97,373</u> |
| State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Planning, Programming, and Monitoring (PPM) | 20,000 | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | 10,000 |
| TOTAL | \$142,878 \$107,373 | | \$142,878 \$107,37 <u>3</u> |
| Percent of budget: 2.82 2.24 % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 13 INTERGOVERNMENTAL ADVOCACY

PURPOSE: To represent Agency needs and priorities with outside agencies and jurisdictions on matters pertinent to transportation planning, programming, and funding.

BACKGROUND: The actions of State and Federal legislative bodies and regulatory agencies have a huge impact on the effectiveness of PCTPA's efforts to plan, program, fund, and implement transportation improvements. Legislative bodies and regulatory administrators often propose policies to improve one issue while creating major challenges elsewhere. It is therefore critical to represent the Agency's positions with these entities, make sure they understand the impacts, and do our best to ensure that their actions and activities reflect PCTPA's needs. Staff efforts are augmented by our Federal and State advocates, who advise and advocate on our behalf, as well as teaming with other entities with like interests, all with an eye to maximize the effectiveness of our efforts.

In FY 2016/17, the Board directed staff to explore the introduction of legislation to allow Placer to subdivide the county into transportation sales tax districts. Assembly Bill 1413 to allow sales tax districts in Placer, San Diego, and Solano Counties was signed by the Governor in October 2019.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Participate in Sacramento Metro Chamber's <u>Transportation Committee and annual Cap-to-Cap and State legislative advocacy effort</u> <u>July 2020 September 2020, January 2021 June 2021</u> <u>Monthly</u>
- Participate in Statewide California Council of Governments (CALCOG) advocacy efforts
 Ongoing/as needed
- Participate with ad-hoc coalitions and groups to advocate for shared priorities in transportation projects and funding, such as the Fix Our Roads coalition **As needed**
- Develop annual Federal legislative and advocacy platform **November 2020 February January 2021 2021**
- Develop annual State legislative and advocacy platform November 2020 February January 2021
- Monitor and analyze pertinent legislation Ongoing
- Monitor and analyze regulatory agency directives and policies Ongoing
- Communicate Agency positions on pertinent legislation and regulatory directives As needed
- Meet with State and Federal legislators and their staff to discuss Agency issues As needed
- Assist, facilitate, and advocate for jurisdiction transportation issues with State and Federal agencies **As needed**
- Craft and advocate for Board sponsored legislation, such as for a transportation sales tax district **Ongoing/as needed**
- Membership in local chambers of commerce including Auburn, Lincoln, Loomis, Rocklin, Roseville, and Sacramento **Ongoing**

PRODUCTS:

• Attend Self-Help Counties Focus on the Future Conference November 2020

2021WORK ELEMENT 13 (continued) INTERGOVERNMENTAL ADVOCACY

- 2020 Federal Legislative Platform February January 2021
- 2020 State Legislative Platform January 2021 February
- Information packages or proposals for priority programs and projects As needed
- Information packages on high priority projects for Federal and State advocacy March 2021
- Analysis and recommendations on Federal and State legislative proposals As needed
- Letters supporting or opposing pertinent legislation As needed

| REVENUES | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$123,744 | PCTPA | \$69,744 |
| | <u>\$110,870</u> | | <u>\$66,870</u> |
| Interest | 6,000 | Travel and Conference | |
| | | Expenses | 10,000 |
| | | Chamber of Commerce | 6,200 |
| | | Memberships | |
| | | CalCOG Membership | 2,300 |
| | | State Advocacy Consultant | 5,000 |
| | | Federal Legislative | 36,500 |
| | | Advocate | |
| TOTAL | \$129,744 | | \$129,744 |
| | \$116,870 | | \$116,870 |
| Percent of budget: 2.56 2.44% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 14 COMMUNICATIONS AND OUTREACH

PURPOSE: To inform the public of the Agency's activities and issues of interest, and to gather effective public input

BACKGROUND: As the transportation system in California and in Placer County faces more and greater challenges, it is even more critical that the public be aware and informed about transportation issues, the role of PCTPA, and the activities we are doing now and planning for the future. This awareness translates to a higher level of public discussion and informed approaches to dealing with transportation issues.

As the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for Placer County, PCTPA serves as a clearinghouse of information about transportation issues as they may affect citizens, businesses, and travelers. Many of those issues are in regards to in regard to future plans, while others may concern existing conditions. This work element is intended to cover all of the day to dayday-to-day communications activities of the Agency and governing Board.

This work element covers the more general outreach and input that is important to dealing with transportation issues. Specific outreach for specific efforts, including transit and rail, I-80/SR 65 Interchange, SR 65 Widening, I-80 Auxiliary Lanes, SR 49 Sidewalks Gap Closure, and the Regional Transportation Funding Strategy are covered under those work elements. Advocacy and lobbying, including policy advocacy outreach or requests for project funding, are covered under Work Element 13: Intergovernmental Advocacy.

- Develop and distribute informational pieces to the public, such as brochures, about Agency activities and responsibilities **Ongoing**
- Provide outreach and presentations to interested groups, such as Municipal Advisory Committees, Chambers of Commerce, neighborhood associations, and business groups, on Agency activities and responsibilities **Ongoing/as requested**
- Provide information about transportation options for the general public, including distribution of schedules and informational pieces about transit trip planning, at the Agency offices **Ongoing**
- Solicit and facilitate input of public on transportation issues by specifically including Agency website address, e-mail address, phone number, fax number, and physical address in all outreach materials. **Ongoing**
- Seek opportunities for partnerships with jurisdictions, tribal governments, community groups, and others to provide greater breadth of outreach **Ongoing**
- Review local newspapers and news outlets' coverage of issues that affect transportation and disseminate to Boardmembers, jurisdictions, the public, and other appropriate parties **Ongoing**
- Provide prompt responses to public inquiries and concerns, including raising them to Advisory Committee or Board attention as appropriate **Ongoing**
- Design, update, and keep current agency website www.pctpa.net Ongoing
- Post agenda and minutes on agency web site **Monthly**

WORK ELEMENT 14 (continued)[KH1] COMMUNICATIONS AND OUTREACH

- Provide recordings of Agency Board meetings to local media for broadcast on community television **Monthly**
- Provide outreach and respond to inquiries by the media to provide information and analysis of transportation issues that face Placer County and highlight agency activities and input opportunities, including television, radio, newspapers, and other media **Ongoing**
- Implement and update social media policy to guide staff and consultants in the parameters for social media postings on behalf of the Agency **Ongoing/As needed**
- Develop and implement social media program to highlight transportation programs, projects, issues, and other information pertinent to the traveling public **Ongoing**
- Develop and distribute "e-newsletter" with updates on transportation projects and programs, spotlighting current and upcoming transportation issues **Bi-monthly**
- Hold meetings, workshops, and/or events to capture public attention, disseminate information, and/or solicit input about transportation issues **Ongoing**
- Bring attention to milestones on transportation projects and programs through signage, events, social media, websites, and other appropriate methods **Ongoing/As needed**
- Develop marketing and outreach materials for programs that provide transportation options in Placer County **Ongoing**
- Create, maintain and update agency websites that provide education and information regarding transportation options in Placer County **Ongoing**
- Provide support for alternatively fueled vehicles, including EV charging station **Ongoing**
- Actively participate as a member of the TNT/TMA and support public education and outreach activities applicable to the Truckee-North Tahoe area **Ongoing**

- Information pieces, such as Power Point presentations and brochures, about Agency activities and responsibilities **Ongoing**
- PCTPA "e-newsletter" Quarterly
- Social media postings Ongoing
- Posting of video recordings of Board meetings **Monthly**
- Agency web site updates **Ongoing**
- Board agenda postings on website **Monthly**
- Project and event signage As needed
- Meeting notifications and advertising As needed
- Project and event website construction and maintenance As needed
- Fact sheets, program and project summaries, and other printed materials As needed
- Nevada Station Electric Vehicle Station operation reports **Ongoing**
- TNT/TMA progress reports and invoices **Quarterly**

WORK ELEMENT 14 (continued) COMMUNICATIONS AND OUTREACH

| REVENUES | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| LTF | \$ 111,582 <u>118,702</u> | PCTPA | \$98,682 <u>\$95,802</u> |
| CMAQ | 40,500 | Communications Consultant | 35,000 45,000 |
| | | | |
| | | Meeting Supplies, Travel, and Postage | 10,000 |
| | | TNT/TMA Education/Outreach | 6,400 |
| | | Alternative Fuel Vehicle Marketing/Support | 2,000 |
| TOTAL | \$ 152,082 \$159,202 | | \$ 152,082 \$159,202 |
| Percent of budget: 3.00 3.33% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 15 BUILDING ADMINISTRATION

PURPOSE: To provide management and administration of the Agency's office property.

BACKGROUND: The Nevada Station building, located adjacent to the Auburn Multimodal Station, was purchased to serve as the Agency's permanent office space. The office property totals 16,810 square feet, and includes several rental spaces in addition to the Agency's area. Management and operation of the facility is part of that ownership responsibility.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Maintain accounting for revenue and expenses for the office property **Ongoing**
- Collect rents as scheduled, implementing collection procedures as necessary Monthly
- Work with property manager to ensure all <u>maintenance maintenance</u>, <u>security</u> and repair issues are identified and resolved quickly and completely **Ongoing**
- Contract with qualified individuals and firms to provide maintenance and repairs on a timely and cost-effective basis **As needed**
- Work with leasing agent to secure tenants and negotiate leases As needed

- Accounts receivable, accounts payable, balance sheets, and other accounting records **Ongoing**
- Tenant leases As needed

| REVENUES | | EXPENDITURES | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Nevada Station Property | \$15,317 | PCTPA | \$15,317 |
| _ | <u>\$15,924</u> | | <u>\$15,924</u> |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| TOTAL | \$15,317 | | \$15,317 |
| | <u>\$15,924</u> | | <u>\$15,924</u> |
| Percent of budget: 0.30 | | | |
| 0.33% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 20 SACOG/MPO PLANNING INTEGRATION

PURPOSE: To update the Placer County Regional Transportation Plan and coordinate with SACOG on the development of the Metropolitan Transportation Program (MTP) and Sustainable Communities Strategies (SCS).

BACKGROUND: Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs) are required to update their RTPs every five years. The current Placer County Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) 2040 was adopted by the Board in December 2019. The RTP provides the long-range, comprehensive direction for transportation improvements within Placer County. The RTP includes regional transportation goals, objectives, and policies that guide the development of a balanced, multi-modal transportation system. The RTP also includes a financial analysis that forecasts transportation funding available over the twenty-year horizon of the plan.

PCTPA actively participated with SACOG and our other regional partners in the update of the six-county Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), which was adopted in February 2020. Technical reasons for this joint effort include reference to the PCTPA/SACOG Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated April 11, 2001, which states SACOG provides air quality conformity and other federal requirements for the RTP.

The SACOG MTP also meets all the latest requirements of SB375 and AB32, which includes the consideration of the integration of land use, transportation, and air quality. Moreover, the plan also includes the required Sustainable Communities Strategies to implement these plans. The collaborative approach provided by the coalition of transportation partners throughout the six-county region means improved interregional coordination, as well as ensuring that Placer projects and priorities are integrated into a cohesive regional plan as provided in the MOU.

Staff will kick off the development of the 2045 RTP in FY 2020/21. The 2045 RTP will incorporate the most recent planning requirements identified in the RTP Guidelines for RTPAs, the latest project information, and revenue assumptions for the region. The 2045 RTP will incorporate the work of and coordination with SACOG's MTP/SCS update to ensure consistency between the planning efforts due to the complexity and dynamic planning environment in the Sacramento Region. The parallel schedules of the two efforts creates an opportunity to maximize efficiencies and effectiveness in addressing Placer's needs and goals. The technical coordination with SACOG will consist of the following activities:

- Model Development and Support PCTPA (SACOG Project #100-002-01P)
 - o This project includes SACOG staff time for Placer County-related travel demand and transportation modeling, data assembly, analysis, and monitoring work.
- Regional Progress Report PCTPA (SACOG Project #100-02-10P)
 - The Regional Progress Report will provide data for integrated planning across demographic, economic, land use, housing and transportation indicators, and show how the region has changed since the last progress report released in June of 2017.

WORK ELEMENT 20 (continued) SACOG/MPO PLANNING INTEGRATION

- Data Development, Monitoring, and Support PCTPA (SACOG Project #100-005-02P)
 - This project includes SACOG staff time and resources to start the land use, demographic, and transportation datasets representing conditions in 2020 (existing conditions) that are integral to the development of the next MTP/SCS.
- Performance-Based Planning and Programming PCTPA (SACOG Project #100-006-11P)
 - The purpose of this element is to ensure that PCTPA is meeting its obligation to integrate performance-based planning and programming into the Metropolitan Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program.

PREVIOUS WORK:

PCTPA

- Prepare RTP environmental document June 2019 December 2019
- Release Draft RTP Document for public comment August 2019
- Release Draft RTP Environmental Document for public comment August 2019
- Adopt Final RTP Document December 2019
- Adopt Final RTP Environmental Document December 2019

SACOG

- SACOG Project #100-002-01P
 - Model network updates and RTP data support November 2019
 - o Review of Placer-Sacramento Gateway Plan April 2020
- SACOG Project #100-02-10P
 - o None, new SACOG project
- SACOG Project #100-005-02P
 - Updated land use, demographic, and transportation datasets representing conditions in 2040 that are integral to the development of the RTP June 2019 December 2019
- SACOG Project #100-006-11P
 - o None, new SACOG project

WORK PROGRAM:

PCTPA

- Participate in statewide RTP Guidelines update efforts As needed
- Monitor and track amendments to the SACOG 2020 MTP/SCS and/or the PCTPA RTP Monthly
- Work with SACOG on the update of the Placer County-related portion of the travel demand model (SACOG Project #100-002-01P) **Quarterly**
- Work with SACOG on the update of socio-economic data for the Placer County-related portion of the travel demand model (SACOG Project #100-005-02P) **Quarterly**
- Congestion Management Plan updates As needed
- Develop schedule for 2045 RTP January 2021 June 2021
- Participate in SACOG's Next Generation Transit Study July 2020 FY 2021/22

WORK ELEMENT 20 (continued) SACOG/MPO PLANNING INTEGRATION

• Review of Caltrans Transportation Plan 2050 July 2020 – December 2020

<u>SACO</u>G

- SACOG Project #100-002-01P
 - Provide data analysis and modeling assistance to Placer County's various plan updates, including integration of efforts with the Congestion Management Process and SB743 implementation July 2020 – June 2021
- SACOG Project #100-02-10P
 - o Regional Progress Report Partnership coordination and analysis July December 2020
- SACOG Project #100-005-02P
 - Collecting and updating Land Use inventories used in 2020 Base Year July 2020 June 2021
- SACOG Project #100-006-11P
 - Track planning and programming of projects that support statewide performance goals July
 2020 June 2021
 - Update project performance assessment tool for regional ATP funding July 2020 April 2021
 - o Develop CMAQ Performance Plan August 2020 October 2021

PRODUCTS:

PCTPA

- Amendments to the PCTPA RTP As needed
- Coordination with SACOG on travel demand modeling and MTP/SCS implementation **Monthly**
- SACOG travel demand model transportation network (SACOG Project #100-002-01P) **February 2021**
- SACOG travel demand model employment file (SACOG Project #100-005-02P) February 2021
- Coordinate with SACOG on Congestion Management Plan updates As needed
- PCTPA/SACOG RTP/MTP workshop agenda and materials As needed
- RTP progress reports and documents July 2020 June 2021

SACOG

- SACOG Project #100-002-01P
 - Land use/Demographic data summaries; VMT screening maps for SB743 implementation
 Ongoing
- SACOG Project #100-02-10P
 - Regional Progress Report December 2020
- SACOG Project #100-005-02P
 - o 2020 MTP/SCS Open Data Page September 2020
 - o New 2020 Housing and Employment Inventory **December 2020**
 - New Bikeways and Trials Inventory March 2021
 - Updated SB743 Open Data Page July 2021
- SACOG Project #100-006-11P
 - o CMAQ Performance Plan September 2020

- o Pavement and Bridge Performance Targets (PM2) November 2020
- o System Performance Targets (PM3) November 2020
- Year 2 Safety Performance Targets (PM1) February 2021
- o Project Performance Assessment Tool Improvements April 2021

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$8,263 | SACOG | \$ <u>392,754</u> |
| | <u>\$16,856</u> | | 356,190 |
| Rural Planning Assistance | 397,000 | PCTPA | 106,073 |
| | | | <u>\$78,101</u> |
| | | Legal | 1,000 |
| Planning, Programming, and | | Meetings, Travel, and | <u>2,000</u> |
| Monitoring (PPM) | 60,000 | Notifications | |
| | | | |
| TOTAL | \$465,263 | | \$465,263 |
| | <u>\$473,856</u> | | <u>\$473,856</u> |
| | | | |
| Percent of budget: 9.17 | | | |
| 9.90 % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 23 WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGENCY (CTSA) ADMINISTRATION

PURPOSE: To provide staffing and administrative support for the Western Placer Consolidated Transportation Services Agency (CTSA) Joint Powers Authority (JPA).

BACKGROUND: The Consolidated Transportation Service Agency (CTSA) designation was created by California law as a means of strengthening and coordinating the social service transportation programs of nonprofit organizations and, where appropriate, to serve as the focus for consolidation of functional elements of these programs, including the provision of transportation services. For Placer County, the CTSA designation was held by Pride Industries from 1997 until they resigned effective December 31, 2007.

When no other suitable candidate was found to undertake the role, the seven jurisdictions of Placer County formed a Joint Powers Authority to take on the role of the CTSA. The result was the Western Placer CTSA JPA, which was created on October 13, 2008 by Placer County and the cities of Auburn, Colfax, Lincoln, Rocklin, and Roseville, and the Town of Loomis to provide CTSA services. Under the terms of the JPA, PCTPA provides administrative services for the JPA.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Provide administrative, accounting, and staff support for the CTSA JPA Ongoing
- Oversee the implementation of CTSA as delineated in the Joint Powers Agreement, including Health Express, My Rides, Transit Ambassador Program, and the South Placer Transportation Call Center per Memoranda of Understanding **Ongoing**
- Implement bus pass subsidy program Ongoing
- Develop and print coordinated transit schedules **Ongoing**
- Develop and keep updated www.sptransitinfo.org Ongoing
- Implement WPCTSA SRTP recommendations as needed Ongoing
- Develop agenda items for CTSA Board and advisory committees Monthly/as needed
- Provide financial information to Board **Ongoing**
- Provide information and reports to interested groups, and citizens Ongoing
- Provide transit information to the new Placer County 211 System **Ongoing**
- Conversion of Health Express Program & My Rides to Placer Rides Program June 2021

PRODUCTS:

- Joint Powers Agreement amendments As needed
- Memorandum of Understanding amendments As needed
- CTSA FY 2020/21 Budget updates As needed
- CTSA FY 2021/22 Budget June 2021
- Contracts for CTSA transit services Annually/as needed
- CTSA Board agendas and minutes Quarterly/as needed
- CTSA financial reports Quarterly
- Reports, audits, and other documentation required of CTSAs July 2020 June 2021/as needed
- Revised Placer Rides Program

WORK ELEMENT 23 (continued)

CTSA ADMINISTRATION

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| CTSA | \$85,553 | PCTPA | \$85,553 |
| | <u>\$89,862</u> | | <u>\$89,862</u> |
| | | | |
| TOTAL | \$85,553 | | \$85,553 |
| | <u>\$89,862</u> | | <u>\$89,862</u> |
| Percent of budget: 1.69 | | | |
| 1.88% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 24 TRANSIT PLANNING

PURPOSE: To reinvent transit services countywide due to decreased ridership before and resulting from the COVID-19 and to implement enhanced transit service for south Placer County.

BACKGROUND:

Transit Ridership nationwide has decreased over the last 10 years and local routes in Placer have steadily decline in ridership over the past 10 years, while before the COVID-19 pandemic commuter transit was growing annually. The COVID-19 crisis has essentially taken all transit in Placer offline for from March 2020 until very recently when it has started to slowly recover. This crisis presents an opportunity for the transit agencies of the County to examine and reinvent themselves to better address the mobility needs of Placer residents and businesses.

In a partnership between transit operators and the major South Placer medical centers, this pilot transit improvement, the Lincoln Express Bus, would connect South Placer County to the high-frequency Sacramento Light Rail transit system. This project would provide Lincoln residents an efficient alternative to driving and increased congestion and the continued need for enhanced transit services in the Highway 65 Corridor. The new route would begin and end with a stop in the City of Lincoln, continue along the Highway 65 corridor with stops at Sutter Roseville Medical Center and Kaiser Permanente Roseville, and terminate at the Watt/I-80 light rail station in Sacramento County. Sacramento Regional Transit's light rail service would then enable passengers to travel to and from downtown Sacramento, the Railyards and other key destinations within Sacramento County.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Work with Roseville Transit, Placer County Transit, Auburn Transit and the CTSA to reinvent transit service to address impacts of COVID-19 and the ongoing decline in ridership for non-commuter service prior to COVID-19. **July 2020-June 2022.**
- Work closely with consultant team, City of Roseville, and other pertinent parties to develop the South Placer Transit Project per consultant contract **July 2020 June 2021**
- Provide support for state grant application for transit capital funding June 2020 June 2021
- Work with SACOG, Caltrans, and the City of Roseville to ensure inclusion of the South Placer Transit Project in their planning and funding efforts **Ongoing**

- South Placer Transit Project Implementation Plan In accordance with work program
- Consultant contract amendments As needed
- Issue Request for Interest (RFI) for Microtransit Services **June 2021**

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| CTSA | \$61,405 | PCTPA | \$40,905 \$38,407 |
| | <u>\$38,907</u> | | <u>\$38,407</u> |
| | | Transit Consultant | 20,000 |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and | <u>500</u> |
| | | Notifications | |
| TOTAL | \$61,405 | | \$ 61,405 |
| | <u>\$38,907</u> | | <u>\$38,907</u> |
| Percent of budget: 1.21 .81% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 27 AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION/AVIATION PLANNING

PURPOSE: To administer the Placer County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC), Airport Land Use Comprehensive Plan (ALUCP), and related aviation activities.

BACKGROUND: PCTPA's aviation planning activities include administration of the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC), and providing technical assistance. Placer County has three publicuse airports at Auburn, Lincoln, and Blue Canyon (an emergency airstrip).

PCTPA coordinates with the California Department of Transportation, Division of Aeronautics for ALUC planning activities and funding. As the designated Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) for Placer County, PCTPA is responsible for defining planning boundaries and setting standards for compatible land uses surrounding airports. ALUCs have two primary functions under State law. The first is the adoption of land use standards that minimize the public's exposure to safety hazards and excessive levels of noise. The second is to prevent the encroachment of incompatible land uses around public-use airports. This involves review of land use proposals near airports as delineated in the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. This analysis, particularly for more complex reviews, may require the use of consultant services.

While the Truckee-Tahoe Airport is predominantly in Nevada County, part of the runways and overflight zones are in Placer County. Under agreement reached in 2010, the ALUC designation for the Truckee-Tahoe Airport lies with the Nevada County Transportation Commission (NCTC), augmented by a representative appointed by the Placer County Board of Supervisors so that Placer interests are represented appropriately.

A key task for the ALUC is the implementation of the Airport Lane Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP). This adds a review of local land use proposals in the areas surrounding the airports to determine whether they are consistent with the current ALUCP adopted in early 2014. The City of Auburn submitted their updated Airport Layout Plan and Narrative Report for consistency review in May 2020 and the ALUC Board directed staff in August 2020 to update the ALUCP to make sure the two documents conform.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Participate in interagency aviation meetings As Needed
- Review development projects for consistency with ALUCP **Ongoing**
- Provide staff support for aviation agencies, local jurisdictions and ALUC **Ongoing**
- Administer funds and programs for local jurisdictions Ongoing/as needed

• Work with SACOG to represent Placer interests in the ALUCP for the McClellan Airport As needed

 Review Lincoln Airport Layout Plan and Narrative Report Update for consistency with ALUCP July 2020 – September 2020

21

WORK ELEMENT 27 (continued) AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION/AVIATION PLANNING

- Update the ALUCP to reflect the Auburn and Lincoln Airport Layout Plan and Narrative Report Updates July 2020 FY 2021/22
- Review existing ALUC fee structure to determine need to update July 2020-FY2021/22

- Determination of development projects consistency with ALUCP, including public hearings **As** needed
- Updated jurisdiction land use plans/maps, zoning codes, or other planning documents to reflect the updated ALUCP According to jurisdiction schedule
- Grant proposals, funding plans, and interagency agreements As needed
- ALUC meeting agendas As needed
- Update the ALUCP to reflect the Auburn and Lincoln Airport Layout Plan and Narrative Report Updates July 2020 FY 2021/22
- Updated ALUC Fee Structure July 2020 FY 2021/22

| REVENUES | | EXPENDITURES | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| LTF | \$176,282 | PCTPA | \$76,282 |
| | <u>\$189,063</u> | | <u>\$95,06</u> 0 |
| ALUC Fees | 6,500 | Legal | |
| | | _ | 1,000 |
| City of Auburn | 16,000 | Airport Conformity | 7,500 |
| - | <u>\$20,000</u> | Consultant | |
| City of Lincoln | <u>8,000</u> | Meetings, Travel, and | 2,000 |
| | <u>\$10,000</u> | Notifications | |
| | | ALUCP Consultant | 127,000 \$120,000 |
| TOTAL | \$206,782 | TOTAL | \$206,782 |
| | <u>\$225,56</u> 0 | TOTAL | <u>\$225,56</u> 0 |
| Percent of budget:4.08 | | | |
| 4.71% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 33 BIKEWAY PLANNING

PURPOSE: To provide ongoing bicycle planning, safety education and coordination services.

BACKGROUND: In FY 2010/11, PCTPA completed the North Tahoe-Truckee Resort Triangle Bicycle and Trail Plan with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), Nevada County Transportation Commission (NCTC), and the North Lake Tahoe Resort Association (NLTRA) in support of the NLTRA's goal to become designated as a Bicycle Friendly Community, which has now been achieved. In FY 2016/17 and 2017/18, staff led an update to the 2002 Regional Bikeway Plan for the unincorporated communities in western Placer County, which was adopted in June 2019. The Regional Bikeway Plan provided a new vision for bikeways within the rural communities, between incorporated cities, and the touring routes enjoyed by enthusiast with an eye toward identifying projects to compete in the statewide Active Transportation Program.

PCTPA will update, print, and distribute the Countywide Bikeway Map as it has annually since 2010. Staff will also continue to monitor bicycle planning and implementation needs, and coordinate with SACOG, Caltrans, and jurisdictions on bicycle issues.

PCTPA will also partner with SACOG on a Caltrans Sustainable Communities funded six-county Regional Parks and Trails Strategic Development Plan. This study is a joint effort between SACOG, EDCTC, PCTPA, and Valley Vision to develop a community and business-supported vision and strategic implementation approach for a connected regional trail system using public outreach, data analysis, and project prioritization. The ultimate system will create low-stress access for disadvantaged populations to parks and other community destinations to add to the region's sustainability and quality of life through increased active transportation opportunities that can improve public health.

- Coordinate efforts with PCTPA's Bicycle Advisory Committee and other stakeholders, including SACOG and Caltrans **Ongoing**
- Coordinate with local jurisdictions on bicycle funding opportunities and grant programs and enhance coordination efforts with Caltrans to identify and program complete streets enhancements to the state highway system in Placer County **Ongoing**
- Participate in the Regional Bicycle Steering Committee and regional marketing efforts of May is Bike Month February 2021 May 2021
- Using enhanced computer software capabilities, update countywide bikeway maps in-house
 Ongoing
- Print and distribute updated countywide bicycle maps **Ongoing**
- Coordinate efforts with Caltrans District 3 on their district-wide bicycle facilities mapping effort **As needed**
- Explore opportunities for acquisition of abandoned railroad rights-of-way for bikeways As needed
- Participate in SACOG Regional Parks and Trails Strategic Development Plan As needed
- Participate in development in Caltrans District 3 Active Transportation Plan July 2020 June

WORK ELEMENT 33 (continued) BIKEWAY PLANNING

- Bikeway funding applications As needed
- Updated Placer Countywide Bikeway Map As needed
- Regional Bicycle Steering Committee agendas As needed
- Grant applications for state highway complete streets projects every two years **Ongoing**

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$15,530 | PCTPA | \$17,030 |
| | <u>\$17,855</u> | | <u>\$19,355</u> |
| CMAQ | 2,000 | Meetings, Travel, and | <u>500</u> |
| _ | | Notifications | |
| TOTAL | \$ 17,530 | | \$17,530 |
| | \$ 17,530 \$19,855 | | \$17,530 \$19,855 |
| Percent of budget: 0.35 0.41% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 35 RAIL PROGRAM

PURPOSE: To support and enhance the success of Capitol Corridor rail service in Placer County, to administer the agency's passenger rail, freight rail and rail grade crossing programs, and to maximize the rail funding available to local jurisdictions.

BACKGROUND: PCTPA's rail program includes rail system planning, rail program administration and financing, and technical assistance. PCTPA's top rail priority is intercity rail and therefore is an active member of the Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA) and its subcommittees. Intercity rail requires extensive work and coordination with Amtrak, Union Pacific, Caltrans and the CCJPA. PCTPA also provides a critical network of support for the service, working with jurisdictions and CCJPA staff to provide stations, platforms, connector buses, and other amenities required for the ongoing success of the service. The State provides operating funds to CCJPA under the provisions of interagency and fund transfer agreements.

The long-standing focus of Placer's rail program is to enhance rail service to Placer County. One manifestation of that priority has been work to extend passenger service to Reno. A Reno Rail Conceptual Plan was completed in FY 2004/05, but further efforts have been on hold pending ongoing discussions and negotiations with Union Pacific Railroad about the capacity improvements that would be needed to make partially or completely implement these plans. An underlying recognition with these enhancements to passenger rail is that it would also support and enhance goods movement and may be moved forward through use of State grants for Cap and Trade.

More recently, the rail passenger capacity improvement discussion has focused on improvements to the UP rail "bottleneck" between Sacramento and Roseville. In November 2015, the CCJPA adopted the environmental document for the Third Track capacity improvements, with the focus of providing the Capitol Corridor 10 round trips daily to Roseville. The next steps in this effort, to design and construct the Third Track facilities, entails extensive coordination to build agreements with key parties, including CCJPA, PCTPA, UP, and the City of Roseville.

While the footprint of the High-Speed Rail line in California is not planned to extend to Placer County, the CCJPA will be acting as a key feeder line. For that reason, PCTPA staff is also working closely with CCJPA to ensure that Placer interests are best served as the High-Speed Rail line moves forward.

Finally, PCTPA staff represents Placer County's jurisdictions before state, federal and regional rail agencies, as well as the CTC. PCTPA also assists jurisdictions coordination with Caltrans, Union Pacific and the PUC to improve at-grade crossings.

- Participate in CCJPA and other interagency rail committees and meetings Monthly
- Coordinate with state and federal agencies and legislators to ensure and enhance the long-term viability of rail service in Placer County **Ongoing**

WORK ELEMENT 35 (continued) RAIL PROGRAM

- Serve as information clearinghouse for jurisdictions, tribal governments, and the public regarding rail services and facilities in Placer County **Ongoing**
- Monitor and expedite improvements to rail facilities and services in Placer County, including Third Track project **Ongoing**
- Work with the CCJPA and local transit to provide timely connections to rail service Ongoing
- Coordinate rail and transit programs with other agencies and jurisdictions Ongoing
- Work with jurisdictions, CCJPA, and Amtrak to increase train frequencies to Placer stations, including negotiations for agreements with Union Pacific **Ongoing**
- Work with CCJPA to ensure Placer interests are represented in High-Speed Rail feeder route planning **Ongoing**
- Work with member agencies, elected officials, and others to pursue operational and funding strategies outlined in the Reno Rail Conceptual Plan **Ongoing**
- Participate with Caltrans in their upcoming Statewide Rail Plan Ongoing according to Caltrans' schedule Completed in 2018 update every 5 years
- Work with CCJPA on annual marketing program for Placer County July 2020 June 2021

- CCJPA public hearings, meetings, presentations, Annual Business Plan, public service announcements and press releases **Per CCJPA schedule**
- Memorandum of Agreement with Union Pacific Railroad, CCJPA, and/or other appropriate parties on terms for provision of additional passenger rail service to Placer jurisdictions **As needed**
- CCJPA marketing materials focused on Placer County July 2020 June 2021

| REVENUES | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| LTF | \$35,184 | PCTPA | \$41,684 |
| | \$39,249 | | <u>\$45,75</u> 8 |
| CMAQ | 7,500 | Legal | 500 |
| ССЈРА | <u>7,500</u> | Marketing Consultant | 7,500 |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | <u>500</u> |
| TOTAL | \$ 50,184 | | \$50,184 |
| | \$ 50,184 \$54,249 | | \$50,184 \$54,249 |
| Percent of budget: 0.99 1.13% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 40 PLACER PARKWAY

PURPOSE: To support the completion of the federal and state environmental document that will provide construction level clearance for a future Placer Parkway – a new roadway linking State Route (SR) 70/99 in Sutter County and SR 65 in Placer County.

BACKGROUND: The Placer Parkway is cited in the Placer County General Plan, PCTPA's Regional Transportation Plan, and the SACOG Metropolitan Transportation Plan. The Placer Parkway would offer an alternative travel corridor for the fast-growing areas in western Placer County and southern Sutter County.

The Tier 1 environmental document, which identified a 500' to 1000' wide corridor for acquisition, was adopted by the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) in December 2009. The subsequent Tier 2 environmental document effort is being led by Placer County and will analyze design and construction impacts of roadway alignments within the selected corridor.

PCTPA, both as a planning agency and as staff for SPRTA, has led the development of this project since the Placer Parkway Conceptual Plan was started in 1998. As the project moves through the construction level environmental process, the institutional knowledge and background acquired in efforts to date will be needed to assist County staff in moving the project forward. Staff will also be participating as development efforts begin to take shape in the Western Placer area to ensure that the ongoing viability of the Placer Parkway project and that adopted actions and agreements are incorporated into the planning process.

- Assist Placer County and other partners in developing and obtaining a construction level environmental clearance Ongoing
- Participate with Placer County on Project Development Team (PDT) for Placer Parkway Per County schedule
- Work with SACOG, Caltrans, and jurisdictions to ensure inclusion of Placer Parkway in their planning efforts **Ongoing**

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| SPRTA Mitigation Fees | \$15,378 | PCTPA | \$14,878 |
| _ | <u>\$12,054</u> | | <u>\$11,554</u> |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | <u>500</u> |
| TOTAL | \$15,378 | | \$15,378 |
| | \$12,054 | | <u>\$12,054</u> |
| Percent of budget: 0.30 0.25% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 41 I-80/SR 65 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS (Multi-year project)

PURPOSE: To develop a shelf-ready phased improvement program for the I-80/SR 65 Interchange, including environmental clearances, design, and right-of-way. Caltrans pays for and provides staff support through Expenditure Authorization 03-0H26U.

BACKGROUND: The I-80/SR 65 Interchange was constructed in the mid-1980's as part of the Roseville Bypass project on SR 65 in the Roseville/Rocklin area of South Placer County. The facility is now experiencing operational problems caused by high peak traffic volumes and less efficient geometry of the loop ramp, which cause downstream backups on I-80 and SR 65.

A project initiation document (PID) for the I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements was completed in 2009 by Caltrans District 3. This document provided planning level alignment alternatives, as well as scope, schedule, and cost estimates. Because the two projects are so closely related, PCTPA has reprogrammed a portion of the savings from a Federal earmark for the I-80 Bottleneck for preconstruction of the I-80/SR 65 Interchange. After an extensive consultant selection process, the contract was signed with the Jacobs team in February 2011. The interchange improvements received both federal and state environmental clearance in September 2016.

Phase 1 of the I-80/SR 65 interchange completed construction in September 2019, including a third lane on northbound Highway 65 from Interstate 80 to Pleasant Grove Boulevard. The work for FY 2020/21 is expected to focus on coordination with Caltrans to close out construction of the first phase (Phase 1) of the interchange on northbound SR 65 from I-80 to Pleasant Grove Boulevard, including project website updates. Augmenting construction of Phase 1 is ongoing public and stakeholder outreach and member jurisdiction coordination.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Work closely with Caltrans, jurisdictions, regulatory agencies, and other pertinent parties to close out construction of Phase 1 of the I-80/SR 65 interchange in accordance with the work program July 2020 June 2021
- Provide information and make presentations on the I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvement effort to elected officials, business groups, citizen groups, and other interested parties July 2020 June 2021/as needed
- Maintain and update the project website, <u>www.8065interchange.org</u> **Ongoing**
- Work with SACOG, Caltrans, and jurisdictions to ensure inclusion of I-80/SR 65 Interchange Improvements in their planning efforts Ongoing

- Phase 1 construction website updates and outreach materials **Ongoing**
- Coordination with Caltrans and regulatory agencies to close out permitting and environmental monitoring for Phase 1 construction **Ongoing**

WORK ELEMENT 41 (continued) I-80/SR 65 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS (Multi-year project)

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|
| LTF | \$0 | PCTPA | \$35,236 |
| | | | <u>\$19,796</u> |
| SPRTA Mitigation Fees | \$37,736 | Legal | |
| _ | <u>\$22,296</u> | | 2,000 |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | <u>500</u> |
| TOTAL | \$37,736 | | \$37,736 |
| | \$22,296 | | \$22,296 |
| Percent of budget: 0.74 0.47 % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 42 HIGHWAY 65 WIDENING (Multi-year project)

PURPOSE: To develop a shelf-ready improvement program for Highway 65 between I-80 and Lincoln Boulevard, including environmental clearance, design, and right-of-way. Caltrans pays for and provides staff support through Expenditure Authorization 03-1FI71.

BACKGROUND: Highway 65 between Roseville and Marysville was designated as part of the state's highway system in the 1960's. The Highway 65 Roseville Bypass, constructed in the late 1980's, realigned the highway through downtown Roseville from Washington Boulevard to I-80. The facility is now experiencing operational problems caused by high peak traffic volumes, which cause backups on both northbound and southbound Highway 65 in South Placer County.

A project initiation document (PID) for the Highway 65 Widening was completed by Caltrans District 3 in January 2013. This document provides planning level alternatives, as well as scope, schedule, and cost estimates. The PCTPA board approved funding to complete Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase, which was completed in FY 2017/18.

The next phase of the project is the design of Phase 1 improvements from Blue Oaks Boulevard to Galleria Blvd/Stanford Ranch Rd, which is being led by PCTPA. The work for FY 2020/21 continued the Phase 1 work to 95 percent design in September 2020. However, with the transportation funding strategy being delayed to 2022, the design will go on hold for the reminder of FY 2020/21 until local match construction funding can be identified.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Work closely with consultant team, jurisdictions, Caltrans, regulatory agencies, and other pertinent parties to design Phase 1 improvements per consultant contract July 2020 October 2020
- With the consultant team, provide information and make presentations on the Highway 65
 Widening effort to elected officials, business groups, citizen groups, and other interested
 parties July 2020 June 2021/as needed
- Work with SACOG, Caltrans, and jurisdictions to ensure inclusion of the Highway 65
 Widening in their planning efforts Ongoing

- Highway 65 Widening consultant work products In accordance with work program
- Consultant contract amendments As needed
- Newsletters, press releases, and outreach materials **Ongoing**

WORK ELEMENT 42 (continued) HIGHWAY 65 WIDENING (Multi-year project)

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$0 | PCTPA | \$98,040 |
| | | | <u>\$47,599</u> |
| | | Legal | \$1,000 |
| SPRTA | \$220,040 | Meetings, Travel, and | \$1,000 |
| | <u>\$162,755</u> | Notifications | |
| | | Design consultant | <u>\$120,000</u> |
| | | | <u>\$113,155</u> |
| TOTAL | \$220,040 | | \$220,040 |
| | <u>\$162,75</u> 5 | | <u>\$162,75</u> 5 |
| Percent of budget: 4.34 | | | |
| <u>3.40</u> % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 43 I-80 AUXILIARY LANES (Multi-year project)

PURPOSE: To develop a shelf-ready improvement program for the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes, including environmental clearances, design, and right of way. Caltrans pays for and provides staff support reimburses PCTPA for project development activities and provides staff support through Expenditure Authorization 03-3F230.

BACKGROUND: The PCTPA Board in August 2013 re-allocated federal earmark savings from the I-80 Bottleneck project for environmental approval of the following improvements:

- I-80 Eastbound Auxiliary Lane between SR 65 and Rocklin Road
- I-80 Westbound 5th Lane between Douglas Blvd and Riverside Ave

Construction of the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes project will relieve existing traffic congestion and support future economic development in southern Placer County. The two locations are being combined as one project to be the most cost effective in completing the environmental documents and project designs.

A project initiation document (PID) was completed by Caltrans for each location in 2000 and 2012. PCTPA began on the Project Approval and Environmental Documents (PA&ED) phase in May 2014, and both state and federal environmental approval for the project was obtained in October 2016.

The work for FY 2020/21 is expected to include completing design and right of way acquisition for both locations to create a shelf ready project for construction.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Work with SACOG, Caltrans, and jurisdictions to ensure inclusion of the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes in their planning efforts **Ongoing**
- Work closely with consultant team, jurisdictions, Caltrans, regulatory agencies, and other pertinent parties to complete final design and acquire right of way per consultant contract
 July 2020 March 2021-FY 2021/22
- With the consultant team, provide information and make presentations on the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes effort to elected officials, business groups, citizen groups, and other interested parties July 2020 – June 2021/as needed

PRODUCTS:

- I-80 Auxiliary Lanes consultant work products In accordance with work program
- Consultant contract amendments As needed
- Newsletters, press releases, and outreach materials **Ongoing**

WORK ELEMENT 43 (continued) I-80 AUXILIARY LANES (Multi-year project)

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| LTF | \$12,515 \$11,809 | PCTPA | \$123,031 - <u>\$122,321</u> |
| Federal HPP & HIP | \$264,005 | Design consultant | \$223,303 |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | \$1,000 |
| | | Right of Way Consultant and Acquisition | <u>\$327,186</u> |
| SPRTA | 400,000 | | |
| TOTAL | \$676,520 \$675,814 | Legal | <u>2,000</u> |
| Percent of budget: 13.34 14.12 % | | | \$676,520 \$675,81 |

WORK ELEMENT 44 SR 49 SIDEWALK GAP CLOSURE (Multi-year project)

PURPOSE: To implement the Active Transportation Program Cycle 4 (2018) funded Highway 49 Sidewalk Gap Closure project. The project will construct 2.8 miles of sidewalks between gap on State Route 49 (SR 49) from I-80 to Dry Creek Road, including environmental clearances, design, and right of way support. Caltrans pays for and provides staff support through Expenditure Authorization 03-3H830.

BACKGROUND: The PCTPA Board in March 2017 allocated federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality funding to work cooperatively with the City of Auburn, County of Placer, and Caltrans to develop a standalone project to close gaps in the sidewalk network along SR 49 from I-80 to Dry Creek Road. Caltrans developed the SR 49 Roadway Rehabilitation project that proposes to repave the entire corridor, add Class II bicycle lanes, and sidewalks along certain segments of the corridor. A Project Report for the Roadway Rehabilitation project was approved March 2017. Unfortunately, sufficient funding was unavailable to provide continuous sidewalks along the corridor and Caltrans Roadway Rehabilitation project was too far along in the process to add the sidewalk gap closure components without significantly slowing their process.

The standalone Highway 49 Sidewalk Gap Closures project will complete the necessary environmental clearance, design, and right of way to support construction using the \$14.4 million Active Transportation Program (ATP) state grant.

During FY 2020/21, PCTPA and the consultant team will finalize the Plans Specifications & Estimates (PS&E), and begin the right-of-way engineering phases.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Work closely with consultant team, jurisdictions, regulatory agencies, and other pertinent parties to design (PS&E) improvements per consultant contract July 2020 – February 2021 FY 2021/22
- Work closely with consultant team, jurisdictions, regulatory agencies, and other pertinent parties on the right of way phase per consultant contract July 2020 FY 2021/22
- With the consultant team, provide information and make presentations on the Highway 49
 Sidewalk Gap Closures effort to elected officials, business groups, citizen groups, and other
 interested parties July 2020 June 2021/as needed

WORK ELEMENT 44 (continued) SR 49 SIDEWALK GAP CLOSURE (Multi-year project)

PRODUCTS:

- Final Design Plans April 2021 FY 2021/22
- Right of Way Acquisition FY 2021/22
- Consultant contract amendments As needed
- Newsletters, press releases, and outreach materials **Ongoing**

FY 20/21

| REVENUES | | EXPENDITURES | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$9,418 | PCTPA | \$79,232 |
| | <u>\$11,856</u> | | <u>\$81,67</u> 0 |
| CMAQ | \$384,692 | Design & ROW consultant | |
| | | | \$612,878 |
| ATP Federal Grant Funds - | \$300,000 | Meetings, Travel, and | \$1,000 |
| ROW | | Notifications | |
| | | Legal | <u>\$1,000</u> |
| TOTAL | \$694,110 | | \$694,110 |
| | <u>\$696,5</u> 48 | | <u>\$696,5</u> 48 |
| Percent of budget: 13.69 | | | |
| <u>14.56</u> % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 45 CORRIDOR MOBILITY PLAN (Multi-year project)

PURPOSE: Prepare the Placer Sacramento Gateway Plan (PSGP) in accordance with the California Transportation Commission's (CTC) 2019 Comprehensive Multimodal Corridor Plan Guidelines and California Department of Transportation's (Caltrans) Corridor Planning Guidebook to pursue state and federal grant funding.

BACKGROUND: The PCTPA, in collaboration with the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), Capital Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA), and Caltrans District 3, are co-leading an effort to create the PSGP and complete the plan by December 2020, including project implementation, transportation, and public outreach. The PSCMP will include an approximately 45-mile corridor that starts on US 50 at Interstate 5 and extends along Business 80, Interstate 80 to Highway 49, and Highway 65 to Nelson Lane.

The PSGP is required to compete for the CTC Solutions for Congested Corridors Program (SCCP) Cycle 2 funding with grant applications due in July 2020, which requires the plan to be consistent with the CTC's 2019 Comprehensive Multimodal Corridor Plan Guidelines. The PSGP will also be used to pursue other federal and state grant funding. In addition, the PSGP will need to be consistent with the Caltrans' Corridor Planning Guidebook to pursue available state Interregional Transportation Improvement Plan (ITIP) funding.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Work closely with project partners to secure state grants for a set of priority projects along the corridor July 2020 December 2020
- Provide information and make presentations on the PSGP effort to elected officials, business groups, citizen groups, and other interested parties **July 2020 December 2020/as needed**

PRODUCTS:

Solutions for Congested Corridors Program Grant Application July 2020

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| LTF | \$20,187 \$29,75 | PCTPA | \$14,687 <u>\$24,255</u> |
| | | Grant Application Consultant | <u>\$5,000</u> |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | <u>500</u> |
| TOTAL | \$20,187 \$29,754 | | \$20,187 \$29,754 |
| Percent of budget: 0.40% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 46 MOBILITY ACTION PLAN (Multi-year project)

PURPOSE: To identify projects for potential state and federal funding anticipated in 2022.

BACKGROUND: PCTPA was awarded a Sustainable Communities grant from Caltrans in May 2020. The Placer Sacramento Mobility Action Plan (Action Plan) will build on the completion of the Placer Sacramento Gateway Plan, which includes collaboration between PCTPA, Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), Capital Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA), and Caltrans District 3. The Action Plan will identify a list of regional projects to pursue in a coordinated transportation funding effort, including outlining clear actions, assigning implementation roles, and establishing performance metrics. The Action Plan will include an approximately 45-mile corridor that starts on US 50 at Interstate 5 and extends along Business 80, Interstate 80 to Highway 49, and Highway 65 to Nelson Lane.

The Action Plan will utilize innovative community engagement, extensive multimodal simulation modeling, and continuous stakeholder coordination. The Action Plan will be used to compete for state and federal grant funding anticipated in 2022.

PREVIOUS WORK:

• Release request for proposals and award consultant contract April 2020

WORK PROGRAM:

- Administer Caltrans grant July 2020 FY 2021/22
- Work closely with project partners to determine set of priority projects along the corridor that would compete best in state and federal grant programs July 2020 FY 2021/22
- With the consultant team, provide information and make presentations on the PSMAP effort to elected officials, business groups, citizen groups, and other interested parties **As needed**
- Develop virtual reality simulation of key transportation projects July 2020 FY 2021/22

PRODUCTS:

- Virtual Reality Demonstration **Ongoing**
- Draft Placer-Sacramento Action Plan (PSAP) FY 2021/22
- Final Placer-Sacramento Action Plan (PSAP) FY 2021/22
- Consultant contract amendments **As needed**

WORK ELEMENT 46 MOBILITY ACTION PLAN (Multi-year project)

FY 2020/21

| REVENUES | | EXPENDITURES | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| LTF | \$33,078 | PCTPA | \$83,186 |
| | 46,086 | | <u>\$77,405</u> |
| Caltrans Sustainable | \$ 490,000 | Consultant | \$467,000 |
| Communities Grant | <u>355,434</u> | | \$319,114 |
| SACOG Regional Local | \$32,108 | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | <u>\$5,000</u> |
| Program | | _ | |
| TOTAL | \$ 555,186 | | \$ 555,186 |
| | \$401,520 | | <u>\$401,520</u> |
| Percent of budget: 10.95% | | | |
| <u>8.39%</u> | | | |

FY 2021/22

| REVENUES | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 2021/22 LTF | \$ 15,000 32,425 | PCTPA | \$25,200 |
| | | | \$25,200 28,096 |
| Caltrans Sustainable | \$115,648 | Consultant | \$142,122252,543 |
| Communities Grant | 250,214 | | |
| Local Agency | 38,674 | Meetings, Travel, and | 2,000 |
| Funding | | Notifications | |
| TOTAL | \$ 184,281 | | \$ 184,281 |
| | \$282,639 | | \$282,639 |

TOTAL

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$48,078 | PCTPA | \$108,386 |
| | <u>78,511</u> | | <u>\$105,501</u> |
| Caltrans Sustainable | \$605,648 | Consultant | \$ <u>609,199</u> |
| Communities Grant | | | <u>\$571,657</u> |
| Local Agency | \$38,674 | Meetings, Travel, and | <u>\$7,000</u> |
| Funding | | Notifications | |
| | | | |
| TOTAL | \$724,508 | TOTAL | \$724,508 |
| | <u>\$684,159</u> | | <u>\$684,159</u> |

WORK ELEMENT 47 RIEGO ROAD/BASELINE ROAD WIDENING PROJECT (Multi-year project)

PURPOSE: To develop a shelf-ready improvement program for Riego Road/Baseline Road from State Route 99/70 in Sutter County to Foothills Boulevard in Placer County/City of Roseville, including planning, environmental clearance, design, and right-of-way.

BACKGROUND: PCTPA, the County of Sutter, the County of Placer, and the City of Roseville are leading the Project Initiation Document Equivalent (PIDE) effort to widen Riego Road/Baseline Road. PCTPA is taking the administrative lead to manage a qualified consultant to successfully complete the project study report (PSR) by October 2020. The project includes widening Riego Road/Baseline Road to four-lanes from State Route 99/70 to Foothills Boulevard (12 miles), and includes vehicular, transit, bike, and pedestrian infrastructure, and the addition of landscaping.

The most critical analysis in this segment is a grade separation of the railroad tracks/levee, which include full grade separation over the railroad tracks/levee or at-grade widening with the closure of one or more at-grade railroad crossings north of the proposed project.

Because the total project improvements are estimated to cost about \$100 million, far more than the local agencies have reasonably available in the short term, the PSR will be used to pursue local, state, and federal funding.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Work closely with consultant team, jurisdictions, regulatory agencies, and other pertinent parties to complete PSR per consultant contract October 2020 December 2020
- With the consultant team, provide information and make presentations to elected officials, business groups, citizen groups, and other interested parties July 2020 – June 2021/as needed
- Work with SACOG, Caltrans, and jurisdictions to ensure inclusion of Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening in their planning efforts **Ongoing**
- Pursue next phase (PA/ED) through negotiations with jurisdictions November 2020-June 2021FY 2021/22

PRODUCTS:

- Riego Road/Baseline Road Widening consultant work products In accordance with work program
- PA/ED consultant contract (to be determined)
- Consultant contract amendments As needed
- Newsletters, press releases, and outreach materials Ongoing

WORK ELEMENT 47 (continued) RIEGO ROAD/BASELINE ROAD WIDENING PROJECT (Multi-year project)

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| LTF | \$7,199 | PCTPA | \$41,307 |
| | <u>\$12,22</u> 2 | | <u>\$46,33</u> 0 |
| County of Sutter, County of Placer, City of Roseville | <u>67,126</u> | PIDE Consultant | 32,518 |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and Notifications | <u>500</u> |
| TOTAL | \$74,325 <u>\$79,348</u> | | \$74,325 |
| | | | \$74,325 \$79,348 |
| Percent of budget: 1.47 1.66 % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 50 PROJECT PROGRAMMING AND REPORTING

PURPOSE: To maximize the funding available to priority transportation projects and programs through accurate and efficient programming of Federal and State transportation dollars, ensure timely delivery, and report the success of those efforts.

BACKGROUND: PCTPA develops and programs transportation projects that are funded with State and Federal funds. PCTPA staff coordinates with Caltrans, SACOG, and other agencies, as indicated, regarding the various funding programs. Staff also coordinates with local jurisdictions to develop needed projects to meet specific program guidelines.

The passage of SB 1 in the Spring of 2017 has brought significant new revenues into play, with critical administrative roles for Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs). The package of ten different funding programs includes a few that are distributed by formula, with most distributed on a competitive basis, and PCTPA is deeply involved in the development of the guidelines and requirements of these programs. As those programs come online, this means PCTPA must enhance our coordination with member jurisdictions as well as SACOG and other regional agencies to identify projects and develop applications. Equally critical, these programs include a much higher level of reporting to Caltrans and the California Transportation Commission (CTC) that PCTPA must comply with.

Another major transportation funding program that PCTPA programs, under the requirements of our designation as Placer's Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA), is the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP). PCTPA determines how to program the RTIP funds allocated to the county, known as Regional Choice funds. PCTPA also advocates for the allocation of Caltrans' ITIP funds for shared priorities on state highways, including SR 65, SR 49, and I-80. While in recent years, with the advance of Placer's share of RTIP funds for the SR 65 Lincoln Bypass, as well as the fluctuations that result in a diminishing effectiveness of the gas tax revenues that fund the STIP, this is becoming a much smaller portion of PCTPA's funding efforts. However, with the passage of SB 1, it appears the RTIP debt may be paid off in one or two more cycles, thus bringing this funding source back into play.

Federal funding is equally volatile. Over the past decade, the shrinking cost effectiveness of the Federal gas tax has required more state and local funding to make ends meet. After many years of short-term Federal bills, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act was passed in late 2015 to provide a five-year package with a modest 3% increase in funding levels. However, the FAST Act relies on six years of revenues to fund the five-year bill, which leaves open the question of what will happen when the FAST Act expires.

Whatever the financial climate, timelines, or requirements involved, PCTPA's primary focus is to obtain and maintain the maximum amount of transportation funding for our local and regional transportation priorities, including transit improvements, Highway 65 widening, the I-80/SR 65 Interchange, SR 49 Sidewalk Gap Closures, Placer Parkway, rail capacity improvements, and

WORK ELEMENT 50 (continued) PROJECT PROGRAMMING AND REPORTING

various I-80 improvements. Not only do these projects enhance mobility for residents, but they also enhance and expand efficient local, regional, and – in the case of I-80 and rail, national goods movement.

PCTPA also programs projects for Federal programs such as the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) and Regional Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (RSTBGP), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 and 5311, as well as coordinating applications for State and regional programs like the Active Transportation Program (ATP).

All regionally significant transportation projects, as well as any which receive federal funding, must be included in the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) to allow projects to move forward. PCTPA works closely with SACOG and our jurisdictions to ensure data included in the MTIP is current and accurate. In addition, SACOG provides air quality conformity determinations on the MTIP to comply with Federal clean air requirements.

Under AB 1012, agencies are also held responsible for ensuring State and Federal funding is spent promptly and projects delivered within specified time limits. This requirement is backed up by "use it or lose it" timely use of funds deadlines. Some of the major projects subject to these provisions are the Regional Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (RSTBGP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) programs.

Over and above these requirements, PCTPA has a long-standing commitment to ensuring that every transportation dollar is used as quickly, efficiently, and effectively as is possible. PCTPA staff will continuously monitor the progress of projects funded through State and Federal sources and ensure that they meet scope, schedule, and budget.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Monitor and update information on regionally significant projects to SACOG for inclusion in the MTIP Ongoing
- Prepare grant and funding applications, such as for Federal INFRA and BUILD grants **Per Federal/State schedules**
- Serve as information clearinghouse for various grant programs **Ongoing**
- Provide staff support and advice for local jurisdictions in developing grant applications **Ongoing**
- Work with Placer County Air Pollution Control District and SACOG to integrate AB2766, SECAT, and/or CMAQ funding program for NOx reduction projects to enable the region to meet air quality conformity requirements for programming **Ongoing**
- Analyze CMAQ applications and recommend programming to SACOG per Memorandum of Understanding **As needed**

WORK ELEMENT 50 (continued) PROJECT PROGRAMMING AND REPORTING

- Coordinate with jurisdictions to develop and submit effective Active Transportation Program (ATP) applications **Ongoing**
- Participate with CTC and SACOG to analyze and recommend grant funding for ATP projects **Per State and SACOG schedules**
- Update CMAQ, RSTBGP, or other programming to meet timely use of funds rules **As** needed
- Coordinate with SACOG on federal funding program opportunities and requirements **As** needed
- Closely coordinate with Caltrans as they develop the list of Placer projects for which Project Initiation Documents (PIDs) will be done, as part of Caltrans' Three-Year Strategic Plan According to Caltrans schedule
- Prepare and process Low Carbon Transit Operations Program applications **According to Caltrans Schedule**
- Prepare amendments to the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for Placer projects and programs **As needed**
- Prepare reporting documents and status reports for grant and funding programs According to funding agency requirements
- Organize and/or attend technical and management meetings for projects, such as Project Development Team (PDT), and Management Team meetings **Quarterly/as needed**
- Prepare and submit required progress reporting documents for grant programs As required
- Provide project sponsors with data regarding State and Federal policies that may impact implementation **Ongoing**
- Actively pursue innovative approaches to advancing project schedules and otherwise speed implementation **Ongoing**
- Actively pursue innovative approaches to project development processes to reduce costs
 Ongoing
- Provide ongoing review of project status to assure all timelines and requirements are met **Ongoing**
- Work with project sponsors to generate accurate and timely data for distribution to other agencies, community groups, and the general public **Ongoing**
- Work with local, State, and Federal officials to obtain additional funding when needed to construct needed transportation projects **Ongoing**
- Participate in efforts to develop guidelines and requirements for new funding programs under SB 1 Ongoing per Caltrans/CTC schedules
- In coordination with member jurisdictions, Caltrans, and/or SACOG, develop application for SB 1 grant programs, including Trade Corridors Enhancement Program (TCEP) and Solutions for Congested Corridors Program (SCCP) Ongoing per Caltrans/CTC schedules
- Gather data and complete reporting requirements for SB 1 funding programs Ongoing per Caltrans/CTC schedules

WORK ELEMENT 50 (continued) PROJECT PROGRAMMING AND REPORTING

Participate in Highway 49 Safety Audit Review and Implementation with Caltrans July 2020
 June 2021

PRODUCTS:

- SACOG MTIP Updates Quarterly/as needed
- SACOG Air Quality Conformity Determinations on MTIP In accordance with MTIP updates
- Amendments and applications to Low Carbon Transit Operations Program As needed
- Amendments and applications to State of Good Repair Program As needed
- FTA Section 5310 Priority List **January 20210**, per Caltrans schedule
- FTA Section 5311 Program of Projects and Application August 2020 April 2021
- FTA Section 5304/SHA Sustainable Communities Grant application March 20210
- FHWA Strategic Partnership Grant application March 20210
- State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) amendments As needed
- Other grant and fund program applications, including ATP As needed
- Provision of grant applications and reports to local agencies and the general public **Ongoing**
- Cooperative Agreements with Caltrans for the programming of funds As needed
- Project listings on Caltrans' Three-Year Strategic Plan for PIDs Per Caltrans determination
- PDT and Management Team agendas In accordance with project schedules
- Project and funding status reports, including SB 45 Quarterly
- Progress reports on grant funding programs As required
- Caltrans Fund Transfer Agreements As needed
- Project signage that highlights local agency participation As needed
- Cooperative Agreements, Memoranda of Understanding, and other agreements As needed
- Transportation facility improvements In accordance with project schedules
- SB 1 grant application for Trade Corridors Enhancement Program (TCEP) **Per Caltrans/CTC schedules**
- SB 1 program reports Per Caltrans/CTC schedules

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$52,378 | PCTPA | \$116,378 |
| | \$30,434 | | <u>\$94,433</u> |
| | | TCEP Grant Application | |
| | | Consultant | |
| STIP Programming | | Meetings, Travel, and | 1,000 |
| (PPM) | 65,000 | Notifications | |
| TOTAL | \$117,378 | | \$117,378 |
| | <u>\$95,434</u> | | <u>\$95,434</u> |
| Percent of budget: 2.31 1.99% % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 61 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDING PROGRAM

PURPOSE: To educate the public on the need for critical regional transportation projects in Placer County.

BACKGROUND: For a number of years, the needs for large scale regional transportation projects far outstrip the county's available transportation funding. Concern has centered on not only the shortfalls, but the timing to fund major projects identified in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) such as the Placer Parkway, Highway 65 Widening, the I-80/SR 65 Interchange, intercity rail, transit services, road rehabilitation and maintenance, and pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

While the regional traffic impact fee has now been adopted, increasing travel demand juxtaposed with the state and federal government contributing less towards major freeway and highway projects have continued the gap between transportation needs and funding availability. Unfortunately, the disparity between critical transportation needs and funding opportunities, and the integral ties to the economic vitality of Placer County has not changed. Meanwhile, the very legitimate public concerns about traffic congestion and pavement conditions are getting even worse.

Our charge is to regroup and redouble our efforts to provide the public with more information about the planning and funding challenges involved in addressing our critical transportation needs. Enhanced and creative efforts to provide that kind of outreach and information is a key to the success of our Regional Transportation Funding Program efforts moving forward.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Continue to monitor traffic volumes, monitor economic conditions, and update/refine the Regional Transportation Funding Outreach Program, including opportunities, needs, and constraints for post-COVID-19 return of traffic congestion estimated approximately January 2021 July 2020 – June 2021
- Develop and provide informational materials and fact sheets on transportation needs and funding to interested parties, including community and business groups, and the general public. December 2020 June 2021Continue to identify opportunities to leverage state and federal dollars to enhance local transportation funding efforts Ongoing

• Investigate opportunities for innovative funding, such as bonding and public-private partnership for specialized transit services, Placer Parkway, I-80 improvements, and other potential candidate projects **Ongoing**

PRODUCTS:

 Informational materials, including fact sheets, maps, charts, website graphics, videos, social media, streaming media, traffic cameras and PowerPoint presentations, on transportation needs and funding December 2020-June 2021

WORK ELEMENT 61 (continued) REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDING PROGRAM

- Agendas for meetings/presentations with stakeholders, community groups, and others **Ongoing**
- Updated Sales Tax Revenue Projections September 2020

| REVENUES | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$399,004 | PCTPA | \$152,568 |
| | \$343,866 | | <u>\$142,434</u> |
| LTF Contribution from | 116,655 | Legal | 5,000 |
| South County Agencies | \$122,119 | | |
| <u>UAIC</u> | \$24,541 | | |
| | | Consultant/Direct Costs | 347,291 |
| | | | <u>\$332,291</u> |
| | | Events | <u>7,500</u> |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and | <u>\$5000</u> |
| | | Notifications, MiscMisc. | <u>\$3,300</u> |
| | | Costs | |
| TOTAL | \$ 515,659 | | \$515,659 |
| | <u>\$490,52</u> 6 | | <u>\$490,52</u> 6 |
| Percent of budget: 10.17 10.25% | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 80 FREEWAY SERVICE PATROL (FSP)

PURPOSE: To facilitate implementation of a Freeway Service Patrol (FSP) on I-80 and SR 65 in South Placer County.

BACKGROUND: The purpose of the program is to keep traffic moving by removing traffic impediments, such as cars with mechanical problems or that have been involved in accidents, as well as assisting the motoring public. The program provides a tow truck with a qualified technician patrolling the target area. The service began in 2003 through a Placer County Air Pollution Control District (APCD)'s AB 2766 funds to implement a Freeway Service Patrol in the congested areas of I-80 in the South Placer County area. In 2005 PCTPA became eligible to receive funding under the State's FSP program. Since then, the program has been expanded with increased service hours to cover I-80 from Roseville to Auburn and SR 65 from I-80 to Twelve Bridges Dr.

Juxtaposed with this need is funding availability. FSP is subject to annual State budget allocations and formulas, as well as annual grants, and the available funding varies. Staff works closely with the CHP and the contractor to tweak the program, including service hours, days, and costs, to balance with available funding.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Coordinating with California Highway Patrol, administer and monitor FSP program Ongoing
- Publicize FSP program and benefits **Ongoing**
- Participate in regional and statewide FSP oversight committees As needed
- Participate in annual "ride-alongs" with California Highway Patrol and contractor Annually
- Participate in FSP Technical Advisory Committee meetings Ongoing
- Contract and coordinate with the Sacramento Transportation Authority in monitoring FSP operator activities and performance **Ongoing**

PRODUCTS:

- Progress reports Quarterly
- Freeway Service Patrol brochures Ongoing As needed
- Freeway Service Patrol signage and material updates As needed
- Amend existing FSP contract October 2020
- Award contract for new FSP contract October 2020
- Execute Sacramento Transportation Authority agreement June 2020-FY 2023/24

WORK ELEMENT 80 (continued) FREEWAY SERVICE PATROL (FSP)

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | <u>EXPENDITURES</u> | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| LTF | \$6,237 <u>\$17,573</u> | PCTPA | \$62,438 |
| | | | <u>\$73,774</u> |
| FSP State Allocation | \$266,785 | FSP contractor | 428,385 |
| | | Sacramento Transportation | 5,800 |
| | | Authority Support | |
| FSP SB 1 Allocation | \$128,017 | Legal | 1,000 |
| FY 2020/21 CMAQ Grant | <u>100,584</u> | FSP Brochures | 2,000 |
| | | Meetings, Travel, and | <u>2,000</u> |
| | | Notifications | |
| TOTAL | \$501,623 | | \$501,623 |
| | <u>\$512,9</u> 59 | | <u>\$512,9</u> 59 |
| Percent of budget: <u>9.89_10.72</u> % | | | |

WORK ELEMENT 100 SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (SPRTA) ADMINISTRATION

PURPOSE: To provide staffing and administrative support for the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority.

BACKGROUND: PCTPA adopted a Regional Transportation Funding Strategy in August 2000 which included the development of a regional transportation impact fee program. PCTPA staff worked with the jurisdictions of South Placer County, as well as the development community, environmentalists, and community groups to develop a program and mechanism to implement this impact fee. The SPRTA, formed in January 2002, is the result of those efforts.

Under the Joint Powers Agreement that formed SPRTA, PCTPA is designated as the entity to provide administrative, accounting, and staffing support for the Authority. PCTPA is to be reimbursed for those staffing costs, as well as repaid for previous expenditures used to form the JPA and develop the fee program.

During FY 20/21, PCTPA staff and the SPRTA members will launch an update to the SPRTA Regional Travel Demand Forecasting (TDF) Model and Tier I and Tier II Regional Impact Fees. The TDF Model and Impact Fee is routinely updated approximately every five years. However, this update will be more robust that prior updates to incorporate the approvals of the Amoruso Ranch and Placer Ranch & Sunset Area Plans which will require an in-depth assessment of the regional impact fees. The TDF model geography will also be expanded to include the entire PCTPA boundary and will serve as a tool to assess future land use and transportation projects under SB 743. This effort is anticipated to occur over multiple fiscal years.

WORK PROGRAM:

- Provide administrative, accounting, and staff support for the SPRTA **Ongoing**
- Oversee the implementation of the SPRTA's traffic impact fee as delineated in the Implementation Program, providing updates as indicated **Ongoing**
- Develop agendas for Authority Board and advisory committees Monthly/as needed
- Provide financial information to Board **Ongoing**
- Provide information and reports to interested developers, groups, and citizens Ongoing
- Work with member jurisdictions to update the JPA agreement As needed
- Work with SPRTA TAC to select a consultant for the TDF Model and Regional Impact Fee Update September 2020
- Coordinate with SPRTA TAC and Placer County Jurisdictions to gather pertinent data for the TDF Model update October 2020 June 2021
- Develop stakeholder engagement plan to share information on how regional impact fee are generated and the projects that they support **January 2021**
- Prepare Impact Fee Schedule June 2021 FY 2021/22

WORK ELEMENT 100 (continued) SOUTH PLACER REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (SPRTA) ADMINISTRATION

PRODUCTS:

- SPRTA Implementation Plan updates As needed
- SPRTA Improvement Program updates As needed
- Joint Powers Agreement amendments As needed
- SPRTA FY 2020/21 Budget updates As needed
- SPRTA FY 2021/21 Budget June 2021
- SPRTA Cash flow projections As needed
- Contracts for needed services, such as traffic modeling and attorney services Annually/as needed
- SPRTA Board agendas and minutes Monthly/as needed
- SPRTA Technical Advisory Committee agendas and minutes Monthly/as needed
- SPRTA financial reports Quarterly
- Updated Joint Powers Agreement As needed
- SPRTA TDF Model and Impact Fee Program Stakeholder Outreach February 2021
- Draft Base Year SPRTA TDF Model June 2021

FY 20/21

| <u>REVENUES</u> | | EXPENDITURES | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| SPRTA | \$117,162 | PCTPA | \$117,162 |
| | <u>\$117,884</u> | | <u>\$117,884</u> |
| | | | |
| Percent of budget: 2.31 2.46% | | | |

COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS

ALUC Airport Land Use Commission
ALUCP Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
ATP Active Transportation Program

CALCOG California Association of Councils of Governments

CCJPA Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority
CEQA California Environmental Quality Act
CMAQ Congestion Mitigation Air Quality

CRRSAA Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act

CTC California Transportation Commission
CTSA Consolidated Transportation Services Agency
FAST Act Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act

FHWA Federal Highway Administration

FSP Freeway Service Patrol

FTA Federal Transit Administration
HIP Highway Improvement Program
ITS Intelligent Transportation Systems

JPA Joint Powers Authority

LCTOP Low Carbon Transit Operations Program Allocation

LTF Local Transportation Fund
MOU Memorandum of Understanding
MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization
MTP Metropolitan Transportation Plan

MTIP Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program

OWP Overall Work Program

PA&ED Project Approval and Environmental Documentation

PCLTA Placer County Local Transportation Authority PPM Planning, Programming and Monitoring

Prop 1B Proposition 1B (November 2006 Transportation Bond Funding)
PTMISEA Public Transportation Modernization Improvement and Service

Enhancement Account Program

RFP Request for Proposal

RPA Rural Planning Assistance Funds

RSTP Regional Surface Transportation Program
RTIP Regional Transportation Improvement Program

RTP Regional Transportation Plan

RTPA Regional Transportation Planning Agency SACOG Sacramento Area Council of Governments

SCS Sustainable Communities Strategy

SHOPP State Highway Operation and Protection Program
SPRTA South Placer Regional Transportation Authority
SSTAC Social Services Transportation Advisory Council

STA State Transit Assistance

STIP State Transportation Improvement Program

STP Surface Transportation Program
TDA Transportation Development Act

TIGER Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery
TNT/TMA Truckee North Tahoe Transportation Management Association

TRPA Tahoe Regional Planning Agency

Budget Summary FY 2020/21

| FY 2020/2 | 1 | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Expenditures | FY 2020/21 | FY 2020/21 | |
| | Oct Am 2 | Oct Am 1 | Difference |
| Salary | \$719,494 | \$819,383 | (\$99,889) |
| Benefits | \$421,104 | \$454,543 | (\$33,439) |
| Direct (Table 2) | \$3,138,659 | \$3,291,826 | (\$153,167) |
| Indirect (Table 3) | \$506,246 | \$505,773 | \$473 |
| Total | \$4,785,503 | \$5,071,524 | (\$286,021) |
| Revenues | FY 2020/21 | FY 2020/21 | |
| Nevertues | Oct Am 2 | Oct Am 1 | Difference |
| LTF Administration | \$475,000 | \$475,000 | \$0 |
| LTF Planning | \$785,508 | \$712,379 | \$73,129 |
| Rural Planning Assistance - Formula | \$422,000 | \$422,000 | \$0 |
| ALUCP Contribution - City of Auburn | \$20,000 | \$16,000 | \$4,000 |
| | \$10,000 | \$8,000 | \$2,000 |
| ALUCP Contribution - City of Lincoln | \$6,500 | \$6,500 | \$0 |
| ALUC Fees | \$145,000 | \$145,000 | \$0 |
| STIP Planning Funds | | | |
| CMAQ Grant - CMP | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$0 |
| Caltrans FSP Grants | \$266,785 | \$266,785 | \$0 |
| SB 1 FSP Grants | \$128,017 | \$128,017 | \$0 |
| CMAQ Grants - FSP | \$100,584 | \$100,584 | \$0 |
| Building Administration | \$15,924 | \$15,317 | \$607 |
| Capitol Corridor Marketing Match | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | \$0 |
| Interest | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | \$0 |
| SPRTA Administration | \$117,884 | \$117,162 | \$723 |
| SPRTA - I-80/SR 65 IC | \$22,296 | \$37,736 | (\$15,440) |
| SPRTA - Placer Parkway | \$12,054 | \$15,378 | (\$3,324) |
| SPRTA - SR 65 Widening | \$162,755 | \$220,040 | (\$57,285) |
| SPRTA-I80 Aux Lanes | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$0 |
| Local Agency Funds - Riego/Baseline | \$67,126 | \$67,126 | \$0 |
| HPP Section 1702 - I-80 Auxiliary Lanes | \$264,005 | \$264,005 | \$0 |
| HIP Grant - I-80 Auxiliary Lanes | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| CMAQ Grant - SR 49 Sidewalks | \$384,692 | \$384,692 | \$0 |
| ATP Federal Funding - SR 49 Sidewalks | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | \$0 |
| HIP Grant - SR 49 Sidewalks | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Caltrans Sustainable Communities Grant | \$355,434 | \$490,000 | (\$134,566) |
| Western Placer CTSA JPA Administration | \$89,862 | \$85,553 | \$4,309 |
| CTSA - South Placer Transit Project | \$38,907 | \$61,405 | (\$22,498) |
| United Auburn Indian Community(UAIC) | \$24,191 | \$24,191 | \$0 |
| SACOG Regional Local Program | \$0 | \$32,108 | (\$32,108) |
| LTF Additional Contribution from Jurisdictions | \$122,469 | \$92,464 | \$30,005 |
| LTF Carryover | \$85,013 | \$120,583 | (\$35,570) |
| Total | \$4,885,506 | \$5,071,524 | (\$186,018) |
| | | | |
| Contingency Fund Balance | FY 2020/21 | FY 2020/21 | D:rr |
| | Oct Am 2 | Oct Am 1 | Difference |
| РСТРА | \$780,000 | \$680,000 | \$100,000 |
| Nevada Station | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$0 |
| Total | \$830,000 | \$730,000 | \$100,000 |
| | EV 0000/0/ | EV 0000/04 | |
| Revenue to Expenditure Comparison | FY 2020/21 | FY 2020/21 | Diff |
| | Oct Am 2 | Oct Am 1 | Difference |
| Surplus/(Deficit) | \$2 | (\$0) | |

Table 2

| Direct Costs | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| FY 2020/21 | FY 2020/21 | FY 2020/21 | | |
| | Oct Am 2 | Oct Am 1 | Difference | Source |
| TDA Fiscal Audits (WE 11) | \$40,575 | \$40,575 | \$0 | LTF |
| Funding Kiosk Experience (WE 14) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| Alternative Fuel Vehicle Marketing/Support (WE 14) | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$0 | CMAQ, LTF |
| INT/TMA Membership (WE 14) | \$6,400 | \$6,400 | \$0 | LTF |
| SACOG Payment (WE 20) | \$392,754 | \$356,190 | \$36,565 | LTF, RPA |
| Fransit Consultant (WE 24) | \$0 | \$20,000 | (\$20,000) | CTSA |
| Capitol Corridor Marketing (WE 35) | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | \$0 | CMAQ, LTF, CCJP |
| -80/SR 65 Interchange Outreach Consultant (WE 41) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | SPRTA |
| -80/SR 65 Interchange Permitting Consultant (WE 41) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | SPRTA |
| SR 65 Widening Consultant Team - ROW (WE 42) | \$113,155 | \$120,000 | (\$6,845) | SPRTA |
| l-80 Auxiliary Lanes Consultant Design (WE 43) | \$223,303 | \$223,303 | \$0 | HPP, RPS9, HIP |
| I-80 Auxiliary Lanes Consultant/ROW (WE 43) | \$327,186 | \$327,186 | \$0 | SPRTA |
| SR 49 Sidewalk Consultant - Design/ROW (WE 44) | \$612,878 | \$612,878 | \$0 | CMAQ |
| Riego/Baseline Widening - PIDE (WE 47) | \$32,518 | \$32,518 | \$0 | Local Agency Fund |
| PSCMP Consultant (WE 45) | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | LTF |
| PSMAP Consultant (WE 46) | \$319,114 | \$467,000 | (\$147,886) | Caltrans Grant |
| ALUC Consulting Services (WE 27) | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | \$0 | ALUC fees, LTF |
| Meeting Supplies, Travel, and Notifications (WE 11, 12, 20, 24, 27, 33, 35, 40 through 47, 50,61 80) | \$32,800 | \$32,800 | \$0 | RPA, LTF |
| Meeting Supplies, Travel, and Postage (WE 14) | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 | LTF |
| Communications Consultant (WE 14) | \$45,000 | \$35,000 | \$10,000 | LTF |
| Video Production Consultant (WE 14) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| Outreach Printing and Reproduction (WE 14) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| Advertising and Social Media (WE 14) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| Federal Advocacy Services (WE 13) | \$36,500 | \$36,500 | \$0 | LTF |
| State Advocacy Services (WE 13) | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | LTF |
| CalCOG Membership (WE 13) | \$2,300 | \$2,300 | \$0 | LTF |
| Chamber of Commerce Memberships (WE 13) | \$6,200 | \$6,200 | \$0 | LTF |
| Advocacy Expenses/Travel (WE 13) | \$0 | \$10,000 | (\$10,000) | LTF |
| Freeway Service Patrol Contractor (WE 80) | \$428,385 | \$428,385 | \$0 | Caltrans, SB1, LTI |
| Sacramento Transportation Authority (WE 80) | \$5,800 | \$5,800 | \$0 | Caltrans, SB1, LTI |
| Event Sponsorship (WE 61) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| Event openiod only (11 = 0.1) | | | | LTF, RPA, CMAQ |
| Legal Services (WE 11, 20, 27, 35, 41, 42, 43, 44, 61, 80) | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | \$0 | HPP, SPRTA |
| Bicycle Map Printing (WE 33) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| FSP Brochure (WE 80) | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$0 | LTF |
| State Grant Application Consultant (WE 61) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| State Grant Application Consultant (WE 50) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| Funding Program Bonding Consultant (WE 61) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| Funding Program Election Costs (WE 61) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | LTF |
| Sales Tax Consultant (WE 61) | \$12,500 | \$12,500 | \$0 | LTF |
| Outreach Consultant (WE 61) | \$45,000 | \$52,500 | (\$7,500) | LTF |
| Outreach Consultant-Expendture Plan(WE 61) | \$29,000 | \$0 | \$29,000 | LTF |
| Paid Digital Ads/Streaming (WE 61) | \$37,500 | \$37,500 | \$0 | LTF |
| Video Production (WE 61) | \$24,000 | \$30,000 | (\$6,000) | LTF |
| Direct Mail (WE 61) | \$0 | \$12,500 | (\$12,500) | LTF |
| Mall Kiosk Rent/Design (WE 61) | \$36,191 | \$36,191 | \$0 | LTF |
| Billboards-Static/Electronic (WE 61) | \$27,500 | \$37,000 | (\$9,500) | LTF |
| Website (WE 61) | \$3,500 | \$4,000 | (\$500) | LTF |
| SR 65 Traffic Camera (WE 61) | \$33,600 | \$33,600 | \$0 | LTF |
| Economic Impact Analysis (WE 61) | \$30,000 | \$50,000 | (\$20,000) | LTF |
| Polling Consultant (WE 61) | \$37,500 | \$37,500 | \$0 | LTF |
| Printing (WE 61) | \$1,000 | \$4,000 | (\$3,000) | LTF |
| General Consumer Outreach (WE61) | \$15,000 | \$0 | \$15,000 | LTF |
| Events (WE 61) | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | \$0 | LTF |
| ALUCP Update Consultant (WE 27) | \$120,000 | \$120,000 | \$0 | LTF |
| TOTA | | \$3,291,826 | (\$153,167) | |

LTF = Local Transportation Fund

CMAQ = Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality

RPA = Rural Planning Assistance Funds

STIP = State Transportation Improvement Program FTA = Federal Transit Administration

Table 3

Indirect Cost Budget FY 2020/21

| | FY 2020/21 | FY 2020/21 | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| CALTRANS ICAP INDIRECT | Oct Am 2 | Oct Am 1 | Variance | Variance % |
| ADVERTISING | \$2,500 | \$1,000 | \$1,500 | 150.00% |
| COMMUNICATION | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| OFFICE/COMPUTER EQUIPMENT | \$25,000 | \$31,350 | (\$6,350) | -20.26% |
| SUBSCRIPTIONS | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| OFFICE/COMPUTER EQUIP MAINTENANCE | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| FURNITURE | \$0 | \$1,000 | (\$1,000) | -100.00% |
| INSURANCE | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| LEGAL | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| MEMBERSHIP/TRAINING | \$7,000 | \$7,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| OFFICE SUPPLIES | \$4,000 | \$3,000 | \$1,000 | 33.33% |
| POSTAGE & DELIVERY | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| PRINTING & REPRODUCTION | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| TRAVEL/AUTO/LODGING | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| UTILITIES/MAINTENANCE | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| ACTUARIAL | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | 100.00% |
| FISCAL AUDIT | \$17,775 | \$17,775 | \$0 | 100.00% |
| INDIRECT LABOR - Note 1 | \$320,106 | \$314,783 | \$5,323 | 1.69% |
| Subtotal | \$449,381 | \$448,908 | \$473 | 0.11% |
| INDIRECT COST ADJUSTMENT FROM FY 18/19 | (\$120,895) | (\$120,895) | \$0 | 0.00% |
| ICAP ALLOWABLE TOTAL | \$328,486 | \$328,013 | \$473 | |
| TOTAL INDIRECT | | | | |
| BOARDMEMBER REIMBURSEMENT | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| MEETING SUPPLIES | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| MEMBERSHIP/CHAMBERS | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| ACTUARIAL | \$0 | \$0 \$0 | | |
| FISCAL AUDIT | \$0 | | \$0 | 0.00% |
| OFFICE SPACE | \$160,760 | \$160,760 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| SUBTOTAL | \$177,760 | \$177,760 | | |
| INDIRECT COST BUDGET TOTAL | \$506,246 | \$505,773 | \$473 | 0.09% |

Note 1 - Indirect Labor recalculated based on Caltrans Indirect Cost Plan directives

| Revenue - 2020/21 OWP | OWP | | | | | | | | | : | |
|--|----------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|--|-------------|
| | | | | Caltrans Sustainable | | | | | | | |
| | Current Year | Rural Plan | GIFS | Communities | CDDTA | CMAA | FSP | ASL | Other | | TOTAL |
| 5 Agency Admin - Indirect | \$00 | 18186 | | | | | | T | \$ 320,106 | (1) | \$320,106 |
| 10 Agency Admin - OWP | \$21,511 | \$25,000 | | | | | | | | and the same of th | \$46,511 |
| 11 TDA Implementation | \$140,544 | | | | | | | | | | \$140,544 |
| 12 Intergovernmental Coordination | \$87,373 | | \$20,000 | | | | | | | | \$107,373 |
| 13 Intergovernmental Advocacy | \$110,870 | | | | | | | | \$6,000 | (2) | \$116,870 |
| 14 Communications/Outreach | \$118,702 | | | | | \$40,500 | | | | | \$159,202 |
| 15 Building Administration | (\$0) | | | | | | | | \$15,924 | (4) | \$15,924 |
| 20 SACOG/MPO Planning Integration | \$16,856 | \$397,000 | \$60,000 | | | | | | | | \$473,856 |
| 23 CTSA Administration | 0\$ | | | | | | | \$89,862 | | | \$89,862 |
| 24 Transit Planning | (\$0) | | | | | | | \$38,907 | | | \$38,907 |
| 27 Airport Land Use Commission | \$189,060 | | | | | | | | \$36,500 | (8) (9) | \$225,560 |
| 33 Bikeway Planning | \$17,855 | | | | | \$2,000 | | | | | \$19,855 |
| 35 Capitol Corridor/Rail | \$39,249 | | | | | \$7,500 | | | \$7,500 | (3) | \$54,249 |
| 40 Placer Parkway | (0\$) | | | | \$12,054 | | | | | | \$12,054 |
| 41 I-80/SR 65 Interchange | (0\$) | | | | \$22,296 | | | | | | \$22,296 |
| 42 SR 65 Widening | (0\$) | | | | \$162,755 | | | | | | \$162,755 |
| 43 I-80 Auxiliary Lanes | \$11,809 | | | | \$400,000 | | | | \$264,005 | (6),(10) | \$675,814 |
| 44 SR 49 Sidewalks | \$11,856 | | | | | \$384,692 | | | \$300,000 | (13) | \$696,548 |
| 45 Corridor Mobility Plan | \$29,754 | | | | | | | | | | \$29,754 |
| 46 Mobility Action Plan (FY 2020/21) | \$46,086 | | | \$355,434 | | | | | 0\$ | | \$401,520 |
| Mobility Action Plan (FY 2021/22) | \$32,425 | | | \$250,214 | | | | | | | |
| Mobility Action Plan (Total) | \$78,511 | | | \$605,648 | | | | | \$0 | | |
| 47 Riego/Baseline Widening | \$12,222 | | | | | | | | \$67,126 | (11) | \$79,348 |
| 50 Project Programming and Reporting | \$30,434 | | \$65,000 | | | | | | | | \$95,434 |
| 61 Transportation Funding Program | \$343,866 | | | | | | | | \$146,660 | (14)(15) | \$490,526 |
| 80 Freeway Service Patrol | \$17,573 | | | | | \$100,584 | \$394,802 | | | | \$512,959 |
| 100 SPRTA Administration | \$0 | | | | \$117,884 | | | | | | \$117,884 |
| Unallocated Revenue/Reserve | (96\$) | | | | | | | | \$100,000 | | \$99,904 |
| Total | 11 \$1,245,521 | \$422,000 | \$145,000 | \$355,434 | \$714,989 | \$535,276 | \$394,802 | \$128,769 | \$943,715 | | \$4,885,506 |
| er and the first the second forms to be a first of the fi | raino to torio | d or or all other v | | n. (9) Ectimotoc | d intersect. (3) Co | and alamanta, (2) Estimated interact. (3) Conital Corridor Marketina Match. (4) Building Admin Belmburse. | arkating Match | v (4) Building | Admin Reimbin | .do. | |

Notes: (1) Work Element 05 is indirect and spread over all other work elements; (2) Estimated interest; (3) Capitol Corridor Marketing Match; (4) Building Admin Reimburse;

(5) CCJPA Funding Contribution; (6) ALUC fees; (7) FTA Section 5304 including Local Match; (8) Cities of Auburn and Lincoln; (9) Federal Earmark; (10) Federal HIP Funding; (11) Counties of Placer and Sutter, City of Roseville; (12) Local Agency Funding; (13) ATP Federal Funding (14) Addtl LTF-Rsvl, Rock, Linc, Cnty for WE61 (15) United Auburn Indian Community (16) SACOG Regional Local Grant Program

Table 5

| T CHOT | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Expendi | Expenditures - 2020/21 OWP | /21 OWP | | CAP rate | rotal Rate (see Table 3) | | Consulting/ ROW | Outreach/ | | | | | % of |
| - | | Ā | Staff | Indirect | Indirect | SACOG | Acquisition | Events | Legal | Other | | Total | Budget |
| 5 Agency Admin - Indirect | - Indirect | 1.47 | \$320,106 | 106 | | | | | man paging and a second | The state of the s | (1) | \$320,106 | see Table 3 |
| 10 Overall Work Program | rogram | 0.13 | \$32,214 | \$8,295 | \$6,002 | | • | | | | | \$46,511 | 0.97% |
| 11 TDA Implementation | tation | 0.37 | \$68,201 | \$17,562 | \$12,706 | | \$40,575 | | \$500 | \$1,000 | (9) | \$140,544 | 2.94% |
| 12 Intergovernmental Coordination | ntal Coordination | 0.24 | \$67,442 | \$17,366 | \$12,565 | | | | | \$10,000 | (9) | \$107,373 | 2.24% |
| 13 Intergovernmental Advocacy | ntal Advocacy | 0.17 | \$46,315 | \$11,926 | \$8,629 | | \$41,500 | | | \$8,500 | (3),(8),(10) | \$116,870 | 2.44% |
| 14 Communications/Outreach | ns/Outreach | 0.29 | \$66,354 | \$17,086 | \$12,362 | | \$45,000 | | | \$18,400 | (2),(7),(9) | \$159,202 | 3.33% |
| 15 Building Administration | iistration | 0.05 | \$11,029 | \$2,840 | \$2,055 | | | | | | (9) | \$15,924 | 0.33% |
| 20 SACOG/MPO F | 20 SACOG/MPO Planning Integration | 0.24 | \$54,094 | \$13,929 | \$10,078 | \$392,754 | | | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | (9) | \$473,856 | %06.6 |
| 23 CTSA Administration | tration | 0.32 | \$62,240 | \$16,027 | \$11,596 | | | | | | | \$89,862 | 1.88% |
| 24 Transit Planning | Ď. | 0.12 | \$26,601 | \$6,850 | \$4,956 | | \$0 | | | \$500 | (9) | \$38,907 | 0.81% |
| 27 ALUC/Aviation Planning | Planning | 0.28 | \$65,840 | \$16,954 | \$12,266 | | \$127,500 | | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | (9) | \$225,560 | 4.71% |
| 33 Bikeway Planning | ing | 90.0 | \$13,405 | \$3,452 | \$2,497 | | | | | \$500 | (9) | \$19,855 | 0.41% |
| 35 Capitol Corridor Rail | ır Rail | 0.12 | \$31,686 | \$8,159 | \$5,903 | | \$7,500 | | \$200 | \$500 | (9) | \$54,249 | 1.13% |
| 40 Placer Parkway | ^ | 0.03 | \$8,002 | \$2,061 | \$1,491 | | | | | \$500 | (9) | \$12,054 | 0.25% |
| 41 I-80/SR 65 Interchange | ırchange | 0.05 | \$13,711 | \$3,531 | \$2,554 | | \$0 | | \$2,000 | \$500 | (9) | \$22,296 | 0.47% |
| 42 SR 65 Widening | Ď. | 0.14 | \$32,968 | \$8,489 | \$6,142 | | \$113,155 | | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | (9) | \$162,755 | 3.40% |
| 43 I-80 Auxiliary Lanes | anes. | 0.37 | \$84,724 | \$21,817 | \$15,785 | | \$550,489 | | \$2,000 | \$1,000 | (9) | \$675,814 | 14.12% |
| 44 SR 49 Sidewalks | ks | 0.27 | \$56,566 | \$14,566 | \$10,538 | | \$612,878 | | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | (9) | \$696,548 | 14.56% |
| 45 Corridor Mobility Plan | ity Plan | 90.0 | \$16,799 | \$4,326 | \$3,130 | | \$5,000 | | | \$500 | (9) | \$29,754 | 0.62% |
| 46 Mobility Action Plan | Plan | 0:30 | \$53,612 | \$13,805 | \$9,988 | | \$319,114 | | | \$5,000 | (9) | \$401,520 | 8.39% |
| 47 Riego/Baseline Widening | € Widening | 0.13 | \$32,089 | \$8,263 | \$5,978 | | \$32,518 | | | \$200 | (9) | \$79,348 | 1.66% |
| 50 Project Prograi | 50 Project Programming and Reporting | 0.32 | \$65,406 | \$16,842 | \$12,185 | | \$0 | | | \$1,000 | (9) | \$95,434 | 1.99% |
| 61 Transportation Funding Program | Funding Program | 0.38 | \$98,652 | \$25,403 | \$18,379 | | \$332,291 | \$7,500 | \$5,000 | \$3,300 | (9) | \$490,526 | 10.25% |
| 80 Freeway Service Patrol | ce Patrol | 0.22 | \$51,097 | \$13,157 | \$9,520 | | \$428,385 | | \$1,000 | \$9,800 | (4),(6),(11) | \$512,959 | 10.72% |
| 100 SPRTA Administration | istration | 0.35 | \$81,648 | \$21,024 | \$15,211 | | | | | | | \$117,884 | 2.46% |
| | Total | 6.49 | \$1,140,697 | \$293,730 | \$212,517 | \$392,754 | \$2,655,905 | \$7,500 | \$15,000 | \$67,500 | | \$4,785,603 | 100.00% |

Items billed through Caltrans exclude "unallowable" indirect costs, which is primarily agency rent. See Table 3.

Notes: (1) WE 05 is indirect and proportionally spread over all other work elements; (2) Includes \$6,400 payment to TNT/TMA for outreach in Tahoe area; (3) travel and conference expenses

(4) FSP brochure: (5) transportation event sponsorship: (6) meetings, travel and notifications; (7) alternative fuel vehicle support; (8) chamber of commerce memberships; (9) meetings, travel and postage; (10) CalCOG membership; (11) STA Payment

Summary of Staff Hours and Costs FY 2020/21

| | | Staff | Staff | Person | Staff | Staff |
|-----|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | | Hours | Hour % | Years | Costs | Cost % |
| 5 | Agency Administration: Indirect | 3055 | 22.63% | 1.47 | \$320,106 | 21.91% |
| 10 | Agency Admin - OWP | 268 | 1.99% | 0.13 | \$32,214 | 2.21% |
| 11 | TDA Implementation | 773 | 5.73% | 0.37 | \$68,201 | 4.67% |
| 12 | Intergovernmental Coordination | 507 | 3.76% | 0.24 | \$67,442 | 4.62% |
| 13 | Intergovernmental Advocacy | 346 | 2.56% | 0.17 | \$46,315 | 3.17% |
| 14 | Comm/Outreach | 607 | 4.50% | 0.29 | \$66,354 | 4.54% |
| 15 | Building Administration | 97 | 0.72% | 0.05 | \$11,029 | 0.75% |
| | SACOG/MPO Plan Integration and | | · | | | |
| 20 | Support | 493 | 3.65% | 0.24 | \$54,094 | 3.70% |
| 23 | CTSA Administration | 670 | 4.96% | 0.32 | \$62,240 | 4.26% |
| 24 | South Placer Transit Project | 252 | 1.87% | 0.12 | \$26,601 | 1.82% |
| 27 | ALUC/Aviation Planning | 579 | 4.29% | 0.28 | \$65,840 | 4.51% |
| 33 | Bikeway Planning | 132 | 0.98% | 0.06 | \$13,405 | 0.92% |
| 35 | Capitol Corridor Rail | 255 | 1.89% | 0.12 | \$31,686 | 2.17% |
| 40 | Placer Parkway EIR | 59 | 0.44% | 0.03 | \$8,002 | 0.55% |
| 41 | I-80/SR 65 Interchange | 114 | 0.84% | 0.05 | \$13,711 | 0.94% |
| 42 | SR 65 Widening | 287 | 2.13% | 0.14 | \$32,968 | 2.26% |
| 43 | I-80 Auxiliary Lanes | 773 | 5.73% | 0.37 | \$84,724 | 5.80% |
| 44 | SR 49 Sidewalks | 564 | 4.18% | 0.27 | \$56,566 | 3.87% |
| 45 | Corridor Mobility Plan | 135 | 1.00% | 0.06 | \$16,799 | 1.15% |
| 46 | Mobility Action Plan | 620 | 4.59% | 0.30 | \$53,612 | 3.67% |
| 47 | Riego/Baseline Widening | 268 | 1.99% | 0.13 | \$32,089 | 2.20% |
| 50 | Project Programming and Reporting | 671 | 4.97% | 0.32 | \$65,406 | 4.48% |
| 61 | Regional Funding Program | 800 | 5.93% | 0.38 | \$98,652 | 6.75% |
| 80 | Freeway Service Patrol | 449 | 3.33% | 0.22 | \$51,097 | 3.50% |
| 100 | SPRTA Administration | 724 | 5.36% | | \$81,648 | 5.59% |
| | Total | 13498 | 100.0% | 6.49 | \$1,460,804 | 100.0% |

Table 7

Agency Salary and Pay Range FY 2020/21

| | | 20/21 alary Range | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Position Title | Classification | # of Positions | Low | High | | | |
| Executive Director | Executive Director | 1 | 15041 | 20156 | | | |
| Deputy Executive Director | Deputy Director | 1 | 11984 | 15775 | | | |
| Senior Transportation Planner | Senior Planner | 2 | 8927 | 11393 | | | |
| Associate Planner | Associate Planner | 0 | 7038 | 8982 | | | |
| Assistant Planner | Assistant Planner | 1 | 5395 | 6885 | | | |
| Fiscal/Administrative Officer | Fiscal/Administrative Officer | 1 | 9004 | 11491 | | | |
| Planning Administrator/Board Secretary | Executive Assistant | 1 | 6585 | 8404 | | | |
| FY 2020/21 | | | | | | | |
| | | Hourly Salary Range | | | | | |
| Position Title | Classification | # of Positions | Low | High | | | |
| IT Administrator | Associate Planner | 0 | 40.61 | 51.82 | | | |
| Planning Intern | Planning Intern | 0 | 23.35 | 29.78 | | | |

PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF: APPROVING AMENDMENT #2 TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2020/21 OVERALL WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET RESOLUTION NO. 21-17

The following resolution was duly passed by the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) at a regular meeting held April 28, 2021, by the following vote on roll call:

| AYES: | |
|--|--|
| NOES: | |
| ABSENT: | |
| Signed and approved by me after its passage. | |
| | Chair Placer County Transportation Planning Agency |
| Executive Director | |

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code, Title 7.91, Section 67910, PCTPA was created as a local area planning agency to provide regional transportation planning for the area of Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 29532.1c identifies PCTPA as the designated regional transportation planning agency for Placer County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent and policy of PCTPA to improve and maximize the efficiency of transportation services in Placer County; and

WHEREAS, the Fiscal Year (FY) 2020/21 Overall Work Program and Budget (OWP) is the primary management tool for the PCTPA, identifies the activities and a schedule of work for regional transportation planning in Placer County exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin, and is a requirement of the Memorandum of Understanding between the PCTPA and Caltrans; and

WHEREAS, the Final FY 2020/21 OWP was approved on May 27, 2020; and

WHEREAS, Amendment #2 to the FY 2020/21 OWP adjusts staff time, professional services, and funding between work elements based on expenditures to date and projections for the remainder of FY 2020/21.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that PCTPA hereby approves the Amendment #2 to the FY 2020/21 OWP.

ML:ss



PLACER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY PLACER COUNTY AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION WESTERN PLACER CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AGENCY PLACER COUNTY LOCAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

April 13, 2021 – 3:00 pm

ATTENDANCE

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Mengil Deane, City of Auburn
Fallon Cox, Caltrans
Steve Prosser, City of Lincoln
Araceli Cazarez, City of Lincoln
Justin Nartker, City of Rocklin
Ted Williams, City of Rocklin
Jake Hanson, City of Roseville
Mike Dour, City of Roseville
Mark Johnson, City of Roseville
Ed Scofield, City of Roseville
Jason Shykowski, City of Roseville
Amber Conboy, Placer County
Will Garner, Placer County
Katie Jackson, Placer County

Staff

Kathleen Hanley Aaron Hoyt Shirley LeBlanc Mike Luken David Melko Solvi Sabol

This meeting was conducted via video conference call.

FY 2020/21 Overall Work Program and Budget – Amendment #2

Mike Luken said we will be bringing the FY 2020/21 OWP and Budget – Amendment #2 to the Board for approval this month. This Amendment includes the mid-year adjustment to the FY 2020/21 LTF apportionment which was approved by the Board in March. Additionally, it adjusts one half year's salary due to the vacancy of the Deputy Executive Director. These two changes result in adjustments in most work elements as it changes the base revenue and staffing. Notable changes to work elements include 1) WE 46, Mobility Action Plan, which updates the consultant and staffing time and aligns with the Caltrans planning grant, 2) WE 61, Regional Funding Program, which reflects an increased effort as we move forward with the funding strategy, 3) WE 14, Communications and Outreach, restoring consultant costs to full time because of the funding strategy effort.

The TAC offered two changes which will be incorporated:1) WE 24, Transit Planning, will reflect that the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly reduced transit services and 2) WE 24 will show the pilot transit service as "South Placer Transit" rather than "Lincoln Express".

The TAC concurred taking FY 2020/21 OWP and Budget – Amendment #2 to the Board for approval.

LCTOP Fund Allocation

Aaron Hoyt provided an FY 2020/21 Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) Fund Allocation estimate which totals \$372,476 county-wide. Hoyt explained the allocation identifies funding the South Placer Transit Project which serves as match funding for the Solutions for Congested Corridors Program grant application to purchase five buses to deliver this service. The TAC concurred with bringing this funding allocation to the Board this month.

WPCTSA Non-Emergency Medical Transportation Programs

Kathleen Hanley presented on the proposed service changes to the Health Express and My Rides programs. She explained how these programs operate, the costs associated with each of them, and the steady decline in ridership that these programs have experienced.

The new Placer Rides program replaces the Health Express and My Rides programs. Placer Rides was developed from a proven model created by Independent Living Partnership (ILP). My Rides would be a partnership of WPCTSA, Seniors First, and ILP. This program works by reimbursing eligible Placer County Residents on a per-mile basis for eligible trips. Riders will be responsible for securing their own volunteers rather than being matched by staff. This will make trips possible for residents who live in areas where there are no registered volunteers. The new program would also fund last-resort transportation network company (Uber/Lyft), taxi, and ADA transportation services for urgent trips where no volunteer or other transportation means can be provided. If approved, Seniors First staff would work with Health Express and My Rides passengers to migrate them to the new program through May and June 2021 and the new service would begin and the old services would end on July 1, 2021. A public hearing is scheduled at the April 28, 2021 regular meeting of the WPCTSA Board. The long-term plan is to outreach to general public and grow the program. The TAC concurred with the direction of staff and bringing this to the Board this month.

HIP / CRRSAA / Ped Bike Discretionary: Funding Plans

HIP: Kathleen Hanley explained that the Highway 2021 Federal Appropriations Act included funding under the Highway Infrastructure Program (HIP). We have typically used these funds for a regional project. Because of cost escalations for the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes project, the recommendation to the Board would be to use the \$295,480 that is distributed to PCTPA based on a Caltrans' formula, to offset these costs. The TAC concurred.

CRRSA: Kathleen Hanley said that the federal Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA) included funding for highway projects to stimulate the economy. Because of the cost escalations on the I-80 Auxiliary Lanes Project, staff is recommending allocating \$3,264,711 in allocated CRRSSA funds to this project as it is regionally significant and increases safety. The SB 1 grant supports this funding allocation. The TAC concurred with the recommendation.

Bike/Ped Discretionary: Aaron Hoyt said we have accumulated about \$626,000 in Bike/Ped discretionary funds. He asked the TAC for input on how best to allocate these funds and asked if the jurisdictions had any eligible projects. Given the feedback from the TAC, Aaron will send out an email with current available funds and a deadline for input on potential projects. Aaron noted that alternative option would be to distribute the funding on a population-basis.

ALUC Consistency Determination – Placer County Housing Code Amendments

David Melko explained that Placer County submitted a request to the Placer County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) to review the County's proposed Housing-Related Code Amendments for a determination of consistency with the Placer County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP).

David said that the Housing-Related Code Amendments consist of various targeted amendments to the Placer County General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, Zoning Combining Districts, and Community Design Manual for Multi-Family and Mixed-Use Development. David provided a summary of the proposed changes which include: 1) Text amendments to Table 2-4 of the Land Use Element in the General Plan, 2) Text amendments to the Zoning Ordinance, 3) Adoption of a new Design Manual for Multi-family and Mixed-Use development, 4) Rezone of Combining Zone Districts for existing Multi-Family and commercially zoned properties.

While the amendments are proposed for the County's zoning map that will affect 1,371 parcels, it affects less than 200 parcels in the influence area of the Auburn Airport. The changes are generally programmatic and do not involve changes to density. Further, there are no development projects being proposed. TAC concurred with the staff recommendation that the ALUC find that the Placer County Housing-Related Code Amendments consistent with the 2014 ALUCP and authorize the Executive Director to sign and submit the attached consistency determination letter to Placer County.

Other Info/Upcoming Deadlines

- a) Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI): Mike Luken explained that with the delegated authority to the Executive Director through the annual state legislative program, we will move forward with a recommendation to include safety projects as an exemption for this program.
- b) **FTA 5311 Program of Projects:** Kathleen Hanley said that the FTA 5311 program provides funding for operating or capital assistance for transit rural areas. Placer County and Auburn are the two eligible operators. The funds will split between the two entities by an established formula of ridership and service. The TAC concurred with the Section 5311 Program of Projects for 2021.
- c) **FY 2019/2020 TDA Financial Audits:** Aaron Hoyt said we will be taking the audits to the Board in May.

PCTPA Board Meeting: Wednesday, April 28, 2021 – 9:00 am - staff and board will be in person.

Next TAC Meeting: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 - 3:00 pm

The TAC meeting concluded at approximately 3:48 p.m.

ML:ss



MEMORANDUM

TO: PCTPA Board of Directors DATE: April 28, 2021

FROM: David Melko, Senior Transportation Planner

SUBJECT: STATUS REPORT

1. Freeway Service Patrol (FSP)

Attached is a thank you email from a motorist assisted by FSP on Sunday, April 11th near the Rocklin exit heading west on I-80.

To: <u>David Melko</u>

Subject: Freeway Service Patrol: ZXQXF 04/11/2021

Date: Monday, April 19, 2021 11:54:13 AM

Sent: Sunday, April 11, 2021 10:09 PM

Today, the wonderful man that was driving this tow truck came upon me within less than 5 minutes after my car completely died on the highway. The traffic was fast and covered all three lanes. The area I pulled off onto was very narrow, just before the Rocklin exit heading west on I80. I had to get out of my car on the passenger side, as there was no room on the driver's side to get out of my car safely. I was scared and upset. He asked if he could give me a tow, but I was still too scared to think straight and thought I could just call AAA. So he got back in his truck, but just backed up and waited. I called my son and he said to let the man tow me. So I waved this wonderful man back and he put me straight into the truck to keep me safe and worked quickly to get us both off the highway, as we were in a very unsafe place. I believe he sat back to protect me from oncoming cars, as I could have been so easily hit. I honestly don't know what I would have done without him being there to help me when he did. I am so grateful he was there.

I didn't know about this awesome tow service, Placer County Free Service Patrol, until today. This is an amazing service that no doubt saves countless lives of those of us broke down on the highway amongs speeding traffic and often distracted drivers. Today could have ended with a very different story if it were not for this wonderful man, his intuition, his common sense, and for being in the right place at the right time.

Thank you so very much! I am incredibly grateful.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mike Luken

FROM: AIM Consulting

DATE: March 11, 2021

RE: February Monthly Report

The following is a summary of communications and public information work performed by AIM Consulting (AIM) on behalf of Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) during the month of February.

AIM assisted with media relations and public information. AIM maintained, drafted, published, and promoted content on PCTPA's social media channels in an effort to share information about current PCTPA projects, programs, and activities.

Below are activity summaries of AIM's work:

PCTPA.net & Social Media

AIM continued posting social media updates on the PCTPA Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram to highlight the work being done by and on behalf of PCTPA. Topics included Placer County's response to the COVID-19 crisis, service update news, and other relevant transportation projects and updates.

Key social media posts included:

- Capitol Corridor | 2020 Performance Report
- Highway 65/ Stanford Ranch/Galleria Boulevard Interchange Delays
- The Megaregional Case for a New Transbay Rail Crossing
- New Signal Work on Highway 49
- Capitol Corridor's Rider Profile
- Caltrans Alerts: Scheduled Overnight Closures
- Roseville City School District Grant Update

PCTPA February 2021 Monthly Report Page 2 of 2

- Placer County Board of Supervisors Update
- Warning Lights at Laird and High Cliff expected to limit accidents
- Placer County Resource Conservation District Update
- City of Roseville, Constructions Updates
- Capitol Corridor Spring Schedule Change
- Signal Work at Major Rocklin Intersections
- Traffic is Returning: Op-ed by Mike Luken
- Capital Corridor Business Plan Public Workshops

Current social media page statistics include:

- Facebook 1,822 Followers
 - o *Previously 01/6: 1,817*
- Twitter 1,338 Followers
 - o *Previously 01/6: 1,341*
- Instagram 1,013 Followers
 - o *Previously 01/6: 1,024*

Key website analytics include:

- Total page views for the PCTPA website during February: 2,435
 - o 16.8% of views were on the Main Page
 - o 5.8% of views were on the Agendas 2021
 - o 5.8% of views were on the Placer County Bike Maps Page
- Total page views for Interstate 80 / Highway 65 Interchange Improvements website during February: 96

Media Relations

AIM continued to monitor industry and local news to identify outreach opportunities as well as support the Agency's efforts to address local transportation and transit issues.

Funding Strategy

During February, AIM began developing a short video with the message, "Traffic is Back in Placer County," and a call to action for viewers to register for a PCTPA Funding Strategy virtual community meeting. Beginning in mid-March, this video campaign will build awareness and promote the upcoming Funding Strategy meetings that will be taking place throughout April and May.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mike Luken

FROM: AIM Consulting

DATE: April 5, 2021

RE: March Monthly Report

The following is a summary of communications and public information work performed by AIM Consulting (AIM) on behalf of Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTPA) during the month of March.

AIM assisted with media relations and public information. AIM maintained, drafted, published, and promoted content on PCTPA's social media channels in an effort to share information about current PCTPA projects, programs, and activities.

Below are activity summaries of AIM's work:

PCTPA.net & Social Media

AIM continued posting social media updates on the PCTPA Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram to highlight the work being done by and on behalf of PCTPA. Topics included promotion of the spring Funding Strategy community workshops, Capitol Corridor schedule updates, service update news, and other relevant transportation projects and updates.

Key social media posts included:

- Rocklin Funding Strategy Meetings
- Traffic is Returning: Op-ed with Mike Luken
- Placer County Transportation Online Community Workshops Roseville Today
- Lincoln City Streets Explained
- Capitol Corridor New Schedule
- 3 Promising Solutions to Improve Travel to and from Lake Tahoe
- "Let's Move Better in Placer" Video/Funding Strategy Meeting Promo

- California Intercity Passenger Rail Webinar
- City of Rocklin Traffic Safety Resources
- City of Roseville Transit Driver Appreciation Day
- Placer County moved into COVID-19 Red Tier
- Traffic Trend Leads to Concern/Action in Placer: KCRA interview with Mike Luken
- Capitol Corridor Annual Business Plan Workshops
- Signal Work at Major Rocklin Intersections Beginning March 3

Current social media page statistics include:

- Facebook 1,851 Followers
 - o Previously (2/5): 1,822 Followers
- Twitter 1,328 Followers
 - o Previously (2/5): 1,338 Followers
- Instagram 1,016 Followers
 - o Previously (2/5): 1,013 Followers

Key website analytics include:

- Total page views for the PCTPA website during March: 3,767
 - o 34.54% of views were on the Homepage
 - o 4.75% of views were on the Recruitment for Deputy Director page
 - o 4.75% of views were on the Agendas 2021 page
- Total page views for the Interstate 80/Highway 65 Interchange Improvements website during March: 285

Media Relations

AIM wrote and released a news release titled *Placer County Transportation Planning Agency Hosting Online Community Workshops Through April and May.* The news release was published on PCTPA's blog and distributed to news outlets throughout the Placer region.

Funding Strategy

During March, AIM released a short video with the message, "Traffic is Back in Placer County," and a call to action for viewers to register for a PCTPA Funding Strategy virtual community workshop. Throughout March, the video has been used for a paid advertising campaign to build awareness and promote the upcoming Funding Strategy meetings that will be taking place throughout April and May.



April 6, 2021

TO: Mike Luken, Executive Director, Placer County Transportation Planning Agency

FROM: Nancy Eldred, Senior Account Executive, FSB Public Affairs

RE: March Summary of Activities for Funding Strategy Outreach Effort

Stakeholder Outreach – In Progress

• Continued Discussions with Elected, Civic, Business and Community Leaders

• Held March Stakeholder Meeting

Partner Collaboration – In Progress

• Continued Traffic Camera Partnership Outreach

Earned Media/Collateral Development/Paid Advertising - Complete

- Paid Advertisements Launched
- Op-Ed Produced and Placed in Gold Country Media Publications
- KCRA 3 Story Ran
- Mall Kiosk Production Completed
- Digital Billboards are Live
- "Refresh" Video Produced

Account Management – Complete

- Met/Spoke with PCTPA Leadership regarding a variety of strategic developments
- Prepared monthly report



| Г | |
|---------------|---|
| January 2021 | Bi-Weekly Client Meeting |
| | Monthly Report |
| | Message Refinement |
| | ◆ Digital/Streaming Platform Ad |
| | Concepts/Production |
| | Mall Kiosk Production |
| | Earned Media – COVID 19 and Transportation |
| | in South Placer- Bumped to February due to |
| | message changes |
| | Traffic Camera Partnership Discussion |
| | Elected, Civic, Business, Community Leader |
| | Engagement |
| February 2021 | Bi-Weekly Client Meeting |
| | Monthly Report |
| | Earned Media – COVID 19 and Transportation |
| | in South Placer |
| | Digital Ad/Streaming Platform Ad Production |
| | Electronic/Static Billboards production |
| | Mall Kiosk production |
| | Elected, Civic, Business, Community Leader |
| | Engagement |
| | Stakeholder Meeting Prep |
| March 2021 | Bi-Weekly Client Meeting |
| | Monthly Report |
| | Digital Ad Ads Run |
| | Electronic/Static Billboards |
| | Mall Kiosk Production Completed |
| | Growing Up Roseville, Style and Other Placer |
| | Magazine Partnership- In Progress |
| | Earned Media- Gold Country & KCRA |
| | Traffic Camera Partnership- In Progress |
| | Elected, Civic, Business, Community Leader |
| | Engagement |
| | Refresh Video Production and Completion |
| April 2021 | Bi-Weekly Client Meeting |
| · | Monthly Report |
| | Digital Ad/Streaming Platform Ads Run |
| | Electronic/Static Billboards |
| | Mall Kiosk |
| | Stakeholder Meeting |
| | Earned Media – Community Nights |
| | Direct Mail - TBD |
| | |
| | Rocklin Community Night |



| | Roseville Community Night |
|-----------|---|
| | Lincoln Community Night |
| | Spring Youth/HS Soccer/Basketball/Baseball |
| | Moms Group Sponsored Spring Break Event |
| | Life on the Trails Day |
| | Quarry Park Day |
| | Growing Up Roseville, Style and Other Placer |
| | Magazine Partnership |
| | Earned Media – TBD |
| | Traffic Camera Live |
| | Elected, Civic, Business, Community Leader |
| | Engagement |
| May 2021 | Bi-Weekly Client Meeting |
| | Monthly Report |
| | Digital Ad/Streaming Platform Ads Run |
| | Polling |
| | Electronic/Static Billboards |
| | Mall Kiosk |
| | Spring Youth/HS Soccer/Basketball/Baseball |
| | Growing Up Roseville, Style and Other Placer |
| | Magazine Partnership |
| | Traffic Camera Live |
| | Elected, Civic, Business, Community Leader |
| | Engagement |
| June 2021 | Bi-Weekly Client Meeting |
| | Monthly Report |
| | Polling Presentation to Board |
| | Electronic/Static Billboards |
| | Mall Kiosk |
| | New Creative Digital Ad/Streaming Platform Ad |
| | Production |
| | Stakeholder Meeting |
| | Rocklin Community Night |
| | Life on the Trails Day |
| | Quarry Park Day |
| | Growing Up Roseville, Style and Other Placer |
| | Magazine Partnership |
| | Earned Media – TBD |
| | Traffic Camera Live |
| | Elected, Civic, Business, Community Leader |
| | Engagement |



Washington, D.C. (703)340-4666 www.keyadvocates.com

March 31, 2021

To: PCTPA

From: Sante Esposito

Subject: March Monthly Report

Infrastructure Bill: March Activities

On March 1, the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee started work on a surface transportation reauthorization bill. The House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee has already begun work on its bill. The highway bill is likely to be the engine that drives the mega infrastructure train.

On March 2, Majority Leader Schumer said he planned to turn to President Biden's Build Back Better plan as soon as the COVID relief bill is dispensed with. Senate Budget Committee Chair Sanders said he would even move an infrastructure plan through reconciliation, allowing Democrats to make fewer compromises with Republicans. Senate Appropriations Committee Democrats said they'd rather go the traditional route with a bipartisan bill. The House will likely introduce a revamped version of the \$1.5 trillion infrastructure bill it passed in the last Congress (see below). Senate leaders plan to report their bill to the floor by Memorial Day.

On March 3, Chair of the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure DeFazio (D-OR) renewed his call for passing a mega infrastructure bill after the American Society of Civil Engineers gave U.S. infrastructure an overall grade of C- on its 2021 Infrastructure Report Card. ASCE releases its Card once every four years, assigning an overall letter grade to the Nation's infrastructure.

On March 5, the President and Transportation Secretary Buttigieg, met with Reps. DeFazio, Graves(R-Mo.), Davids (D-Kan.), Davis (R-Ill.), Garamendi (D-Calif.), Katko (R-N.Y.), Fitzpatrick (R-Pa.) and DC Delegate Eleanor Holmes Norton, to discuss infrastructure.

On March 11, Democrats on the House Energy and Commerce Committee unveiled the "LIFT America Act" - its \$312B infrastructure package that would authorize major investments in the country's electrical, energy, and water (Safe Drinking Water SRF at @\$26B) infrastructure.

On March 14, Speaker Pelosi indicated that Democrats are moving full steam ahead in pursuit of an infrastructure and jobs package and that they will quickly work to pass a top priority of the Biden Administration.

On March 22, the House Energy and Commerce Committee held a full committee hearing on its LIFT Act.

On Match 23, it was reported that the President's "Build Back Better" proposal could approach \$4T and that it would be pursued on two tracks – the first would center on roads, bridges, and other infrastructure as well as various climate change initiatives; the second on education and other priorities, including extending the child tax credit, universal prekindergarten and tuition-free community college.

On March 24, the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee marked up on a bipartisan basis the "Drinking Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Act of 2021 which includes \$14.65B over five fiscal years for both the CWSRF and SDWSRF and \$125M over five fiscal years for the Alternative Water Source Program, presumably for inclusion in a Senate mega infrastructure bill.

On March 25, Pete Buttigieg, Secretary of Transportation, testified before the House T&I Committee on infrastructure.

Also on March 25, at his press conference, the President announced that infrastructure is his next priority and that there would be some announcement about his package the week of March 29.

Today, March 31, the President will announce3 the first part of his multitrillion-dollar economic recovery package, focusing on rebuilding roads, bridges and other infrastructure (more details forthcoming), to be followed by a separate plan later in April addressing child and health care. Biden wants the infrastructure bill passed this summer at the latest.

Last year the House Democrats passed their mega-infrastructure bill, H.R. 2 "Moving Forward Act" (\$1.5T) which included \$40B for the CWSRF, \$25B for the SDWSRF, \$600 M for the Alternative Water Source Program (AWSP), and \$500 M for water recycling grants under the WIIN Act with project de-authorization process for inactive projects.

Biden Infrastructure Proposal (note: follow-up email forthcoming as more details become available)

The report is that the infrastructure plan will come in at \$2.25T, contain funding for things like broadband, highways, and housing as follows: \$621B for highways (\$115B to modernize 20,000 miles of highways, roads, and main streets) and bridges (to fix the most economically significant large bridges and repair the worst 10,000 smaller bridges), highway safety (\$20B), transit (\$85B for modernization and system expansion), rail (including \$80B for Amtrak's repair backlog and to modernize the Northeast Corridor), ports and inland waterways and ferries (\$17B), airports (\$25B); electric vehicles (\$174B to accelerate the shift to electric vehicles, consumer rebates and tax incentives to buy American-made electric vehicles, a new grant and incentive program to

build a national network of 500,000 charging stations by 2030, replace 50,000 diesel transit vehicles, and electrify at least 20% of yellow school buses); \$300B for housing; \$300B to booster U.S. manufacturing including \$50B for semiconductor manufacturing and \$30B for medical manufacturing; \$400B for elderly and disabled care; expand access to long-term Medicaid care services; give caregiving workers the opportunity to join a union; \$213B for housing including building and rehabilitating more than 50,000 homes for low and middle income homebuyers; \$180B for research in critical technologies; \$111B for water infrastructure to replace all lead pipes and service lines and to upgrade clean water, drinking water, wastewater and storm water systems; \$100B to build new schools and upgrade existing buildings, \$12B for community colleges infrastructure needs; \$25B to upgrade child care facilities; \$100B for broadband; \$100B for workforce development including \$40B to retain dislocated workers; and, \$18B to modernize Veterans Affairs' hospitals and \$10B to modernize federal buildings.

The combined price tag for this package and the second one to be announced within weeks (health care, child tax credit, paid medical and family leave, etc.) could come in at more than \$4T. Spending would be offset by a tax increase of up to \$3T targeted at wealthier Americans and corporations – an increase in the top individual tax rate for those making over \$400,000 from 37% to 39.6% and an increase in the corporate tax rate from 21% to 28%. Also possibly included, though not verified, taxing capital gains as normal income and imposing a minimum tax on profits companies make from foreign subsidiaries. Not included are an increase in the gas tax and the imposition of a vehicle mileage tax.

Earmarks

The House Appropriations Committee has put out a call for projects with a deadline of around the end of April. Each subcommittee has also announced its guidelines on projects submissions. Some guidelines are very restricted. Also, it's not know if there is a dollar limit on requests and if so, what that is. Members can submit up to 10 requests.

Senate Highway Bill

Senate Democrats plan to scrap a bipartisan surface transportation bill, S. 2302, drafted last Congress for a new proposal that contains more aggressive proposals on climate issues and other Democratic priorities. To review last Congress, the EPW bipartisan bill authorized \$287B in highway spending, 90- percent of which would be distributed to the states by formula. The bill featured a title on climate change that authorized \$10.8B for various programs addressing resiliency and \$1B for electric, hydrogen, and natural gas vehicle charging and fueling stations. It provided billions for curbing emissions, reducing congestion and truck idling. It also streamlined infrastructure permitting and set a two-year target for environmental reviews. Lastly, the bill authorized \$12.5M per year to fund state and reginal pilot testing of user-based alternative revenue mechanisms to the gas tax. No action was taken by the Senate on the bill. The highway program was extended for one year.

House Highway Bill

Staff currently working on a multiyear bill. To review last Congress, no House action on a

multiyear bill; one-year extension enacted. The multiyear bill provided \$494B in total funding from FY21 to FY25, as follows: \$319B for the highways; \$105B for transit; \$4.6B for safety; \$5.3B for motor carrier safety; and, \$60B for passenger rail.

Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 and the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2021

To review, on December 27, the President signed into law, as one vehicle, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, which funds the government at \$1.4T through next September and the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2021.

Transportation highlights from the Appropriations portion are \$456l4B for highways, \$1B for bridge replacement and rehabilitation, \$12.5B for transit, \$194M for NHTSA, \$623M for highway safety, \$236M for FRA, \$2B for Amtrak, \$2M for mag lev, \$328M for motor carrier safety, and \$155M for MARAD.

Transportation highlights from the Coronavirus Act portion are \$14B for transit including \$50M for paratransit providers; \$10B for state and local transportation departments to replace revenues; \$1B for Amtrak for operations and to prevent further employee furloughs; \$2B for motorcoach and bus operators, school bus companies, and U.S. flag passenger vessel operators; \$2B for airports to retain personnel, continue operations and provide relief for retailers at the airport (i.e. concessionaires); \$15B to extend the Payroll Support Program for aviation employees through March 31, 2021; and, \$2B for FEMA's Disaster Relief Fund and assistance to cover funeral expenses for deaths from COVID.

Bill Tracking

Summaries and updates included as available.

<u>H.R.227</u> — 117th Congress (2021-2022)

To provide dedicated funding for the national infrastructure investment program and the capital investment grant program, and for other purposes.

Sponsor: Rep. Hastings, Alcee L. [D-FL-20] (Introduced 01/06/2021) Cosponsors: (1)

Committees: Transportation and Infrastructure; Ways and Means

Latest Action: 01/06/2021 Referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on Ways and Means,

H.R.201 — 117th Congress (2021-2022)

To direct the Secretary of Transportation to establish a national bridge replacement and improvement program, and for other purposes.

Sponsor: Rep. Emmer, Tom [R-MN-6] (Introduced 01/05/2021) Cosponsors: (0)

Committees: Transportation and Infrastructure

Latest Action: 01/05/2021 Referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

<u>H.R.248</u> — 117th Congress (2021-2022)

To amend title 23, United States Code, to expand eligibility for the surface transportation block grant program, and for other purposes.

Sponsor: Rep. Hastings, Alcee L. [D-FL-20] (Introduced 01/11/2021) Cosponsors: (0)

Committees: Transportation and Infrastructure

Latest Action: 01/11/2021 Referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

H.R.200 — 117th Congress (2021-2022)

To direct the Secretary of Transportation to establish a national intersection and interchange safety construction program, and for other purposes.

Sponsor: Rep. Emmer, Tom [R-MN-6] (Introduced 01/05/2021) **Cosponsors:** (0)

Committees: Transportation and Infrastructure

Latest Action: 01/05/2021 Referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.